## **Computer Organization**

計算機組織


**Pipelining** 

國立成功大學資訊工程學系 105年度第二學期


#### **Outline**

- Pipelined processor design
  - An overview of pipelining
  - A pipelined datapath
  - Pipelined control
- Problems with pipelined processor
  - Data hazards and forwarding
  - Data hazards and stalls
  - Branch hazards

### Single-, Multi-Cycle, vs. Pipeline



### Why Pipeline? Because the Resources are There!




### **Outline**

- A pipelined datapath
- Pipelined control
- Data hazards and forwarding
- Data hazards and stalls
- Branch hazards

### Recall the 5 steps in Instruction Execution

- Instruction Fetch & PC Increment (IF)
- 2. Instruction Decode and Register Read (ID)
- Execution or calculate address (EX)
- 4. Memory access (MEM)
- Write result into register (WB)


## Recall "Single-Cycle" Datapath




## Pipelined Datapath – Key Idea

- We will have several instructions that execute simultaneously in the processor
- Similar to multicycle design
  - Revising the single-cycle design by introducing extra registers---pipeline registers---to hold "relevant state" between cycles

## **Pipelined Datapath**




## **Bug in the Datapath**



Write register number comes from another instruction in the latter stage!

## **Corrected Datapath**





Destination register number is also passed through ID/EX, EX/MEM and MEM/WB registers, which are now wider by 5 bits


### Pipelined Example


Consider the following instruction sequence:


```
lw $t0, 10($t1)
sw $t3, 20($t4)
add $t5, $t6, $t7
sub $t8, $t9, $t10
```





















#### **Observation**


- The pipeline implementation inserts pipeline registers to decouple the 5 stages
- The CPI of an ideal pipeline (no stalls) is 1.
  - What is the CPI value of multicycle design?
    - (discussed before)
- One significant difference in the execution of an Rtype instruction between multicycle and pipelined implementations:
  - register write-back for the R-type instruction is the 5<sup>th</sup> (the last write-back) pipeline stage vs. the 4<sup>th</sup> stage for the multicycle implementation. *Why?* (see the below)

## Pipelining load




- 5 functional units in the pipeline datapath are:
  - Instruction Memory for the Fetch stage
  - Register File's Read ports (busA and busB) for the Reg/ Dec stage
  - ALU for the Exec stage
  - Data Memory for the MEM stage
  - Register File's Write port (busW) for the WB stage

## **Pipelinging R-type Instructions**



- IF: fetch the instruction from the Instruction Memory
- ID: registers fetch and instruction decode
- EX: ALU operates on the two register operands
- WB: write ALU output back to the register file


## Pipelining R-type and load




- We have a structural hazard:
  - Two instructions try to write to the register file at the same time!
  - Only one write port

## Solution: Delay R-type's Write

- Delay R-type's register write by one cycle:
  - R-type also use Reg File's write port at Stage 5
  - MEM is a NOP stage: nothing is being done.



#### SW




- IF: fetch the instruction from the Instruction Memory
- ID: registers fetch and instruction decode
- EX: calculate the memory address
- MEM: write the data into the Data Memory

#### Add an extra stage:

WB: NOP

### BEQ



- IF: fetch the instruction from the Instruction Memory
- ID: registers fetch and instruction decode
- **EX**:
  - compare the two register operand
  - select correct branch target address
  - latch into PC

#### Add two extra stages:


MEM: NOP

WB: NOP

#### **Outline**

- A pipelined datapath
- Pipelined control
- Data hazards and forwarding
- Data hazards and stalls
- Branch hazards

## Recall Single-Cycle Control – the Datapath



## Recall Control for Single-Cycle Design


ALUOp			Fı	unct	Operation			
ALUOp1	ALUOp0	F5	F4	F3	F2	F1	F0	
0	0	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	010
0	1	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	110
1	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	0	0	0	010
1	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	0	1	0	110
1	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	1	0	0	000
1	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	1	0	1	001
1	Χ	Х	Χ	1	0	1	0	111

Instruction	RegDst	ALUSrc	Memto- Reg	•			Branch	ALUOp1	ALUp0
R-format	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
lw	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
SW	Х	1	Χ	0	0	1	0	0	0
beq	Х	0	Х	0	0	0	1	0	1

## **Pipeline Control**

- Initial design motivated by single-cycle datapath control – use the same control signals
- Since control signals are associated with components active during a single pipeline stage, can group control lines into five groups according to pipeline stage
- Need to set control signals during each pipeline stage

### Pipelined Datapath with Control I



## Pipeline Control Signals

- instruction fetch / PC increment
- instruction decode / register fetch
- execution / address calculation (EX)
- memory access (M)
- write back (WB)


Nothing to control as instruction memory read and PC write are always enabled

(the following table)


						Write-back			
	Execution/Address Calculation stage control lines				Memory access stage control lines			stage control lines	
	Reg	ALU	ALU	ALU		Mem   Mem			Mem
Instruction	Dst	Op1	Op0	Src	Branch	Read	Write	write	to Reg
R-format	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
lw	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
SW	Х	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	Х
beq	Х	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	Х

## **Pipeline Control Implementation**

 Pass control signals along just like the data — extend each pipeline register to hold needed control bits for succeeding stages





## **Pipelined Datapath with Control II**





### **An Example**


```
lw $10, 20($1)
sub $11, $2, $3
and $12, $4, $5
or $13, $6, $7
add $14, $8, $9
```



















































### **Outline**

- A pipelined datapath
- Pipelined control
- Data hazards and forwarding
- Data hazards and stalls
- Branch hazards

### **Pipeline Hazards**


- Pipeline Hazards:
  - Structural hazards: attempt to use the same resource in two different ways at the same time
  - Data hazards: attempt to use data item before ready
    - Instruction depends on result of prior instruction still in the pipeline
  - Control hazards: attempt to make decision before condition is evaluated
    - Branch instructions
- Can always resolve hazards by waiting
  - pipeline control must detect the hazard
  - take action (or delay action) to resolve hazards

### Structural Hazard: Single Memory



Use 2 memory: data memory and instruction memory

### **Data Hazards**




### **Types of Data Hazards**

Three types: (inst. i1 followed by inst. i2)

- RAW (read after write):
   i2 tries to read operand before i1 writes it
- WAR (write after read):
  - i2 tries to write operand before i1 reads it
    - Can't happen in MIPS 5-stage pipeline because all instructions take 5 stages, and reads are always in stage 2, and writes are always in stage 5
- WAW (write after write):
  - i2 tries to write operand before i1 writes it
    - Can't happen in MIPS 5-stage pipeline because all instructions take 5 stages, and writes are always in stage 5


### Pipeline Hazards Illustrated



### **Hardware Solution: Forwarding**

- Idea: fetch "fresh" data as early as possible
- Two steps:
  - **Step 1: Detect data hazard:** 
    - Is the datum just produced required by the following inst.?
  - Step 2: Forward intermediate data to resolve hazard
    - If yes, then forward the requested datum to the requesting inst. immediately.

### Pipelined Datapath with Control II (as before)



### **Hazard Detection**

 sub
 \$2, \$1, \$3

 and
 \$12, \$2, \$5

 or
 \$13, \$6, \$2

 add
 \$14, \$2, \$2


 sw
 \$15, 100(\$2)


#### Hazard conditions:

- 1a. EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs
- 1b. EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt
- 2a. MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs
- 2b. MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt
  - E.g., in the earlier example, first hazard between sub \$2, \$1, \$3 and and \$12, \$2, \$5 is detected when the and is in EX stage and the sub is in MEM stage because
    - EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs = \$2 (1a)
- Whether to forward also depends on:
  - if the later instruction is going to write the same register no need to forward, even if there is register number match as in conditions above
  - if the destination register of the later instruction is \$0 in which case there is no need to forward value (\$0 is always 0 and never overwritten)


### **Data Forwarding**

- Allow inputs to ALU not just from ID/EX, but also later pipeline registers
- Use multiplexors and control signals to choose appropriate inputs to ALU






# Forwarding Hardware



#### **Datapath before adding forwarding hardware**



Datapath after adding forwarding hardware

### Forwarding Hardware: Multiplexor Control

Mux control	Source	Explanation
ForwardA = 00	ID/EX	The first ALU operand comes from the register file
ForwardA = 10	EX/MEM	The first ALU operand is forwarded from prior ALU result
ForwardA = 01	MEM/WB	*The first ALU operand is forwarded from data memory or an earlier ALU result
ForwardB = 00	ID/EX	The second ALU operand comes from the register file
ForwardB = 10	EX/MEM	The second ALU operand is forwarded from prior ALU result
ForwardB = 01	MEM/WB	*The second ALU operand is forwarded from data memory or an earlier ALU result

<sup>\*</sup> Depending on the selection in the rightmost multiplexor (see datapath with control diagram)

### Data Hazard: Detection and Forwarding

- Forwarding unit determines multiplexor control according to the following rules:
- 1. EX hazard


### Data Hazard: Detection and Forwarding

#### 2. **MEM** hazard


```
MEM/WB.RegWrite
if (
                                                    // if there is a write...
   and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd \neq 0)
                                                    // to a non-$0 register...
   and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd \neq ID/EX.RegisterRs *) // and not already a register match
                                                    // with earlier pipeline register...
   and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs )) // but match with later pipeline register,
then...
         ForwardA = 01
if (
        MEM/WB.RegWrite
                                                    // if there is a write...
   and ( MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0 )
                                                    // to a non-$0 register...
   and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt *) // and not already a register match
                                                    // with earlier pipeline register...
   and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt)) // but match with later pipeline register,
then...
         ForwardB = 01
```

<sup>\*</sup> This check is necessary, e.g., for sequences such as add \$1, \$1, \$2; add \$1, \$1, \$3; add \$1, \$1, \$4, where an earlier pipeline (EX/MEM) register has more recent data


### Pipeline with Forwarding




```
sub $2, $1, $3
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```




```
sub $2, $1, $3
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```



```
sub $2, $1, $3
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```



```
sub $2, $1, $3
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```



### **Questions?**


- The data path is incomplete (in Slide 59) as we cannot compute the target address for lw and sw.
- How about 1w followed by sw?

### **Data Hazards and Stalls**

- Load word can still cause a hazard:
  - an instruction tries to read a register following a load instruction that writes to the same register

\$2, 20(\$1) and \$4, \$2, \$5 \$8, \$2, \$6 add \$9, \$4, \$2 Slt \$1, \$6, \$7


As even a pipeline dependency goes backward in time solve the hazardtion



### Stalling Resolves a Hazard

 Same instruction sequence as before for which forwarding by itself could not resolve the hazard:

```
lw $2, 20($1)
and $4, $2, $5
or $8, $2, $6
add $9, $4, $2
Slt $1, $6, $7
```



Hazard detection unit inserts a 1-cycle bubble in the pipeline, after which all pipeline register dependencies go forward so then the forwarding unit can handle them and there are no more hazards


### **Hazard Detection Logic to Stall**

Hazard detection unit implements the following check if to stall

### **Mechanics of Stalling**


- If the check to stall verifies, then the pipeline needs to stall only 1
  clock cycle after the load as after that the forwarding unit can resolve
  the dependency
- How the hardware stalls the pipeline 1 cycle:
  - does NOT let the IF/ID register change (disable write!) this will cause the instruction in the ID stage to repeat, i.e., stall
  - therefore, the instruction, just behind, in the IF stage must be stalled as well – so hardware does NOT let the PC change (disable write!) – this will cause the instruction in the IF stage to repeat, i.e., stall
  - changes all the EX, MEM and WB control fields in the ID/EX pipeline register to 0, so effectively the instruction just behind the load becomes a nop – a bubble is said to have been inserted into the pipeline

### **Adding Hazard Detection Unit**




Datapath with forwarding hardware, the hazard detection unit and controls wires – certain details, e.g., branching hardware are omitted to simplify the drawing


# lw \$2, 20(\$1) and \$4, \$2, \$5 or \$4, \$4, \$2 add \$9, \$4, \$2




lw \$2, 20(\$1)
and \$4, \$2, \$5
or \$4, \$4, \$2
add \$9, \$4, \$2




```
lw $2, 20($1)
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```




```
lw $2, 20($1)
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```



```
lw $2, 20($1)
and $4, $2, $5
or $4, $4, $2
add $9, $4, $2
```




lw \$2, 20(\$1)
and \$4, \$2, \$5
or \$4, \$4, \$2
add \$9, \$4, \$2



#### **Outline**

- A pipelined datapath
- Pipelined control
- Data hazards and forwarding
- Data hazards and stalls
- Branch hazards


## Pipeline Datapath with Control Signals



#### **Control (or Branch) Hazards**

- Problem with branches in the pipeline we have so far is that the branch decision is not made till the MEM stage — so what instructions, if at all, should we insert into the pipeline following the branch instructions?
- Possible solution: stall the pipeline till branch decision is known
  - not efficient, slow the pipeline significantly!
- Another solution: predict the branch outcome
  - e.g., always predict branch-not-taken continue with next sequential instructions
  - if the prediction is wrong, have to flush the pipeline behind the branch
  - Need to flush 3 instructions (too many to degrade the pipeline performance)

### **Predicting Branch-not-taken**



The outcome of branch taken (prediction wrong) is decided only when beq is in the MEM stage, so the following three sequential instructions already in the pipeline have to be flushed and execution resumes at lw

#### Flushing on Misprediction


- Similar to the strategy as for stalling on load-use data hazard (RAW) ...
- Flush: Zero out all the control values (or the instruction itself) in pipeline registers for the instructions following the branch that are already in the pipeline
- If branch decision made in the ID stage (discussed later), we have to flush only one instruction in the IF stage — the branch delay penalty is then only one clock cycle

#### Optimizing the Pipeline to Reduce Branch Delay

- Move the branch decision from the MEM stage (as in our current pipeline) earlier to the ID stage
  - calculating the branch target address involves moving the branch adder from the MEM stage to the ID stage – inputs to this adder, the PC value and the immediate fields are already available in the IF/ID pipeline register
  - calculating the branch decision is efficiently done, e.g., for equality test, by XORing respective bits and then ORing all the results and inverting, rather than using the ALU to subtract and then test for zero (when there is a carry delay)
  - we must correspondingly make additions to the forwarding and hazard detection units to forward to or stall the branch at the ID stage in case the branch decision depends on an earlier result

### **Optimized Datapath for Branch**

IF.Flush control zeros out the instruction (which follows the branch) in the IF/ID pipeline register




Branch decision is moved from the MEM stage to the ID stage – simplified drawing not showing enhancements to the forwarding and hazard detection units

# Pipelined Branch (predict not taken)

```
36 sub $10, $4, $8
40 beq $1, $3, 7
44 and $12 $2, $5
48 or $13 $2, $6
52 add $14, $4, $2
56 slt $15, $6, $7
...
72 lw $4, 50($7)
```

Optimized pipeline with only one bubble as a result of the taken branch



#### **Summary**

- Pipelined processor design
  - An overview of pipelining
  - A pipelined datapath
  - Pipelined control
- Problems with pipelined processor
  - Data hazards and forwarding
  - Data hazards and stalls
  - Branch hazards