

# Relaxing the requirements on standard library algorithms predicates and functors

Document #: P0XXR0  
Date: 2018-03-13  
Project: Programming Language C++  
Audience: LEWG  
Reply-to: Georg Rudoy <[georgii.rudoi@phystech.edu](mailto:georgii.rudoi@phystech.edu)>

## 1 Revision history

- R0 — Initial draft

## 2 Abstract

This paper proposes a (strictly relaxing) change in the definition of several algorithms in the standard library. Various algorithms like `std::any_of`, `std::find_if` and the likes are currently defined in terms of function call syntax on the predicate/function, forbidding passing pointers to members where they might have sufficed.

## 3 Motivation

```
struct Standard
{
    bool isCurrent;
};

vector<Standard> stds;

auto hasCurrent = any_of(stds.begin(), stds.end(),
    &Standard::isCurrent);           // nope
auto hasCurrent = any_of(stds.begin(), stds.end(),
    [](auto&& std) { return std.isCurrent; }); // ok

class Employee
{
public:
```

```

    void fire();
    bool shouldBeFired() const;
};

vector<Employee> emps;

auto poorFellow = find_if(emps.begin(), emps.end(),
    &Employee::shouldBeFired);           // nope
auto poorFellow = find_if(emps.begin(), emps.end(),
    [](auto&& emp) { return emp.shouldBeFired(); }); // ok

for_each(emps.begin(), emps.end(), &Employee::fire); // nope
for_each(emps.begin(), emps.end(),
    [](auto&& emp) { emp.fire(); }); // ok

```

## 4 The fix

Replace `pred(*i)` and the likes with `std::invoke(pred, *i)` and the likes.

## 5 Proposed wording

In `[alg.all_of]/2`:

*Returns:* true if `[first, last)` is empty or if ~~`pred(*i)`~~ `std::invoke(pred, *i)` is true for every iterator `i` in the range `[first, last)`, and false otherwise.

In `[alg.any_of]/2`:

Ditto.