Problem HPrime Suspect

Input: Standard Input
Output: Standard Output

Let's define the function Suspect(b, n), where b is an integer that is called the base, and n is an odd integer. It returns one of the boolean values TRUE or FALSE.

• Let t be the highest power of 2 so that 2^t devides n-1 and u be the biggest odd integer that devides n-1.

This means we can write $n-1 = 2^t u$.

- Let x_0 be $b^u \mod n$.
- For all *i* from 1 to *t*, let x_i be $(x_{i-1})^2 \mod n$.
- If, for any *i* from 1 to *t*, $x_i = 1$ and $x_{i-1} <> 1$ and $x_{i-1} <> n-1$, then return FALSE.
- else, if $x_t \ll 1$, then return FALSE.
- else return TRUE.

The connaisseur will recognize this function as the essential part of the Miller-Rabin primality test, although it can appear in different forms throughout the literature. In *Cormen et. al.* Ch. 31 this function is called *Witness* and returns the opposite boolean value. We will call the odd number *n* **suspect in base** *b* if the above function returns TRUE. At the end of this description three examples are given.

It can be proved that whenever the function Suspect(b, n) returns FALSE for some base b and an odd number n it is **sure** that n is not a prime number. The reverse, however, is not the case: whenever Suspect(b, n) returns TRUE, there is a high probability that n is a prime number, but we can't be sure. We say that Suspect(b, n) **fails** if it returns TRUE for an n that is not a prime number. Upto 1000000 there are only 46 failures in base 2, the first three being 2047, 3277 and 4033. In base 3 there are 73 falures, but all of them are different from the base 2 failures, so for every odd number n < 1000000 we have that if Suspect(2, n) and Suspect(3, n) we can be sure that n is a prime

Upto 2^{32} we only need to calculate three bases: Suspect(2, n), Suspect(7, n) and Suspect(61, n). If all three function calls return TRUE, it's sure that n is a prime number. This gives us a very quick primality test for all numbers within the range of current day integers.

In this problem we want you to calculate the failures of the function Suspect(b, n) in a certain base and for a certain range of numbers n.

Input

The input consists of several lines, each containing three integers: *Base*, *Min* and *Max*. *Base* is an integer between 2 and 1024 (inclusive), *Min* and *Max* will be between 3 and 4294967295 (inclusive). *Max* will not be smaller than *Min*. *Max* will be at most 100000 bigger than *Min*. A line with three zeroes marks the end of the input; this line should not be processed.

Output

For each line of input, one line of output: "There are NUMBER1 odd non-prime numbers between NUMBER2 and NUMBER3.".

If there are odd numbers within this range that fail as suspects in the given base, output an other line: "NUMBER4 suspects fail in base NUMBER5:", followed by all failures, in ascending order, each on a line by itself. Use the plural form, even if there is only one failure. If there are no failures in this range, output the line: "There are no failures in base NUMBER5.". NUMBER1 .. NUMBER5 are to be replaced by the appropriate values. Separate the cases by a blank line.

Sample Input

Output for Sample Input

```
186 800000 900000
2 4000000000 4000001000
3 121 121
0 0 0

There are 42677 odd non-prime numbers between 800000 and 900000.
4 suspects fail in base 186:
821059
840781
873181
876961

There are 457 odd non-prime numbers between 4000000000 and 4000001000.
There are no failures in base 2.

There are 1 odd non-prime numbers between 121 and 121.
1 suspects fail in base 3:
121
```

Problem setter: Little Joey (Joachim) Be Ware! This problem has no alternate solution

```
Suspect(2, 121):

n-1 = 120 = 8 * 15 = 2^3 * 15, therefore t=3, u=15

x_0 = 2^{15} \mod 121 = 32768 \mod 121 = 98

x_1 = 98^2 \mod 121 = 9604 \mod 121 = 45

x_2 = 45^2 \mod 121 = 2025 \mod 121 = 89

x_3 = 89^2 \mod 121 = 7921 \mod 121 = 56
```

None of the x_i is 1, so the loop test continues until the end. Since x_t is not 1, the function will return FALSE. This is a correct result, since 121 = 11*11 is composite.

```
Suspect(3, 121):

n-1 = 120 = 8 * 15 = 2^3 * 15, therefore t=3, u=15

x_0 = 3^{15} \mod 121 = 14348907 \mod 121 = 1

x_1 = 1^2 \mod 121 = 1 \mod 121 = 1

x_2 = 1^2 \mod 121 = 1 \mod 121 = 1

x_3 = 1^2 \mod 121 = 1 \mod 121 = 1
```

All of the x_i are 1, so the loop test continues until the end. Since x_t is 1, the function will return TRUE. This is a failure!.

```
Suspect(3, 89):

n-1 = 88 = 8 * 11 = 2^3 * 11, therefore t=3, u=11

x_0 = 3^{11} \mod 89 = 177147 \mod 89 = 37

x_1 = 37^2 \mod 89 = 1369 \mod 89 = 34

x_2 = 34^2 \mod 89 = 1156 \mod 89 = 88

x_3 = 88^2 \mod 89 = 1 \mod 89 = 1
```

Here we see the loop test again continue to the end. Since x_t is 1, the function will return TRUE. This is correct, because 89 is a prime number.