

Grampus - A Crappy Grammar Fuzzer

0xca7

December 7, 2021

Abstract

This is the documentation for *Grampus*, the very simple grammar fuzzer I coded for fun. The name Grampus is derived from the mythological figure known as *Krampus* [1] in Austria and Germany. Krampus is the evil counterpart to St. Nikolaus and is usually depicted as a furry (fuzzy), scary looking demon. Because I wrote a grammar fuzzer, I found it appropriate to swap the *K* for a *G* resulting in *Grampus*.

1 Introduction

I wrote Grampus for multiple reasons. The first is, I wanted to code something in Rust to get a better understanding of the language. Second, I actually wanted a fuzzer that I can let loose on some software, even though it is a crappy one. The third reason is that I wanted to learn more about fuzzing. The fourth and final reason is the fuzzer *Nautilus* of Aschermann et al. [2] which is the direct inspiration for Grampus. That fuzzer is just damn cool and I wanted to build something like it. For more about fuzzing *The Fuzzing Book* is a very good resource [3] (also covers grammar fuzzers) and the survey paper by Manès et al. [4].

In contrast to Nautilus, Grampus is blind, that means there is no coverage feedback. In addition, it is much, much, much less sophisticated ... and yeah, because people on the internet are vicious: My fuzzer sucks.

2 TODO

Task	Description
Scheduler	Implement the scheduler to regenerate the corpus (section 3)
Mutations	Implement more mutations (section 4)

3 Architecture

Grampus has a pretty easy to understand architecture as you would expect. The architecture is depicted in fig. 1, the numbering in the graphic is used in the following paragraph.




Figure 1: Architecture of Grampus

The grammar definition resides in a file on disk. In a first step, the *Grammar Parser* reads this file (1). The result of this operation is an internal representation of the grammar, which is used to randomly generate multiple syntax trees (forest) (2). These syntax trees represent valid sentences produced by the grammar read from the file. The root node of each tree is the start symbol of the grammar and a leaf node is always a terminal symbol. If a node has children, it is a non-terminal symbol. These trees are then used to derive inputs for fuzzing (3). The stored inputs are utilized by the fuzzer’s threads. Each fuzzing thread takes a random input from the input storage, applies a mutation logic to it (4). The mutated input is used by the harness, which passes it to the Program Under Test (PUT) and reads the result (5). In the case the result is a crash, the input is logged to a file (6). After the Scheduler determines it is time to generate new inputs, it triggers a re-generation of inputs in the Corpus entity (7) (the scheduler is still open for implementation).

4 Mutations

Currently, there are only basic mutations available, these are applied to an input string s , with length s_l . The i -th position in an input string is denoted as s_i , $i \in [0; s_l]$. The mutations are:

Mutation	Function	Description
Remove	$Rem(s, pos)$	remove a character at a random position pos
Insert	$Ins(s, pos, x)$	insert a random byte x at a random position pos
Bitflip	$flip(s, pos, x)$	flip a random bit x in a random character pos
XOR	$x \oplus s_i$	generate a random byte x and xor it with a randomly chosen character s_i
Arithmetic *	$x \circ s_i$	generate a random byte x and add it to a randomly chosen character s_i

*Arithmetic performs a wrapping addition.

At most mut_{max} mutations are performed on one input string s , with the number of mutations chosen at random in the interval $[1; mut_{max}]$ in each fuzzing iteration. The result of input mutation is denoted as s_{mut} .

For future implementations, more sophisticated mutations are planned. These are summarized below.

- Combination - if possible, take two syntax trees and combine them to a new tree. For example, if a non-terminal NT is present in both syntax trees, replace the subtree with the root NT in tree 1 with the subtree with root NT of tree 2. This is what Nautilus does to get *deeper* into a program.
- Bitflip L/S as implemented in AFL.

5 Testing Grampus

I ran Grampus against the *kson* component of *klib*. This module contains a JSON parser, thus, Grampus uses the JSON grammar defined in *json.txt* in the directory *grammars*. For testing, I wrote the program *example_target.c* in **fuzz.target** directory. This program reads a JSON file and parses it using *kson*. Here, Grampus was able to detect multiple crashes, for instance the one below:

```

1 # JSON from corpus: [9.51508], after mutation: [:9.5}508]
2 # Output of example_target with input [:9.5}508]
3 CHAR:
4 [:9.5}508]
5 HEX:
6 5b 3a 39 2e 35 7d 35 30 38 5d
7 [0xca7] kson_parse_core returned an error
8 double free or corruption (out)
9 Aborted (core dumped)

```

References

- [1] Wikipedia, “Krampus — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.” <http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Krampus&oldid=1058950390>, 2021. [Online; accessed 06-December-2021].
- [2] C. Aschermann, T. Frassetto, T. Holz, P. Jauernig, A.-R. Sadeghi, and D. Teuchert, “Nautilus: Fishing for deep bugs with grammars,” *Proceedings 2019 Network and Distributed System Security Symposium*, 2019.
- [3] A. Zeller, R. Gopinath, M. Böhme, G. Fraser, and C. Holler, *The Fuzzing Book*. CISA Helmholtz Center for Information Security, 2021. Retrieved 2021-10-26 15:30:20+02:00.
- [4] V. J. M. Manès, H. Han, C. Han, S. K. Cha, M. Egele, E. J. Schwartz, and M. Woo, “The art, science, and engineering of fuzzing: A survey,” *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 47, pp. 2312–2331, 2021.