

# SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF



# **Summary**

**Audit Firm** Guardian

Prepared By Owen Thurm, Daniel Gelfand

**Client Firm GMX** 

Final Report Date September 1, 2023

### **Audit Summary**

GMX engaged Guardian to review the security of its real-time feed integration. From the 21st of August to the 1st of September, a team of 2 auditors reviewed the source code in scope. All findings have been recorded in the following report.

Notice that the examined smart contracts are not resistant to internal exploit. For a detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, and potential attack vectors, refer to the complete audit report below.

Blockchain network: **Arbitrum, Avalanche** 

Verify the authenticity of this report on Guardian's GitHub: <a href="https://github.com/guardianaudits">https://github.com/guardianaudits</a>

# **Table of Contents**

# **Project Information**

	Project Overview	4
	Audit Scope & Methodology	5
<u>Sma</u>	art Contract Risk Assessment	
	Findings & Resolutions	7
Add	<u>lendum</u>	
	Disclaimer	22
	About Guardian Audits	23

# **Project Overview**

## **Project Summary**

Project Name	GMX
Language	Solidity
Codebase	https://github.com/gmx-io/gmx-synthetics
Commit(s)	c7af4b0c877bc4cb4f82ab040852111e9153605c

## **Audit Summary**

Delivery Date	September 1, 2023
Audit Methodology	Static Analysis, Manual Review, Written Tests, Contract Fuzzing

## **Vulnerability Summary**

Vulnerability Level	Total	Pending	Declined	Acknowledged	Partially Resolved	Resolved
Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
• High	0	0	0	0	0	0
<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	3	0	0	1	0	2
• Low	11	0	0	5	0	6

# **Audit Scope & Methodology**

ID	File	SHA-1 Checksum(s)
CON	Config.sol	c8ecffd6defb76e89cd43a250d4697528926a871
TIME	Timelock.sol	5ef65a907382bd4a97670afbffdb31b917673f41
KEY	Keys.sol	ec5e13a8e8a84915b97ec1688c902bb4c80d417e
EDPU	ExecuteDepositUtils.sol	863ccc9b96cf533e257198088e3349e9df0fb1c0
ERR	Errors.sol	f6998a7e61b8843dbc9c9d0e9817aed2c4d90b16
ADL	AdlHandler.sol	997a862d7bb0a7aff77f8370f53e7cb1f20a069b
вон	BaseOrderHandler.sol	1c29e866c18a7a6be3b27adb3f9cbaa1a86433ef
DEPH	DepositHandler.sol	b27d88814cc1d9c8bfc46eb6eb7c6213eeb581cf
WTDH	WithdrawalHandler.sol	e19c98f0036aa26e66d5e3197d36cdfd11b7f195
GSU	GasUtils.sol	55f63f3c8bcfdb5f20e156febd47cf3614a31ee2
MKTU	MarketUtils.sol	47deb4beb9a9a8d12b39dd62af6eabc00871c8d4
OCLU	OracleUtils.sol	3e25aaaa6865fe50a834ce875e371fdb5db97667
OCL	Oracle.sol	8c3bd8839197ea77e53a14929e3410c7cac0d9d6
ORDU	OrderUtils.sol	6a3021b06d65c790d23c7fda46db634888dcf045
DPCU	DecreasePositionCollateralUtils.sol	d8956a4b540e3459f75e4d16b1d8fe4b10d2960c
DPU	DecreasePositionUtils.sol	7f4fa2ff2bc69cf3c44dfec25a8891a513482064
SPU	SwapPricingUtils.sol	fd4d7efe4829aaf38878959756c7efcfdfc796d2
SWPU	SwapUtils.sol	c3bbd2100a3eac50bac5a1f0a0508c8489cc7601
EWDU	ExecuteWithdrawalUtils.sol	279df4e265a9da6238f7836e1349e520bc8c3794
WTDU	WithdrawalUtils.sol	e3c738577befac0f8f3bc1d62ee50efdad62285b

# **Audit Scope & Methodology**

## **Vulnerability Classifications**

Vulnerability Level	Classification
<ul><li>Critical</li></ul>	Easily exploitable by anyone, causing loss/manipulation of assets or data.
<ul><li>High</li></ul>	Arduously exploitable by a subset of addresses, causing loss/manipulation of assets or data.
<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	Inherent risk of future exploits that may or may not impact the smart contract execution.
• Low	Minor deviation from best practices.

### **Methodology**

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross-referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.
- Comprehensive written tests as a part of a code coverage testing suite.
- Contract fuzzing for increased attack resilience.

# **Findings & Resolutions**

ID	Title	Category	Severity	Status
OCL-1	DoS Due To Crossed Markets	DoS	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	Acknowledged
OCL-2	Verifier Configuration Risk-Free Trade	Protocol Manipulation	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	Resolved
EDPU-1	Positive Impact Deposit Not Validated	Validation	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	Resolved
OCLU-1	Unsorted Block Numbers	Logical Error	• Low	Acknowledged
OCLU-2	Туро	Туро	• Low	Resolved
OCL-3	Inaccurate Revert Data	Туро	• Low	Resolved
TIME-1	Inconsistent Realtime Feed Action Key	Туро	• Low	Resolved
OCL-4	Outdated Comment	Documentation	• Low	Resolved
OCL-5	Prices May Be Older Than The Allowed Age	Validation	• Low	Acknowledged
OCLU-3	Outdated NatSpec	Documentation	• Low	Resolved
OCL-6	Hardcoded VerifierProxy	Configuration	• Low	Acknowledged
OCL-7	Lack Of Realtime Feed Tokens Optimization	Optimization	• Low	Acknowledged
EDWU-1	Inconsistent Pool Value For Withdrawals	Events	• Low	Resolved
OCL-8	Bid/Ask Manipulation	Protocol Manipulation	• Low	Acknowledged

## **OCL-1 | DoS Due To Crossed Markets**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
DoS	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	Oracle.sol: 561-563	Acknowledged

#### **Description**

GMX reverts when the bid price is greater than the ask price, otherwise known as a crossed market. Crossed markets can typically happen in times of volatility, or when pricing is based on multiple venues/data providers. For example, if the highest bid is from Coinbase but the lowest ask is from Binance, the chance of a reported bid larger than the ask increases.

```
if (report.bid > report.ask) {
    revert Errors.InvalidRealtimeBidAsk(token, report.bid, report.ask);
}
```

Internal feeds cannot be used to regain protocol functionality because internal feeds cannot be enabled when a realtime feed is enabled for a particular token.

#### **Recommendation**

Verify whether the realtime feeds report crossed markets, if so consider an alternative to the strict validation or document and prepare for the risk of DoS.

#### Resolution

GMX Team: With the realtime feeds bid should always be less than or equal to ask, will check with Chainlink about including this info in the docs.

# **OCL-2 | Verifier Configuration Risk-Free Trade**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Protocol Manipulation	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	Oracle.sol: 549	Resolved

#### **Description**

The configuration of the Chainlink VerifierProxy and Verifier contracts pose a non-trivial threat to the GMX V2 system.

A malicious user may observe any of the following scenarios and leverage them to execute a risk free trade on the platform:

- A certain feed used by GMX V2 is deactivated with isDeactivated == true
- A verifier has been unset with the unsetVerifier function for a particular configDigest used by GMX V2
- A particular config that corresponds to the configDigest used by GMX is not active with isActive == false

In any of the above scenarios a malicious actor is able to submit a market order which is un-executable during the period where the feedld or configDigest is deactivated/misconfigured. If market prices move against the trader during this time, the trader can simply cancel their order.

GMX V2 offers no alternative means of execution for these orders until the feedld or configDigest is reactivated as they cannot be executed with the regular oracle system and changing the oracle configuration for the tokens used would take days using the Timelock contract.

#### **Recommendation**

Consider implementing an alternative pathway to provide prices for orders in the event that a feedId or configDigest is deactivated or misconfigured. Otherwise consider disallowing the creation of orders that rely on certain feedId's or configDigest's that are currently deactivated.

#### Resolution

GMX Team: Added an option for the multisig to allow internal price feeds, will also check with Chainlink about this.

## **EDPU-1 | Positive Impact Deposit Not Validated**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Validation	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>	ExecuteDepositUtils.sol: 409	Resolved

## **Description**

In the \_executeDeposit function the pool amount is incremented by the positive price impact amount in the \_params.tokenOut.

However only the \_params.tokenIn is validated against the validatePoolAmountForDeposit validation. This could lead to the tokenOut balance exceeding the desired cap during deposits.

#### **Recommendation**

Validate that the increased tokenOut amount is also within the deposit cap with validatePoolAmountForDeposit.

## **Resolution**

## **OCLU-1 | Unsorted Block Numbers**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Error	<ul><li>Low</li></ul>	OracleUtils.sol: 210	Acknowledged

## **Description**

The getUncompactedOracleBlockNumbers function appends the min and max block numbers from realtime feeds to the end of the arrays. As a result, it is possible for the minBlockNumbers and maxBlockNumbers to be unsorted. This may cause ADL execution to be less predictable since the first minBlockNumber is selected to be the updatedAtBlock for the order:

#### For example:

ADL does not execute when the cache.minOracleBlockNumbers are [x, x+1] since OracleUtils.validateBlockNumberWithinRange prevent executions for the updatedAtBlock of x.

Now with the realtime feed block numbers appended, the cache.minOracleBlockNumbers can be unsorted as [x+1, x] with stale pricing used at block x and the ADL order will execute.

#### Recommendation

Consider having the block numbers sorted and/or document this potential behavior with the realtime reports.

#### **Resolution**

GMX Team: As long as the ADL order's block number is within every block range of each oracle report, the oracle reports are considered valid and not stale.

# OCLU-2 | Typo

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Туро	• Low	OracleUtils.sol: 85	Resolved

## **Description**

The comment "the highest price the a buyer will pay" should read "the highest price that a buyer will pay".

## **Recommendation**

Implement the above recommended changes.

## **Resolution**

## **OCL-3 | Inaccurate Revert Data**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Туро	• Low	Oracle.sol: 396	Resolved

## **Description**

The Errors.InvalidBlockNumber revert supplies the minOracleBlockNumber however it is the maxOracleBlockNumber which failed the block number validation.

## **Recommendation**

Revert with the maxOracleBlockNumber as the invalid block number.

#### **Resolution**

# **TIME-1** | Inconsistent Realtime Feed Action Key

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Туро	<ul><li>Low</li></ul>	Timelock.sol: 479	Resolved

### **Description**

In the \_setRealtimeFeedActionKey function the "setPriceFeed" string is used to create the realtime feed action key. However following from the patterns of the other action keys, the realtime feed action key ought to use the string "setRealtimeFeed" to match the actionLabel.

#### **Recommendation**

Use the "setRealtimeFeed" string to construct the realtime feed action key in the \_setRealtimeFeedActionKey function.

### **Resolution**

## **OCL-4 | Outdated Comment**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Documentation	• Low	Oracle.sol: 334	Resolved

## **Description**

The comment above the \_setPrices function is outdated, it references initializing a SetPricesCache which does not happen immediately in the \_setPrices function. Additionally there is no referenced signers param.

#### **Recommendation**

Update the documentation for the \_setPrices function.

#### **Resolution**

GMX Team: The documentation was updated for the \_setPrices function.

## OCL-5 | Prices May Be Older Than The Allowed Age

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Validation	<ul><li>Low</li></ul>	Oracle.sol: 572	Acknowledged

#### **Description**

The maxPriceAge validation is performed on the currentBlockTimestamp which is based on the upper bound block number. Therefore bid and ask values can technically come from before the maxPriceAge window, though perhaps a trivial amount of time.

#### **Recommendation**

Be aware that the maxPriceAge can be slightly exceeded and configure the maxPriceAge as such.

#### **Resolution**

GMX Team: Acknowledged.

# **OCLU-3 | Outdated NatSpec**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Documentation	• Low	OracleUtils.sol: 206	Resolved

## **Description**

The NatSpec for the getUncompactedOracleBlockNumbers function is outdated, it includes the wrong name for the compactedOracleBlockNumbersLength parameter and lacks reference to the reports and oracleBlockNumberType parameters.

#### **Recommendation**

Update the NatSpec for the getUncompactedOracleBlockNumbers function.

## **Resolution**

GMX Team: The NatSpec for the getUncompactedOracleBlockNumbers was updated.

## **OCL-6 | Hardcoded VerifierProxy**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Configuration	<ul><li>Low</li></ul>	Oracle.sol: 86	Acknowledged

#### **Description**

In the event that a new VerifierProxy is deployed by Chainlink the Oracle contract would have to be redeployed as the realtimeFeedVerifier variable is immutable.

However it may be more wieldy to allow the realtimeFeedVerifier implementation to be configurable in the event that a new proxy should be used.

#### **Recommendation**

Consider allowing the realtimeFeedVerifier implementation to be configurable in the dataStore rather than immutable.

#### **Resolution**

GMX Team: Have checked with Chainlink that the VerifierProxy should not be changed.

## **OCL-7 | Lack Of Realtime Feed Tokens Optimization**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Optimization	<ul><li>Low</li></ul>	Oracle.sol: 525	Acknowledged

#### **Description**

In the event that there are no realtimeFeedTokens, the \_validateRealtimeFeeds function logic can be shortcut to avoid expending gas on extra opcodes.

#### **Recommendation**

Consider implementing a realtimeFeedTokens.length == 0 early return statement at the beginning of the \_validateRealtimeFeeds function.

#### **Resolution**

GMX Team: Acknowledged.

## **EWDU-1** | Inconsistent Pool Value For Withdrawals

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Events	• Low	ExecuteWithdrawalUtils.sol: 321-329	Resolved

#### **Description**

In the \_getOutputAmounts function the pool value information emitted with the MarketPoolValueUpdated event is obtained with the following call:

This differs from the call to MarketUtils.getPoolValueInfo in \_executeWithdrawal since the pnlFactorType is set to Keys.MAX\_PNL\_FACTOR\_FOR\_DEPOSITS and the value is maximized:

#### **Recommendation**

Consider whether these parameters are desired for the data emitted in the MarketPoolValueUpdated event. If they are not, modify the pnlFactorType to Keys.MAX\_PNL\_FACTOR\_FOR\_WITHDRAWALS and set maximize to false.

#### **Resolution**

## **OCL-8 | Bid/Ask Manipulation**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Protocol Manipulation	• Low	Oracle.sol	Acknowledged

#### **Description**

Execution prices with realtime feeds are based on bid/ask spreads from a collection of reference exchanges, however, they do not consider the liquidity at any given bid or ask price. Therefore, a malicious actor may manipulate the lowest ask or increase the highest bid with a relatively small liquidity on a reference exchange and trade based on that synthesized lowest ask or highest bid with a significant size on GMX V2.

Any potential manipulation is however limited in magnitude to the spread between the bids and asks.

#### **Recommendation**

Ensure that there is a sufficiently high minimum liquidity barrier to consider a bid or ask and that fees and price impact on the GMX V2 platform can effectively counteract the profitability of any such manipulation.

#### **Resolution**

GMX Team: Have checked with Chainlink that liquidity will be taken into account for determining the bid and ask price.

## **Disclaimer**

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Guardian to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. Guardian's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Guardian's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies, and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

The assessment services provided by Guardian is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third-parties.

Notice that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain are not resistant from internal/external exploit. Notice that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to any smart contract's safety and security. Therefore, Guardian does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract, regardless of the verdict.

# **About Guardian Audits**

Founded in 2022 by DeFi experts, Guardian Audits is a leading audit firm in the DeFi smart contract space. With every audit report, Guardian Audits upholds best-in-class security while achieving our mission to relentlessly secure DeFi.

To learn more, visit <a href="https://guardianaudits.com">https://guardianaudits.com</a>

To view our audit portfolio, visit <a href="https://github.com/guardianaudits">https://github.com/guardianaudits</a>

To book an audit, message <a href="https://t.me/quardianaudits">https://t.me/quardianaudits</a>