	example	atomic/1	compound/1	compound_name_arity/3	compound_name_arguments/3	functor/3	=../2 (univ)
atomic	`foo`	**true**	**false**	`ERROR: Type error on disassembly: compound_name_arity(foo,X,Y).`	`ERROR: Type error on disassembly: compound_name_arguments(foo,X,Y).`	`functor(foo,foo,0).`	`foo =.. [foo]`
arity 0	`foo()`	**false**	**true**	`compound_name_arity(foo(),foo,0).`	`compound_name_arguments(foo(),foo,[]).`	`ERROR: Domain error on disassembly: functor(foo(),X,Y).`	`ERROR: Domain error on disassembly: foo() =.. L.`
arity 1	`foo(1)`	**false**	**true**	`compound_name_arity(foo(1),foo,1).`	`compound_name_arguments(foo(1),foo,[1]).`	`functor(foo(1),foo,1).`	`foo(1) =.. [foo,1]`
arity 2	`foo(1,2)`	**false**	**true**	`compound_name_arity(foo(1,2),foo,2).`	`compound_name_arguments(foo(1,2),foo,[1,2]).`	`functor(foo(1,2),foo,2).`	`foo(1,2) =.. [foo,1,2]`

Approach for disassembly:

1) Check whether it is a compound term with compound/1
2) Disassemble with compound_name_arity/3 or compound_name_arguments/3

Approach for assembly:

- Always use compound_name_arity/3 to construct a skeleton, e.g. foo(_3958, _3960)
- Always use compound_name_arguments/3 to construct an parameter-adorned compound term

These must throw domain error on disassembly of arity 0 compound terms because there is no way to distinguish a dissembled “foo” and “foo()”: Disassembly would become a surjective mapping.