

Report

v. 1.0

Customer

Uniswap



Smart Contract Audit

v4-periphery | Universal router

5th September 2024

Contents

1 Changelog	5
2 Introduction	6
3 Project scope	7
4 Methodology	9
5 Our findings	10
6 Major Issues	11
CVF-1. Reported	11
CVF-2. Reported	11
CVF-3. Reported	11
CVF-4. Reported	12
7 Moderate Issues	13
CVF-5. Reported	13
CVF-6. Reported	13
CVF-7. Reported	13
CVF-8. Reported	14
CVF-9. Reported	14
CVF-10. Reported	15
CVF-11. Reported	15
CVF-12. Reported	16
CVF-13. Reported	16
CVF-14. Reported	16
CVF-15. Reported	17
CVF-16. Reported	17
CVF-17. Reported	17
CVF-18. Reported	18
CVF-19. Reported	18
CVF-20. Reported	18
8 Minor Issues	19
CVF-21. Reported	19
CVF-22. Reported	19
CVF-23. Reported	20
CVF-24. Reported	20
CVF-25. Reported	20
CVF-26. Reported	21
CVF-27. Reported	21
CVF-28. Reported	21
CVF-29. Reported	21

CVF-30. Reported	22
CVF-31. Reported	22
CVF-32. Reported	22
CVF-33. Reported	23
CVF-34. Reported	23
CVF-35. Reported	23
CVF-36. Reported	23
CVF-37. Reported	24
CVF-38. Reported	24
CVF-39. Reported	24
CVF-40. Reported	25
CVF-41. Reported	25
CVF-42. Reported	25
CVF-43. Reported	26
CVF-44. Reported	26
CVF-45. Reported	26
CVF-46. Reported	26
CVF-47. Reported	27
CVF-48. Reported	27
CVF-49. Reported	27
CVF-50. Reported	27
CVF-51. Reported	28
CVF-52. Reported	28
CVF-53. Reported	28
CVF-54. Reported	28
CVF-55. Reported	29
CVF-56. Reported	29
CVF-57. Reported	29
CVF-58. Reported	29
CVF-59. Reported	30
CVF-60. Reported	30
CVF-61. Reported	30
CVF-62. Reported	31
CVF-63. Reported	31
CVF-64. Reported	31
CVF-65. Reported	32
CVF-66. Reported	32
CVF-67. Reported	32
CVF-68. Reported	32
CVF-69. Reported	33
CVF-70. Reported	33
CVF-71. Reported	33
CVF-72. Reported	34
CVF-73. Reported	34
CVF-74. Reported	34
CVF-75. Reported	34

CVF-76. Reported	35
CVF-77. Reported	35
CVF-78. Reported	35
CVF-79. Reported	35
CVF-80. Reported	36
CVF-81. Reported	36
CVF-82. Reported	36
CVF-83. Reported	37
CVF-84. Reported	37
CVF-85. Reported	37
CVF-86. Reported	38
CVF-87. Reported	38
CVF-88. Reported	38
CVF-89. Reported	38
CVF-90. Reported	39
CVF-91. Reported	39
CVF-92. Reported	39
CVF-93. Reported	39
CVF-94. Reported	40
CVF-95. Reported	40
CVF-96. Reported	40
CVF-97. Reported	40
CVF-98. Reported	41
CVF-99. Reported	41
CVF-100. Reported	41
CVF-101. Reported	41
CVF-102. Reported	42
CVF-103. Reported	42
CVF-104. Reported	42
CVF-105. Reported	43
CVF-106. Reported	43
CVF-107. Reported	43
CVF-108. Reported	44
CVF-109. Reported	44

1 Changelog

#	Date	Author	Description
0.1	05.09.24	D. Khovratovich	Initial Draft
0.2	05.09.24	D. Khovratovich	Minor revision
0.9	05.09.24	D. Khovratovich	Release

2 Introduction

All modifications to this document are prohibited. Violators will be prosecuted to the full extent of the U.S. law.

The following document provides the result of the audit performed by ABDK Consulting (Mikhail Vladimirov and Dmitry Khovratovich) at the customer request. The audit goal is a general review of the smart contracts structure, critical/major bugs detection and issuing the general recommendations.

Uniswap V4, the latest iteration of the Uniswap protocol, is a significant advancement in decentralized exchanges (DEXs) and automated market makers (AMMs).

3 Project scope

We were asked to review:

- Periphery code
- Universal router code

Files:

unirouter/base/

Callbacks.sol Dispatcher.sol Lock.sol

unirouter/libraries

/Locker.sol

unirouter/modules/uniswap/v4/

V4SwapRouter.sol MigratorImmutables.sol V3ToV4Migrator.sol

unirouter/

UniversalRouter.sol

v4peri/base/

BaseActionsRouter.sol	DeltaResolver.sol	EIP712_v4.sol
ERC721Permit_v4.sol	ImmutableState.sol	Multicall_v4.sol
Notifier.sol	Permit2Forwarder.sol	PoolInitializer.sol
ReentrancyLock.sol	SafeCallback.sol	UnorderedNonce.sol

v4peri/interfaces/

IEIP712_v4.sol	IERC721Permit_v4.sol	IMulticall_v4.sol
INotifier.sol	IPositionManager.sol	IQuoter.sol
ISubscriber.sol	IV4Router.sol	

v4peri/lens/

Quoter.sol



v4peri/libraries/

ActionConstants.sol	Actions.sol	BipsLibrary.sol
CalldataDecoder.sol	ERC721PermitHash.sol	Locker.sol
PathKey.sol	PoolTicksCounter.sol	PositionConfig.sol
SafeCast.sol	SlippageCheck.sol	

v4peri/

PositionManager.sol	V4Router.sol
---------------------	--------------

All found issues were left as is.

After fixing the indicated issues, the smart contracts should be re-audited.



4 Methodology

The methodology is not a strict formal procedure, but rather a selection of methods and tactics combined differently and tuned for each particular project, depending on the project structure and technologies used, as well as on client expectations from the audit.

- **General Code Assessment.** The code is reviewed for clarity, consistency, style, and for whether it follows best code practices applicable to the particular programming language used. We check indentation, naming convention, commented code blocks, code duplication, confusing names, confusing, irrelevant, or missing comments etc. At this phase we also understand overall code structure.
- **Entity Usage Analysis.** Usages of various entities defined in the code are analysed. This includes both: internal usages from other parts of the code as well as potential external usages. We check that entities are defined in proper places as well as their visibility scopes and access levels are relevant. At this phase, we understand overall system architecture and how different parts of the code are related to each other.
- **Access Control Analysis.** For those entities, that could be accessed externally, access control measures are analysed. We check that access control is relevant and done properly. At this phase, we understand user roles and permissions, as well as what assets the system ought to protect.
- **Code Logic Analysis.** The code logic of particular functions is analysed for correctness and efficiency. We check if code actually does what it is supposed to do, if that algorithms are optimal and correct, and if proper data types are used. We also make sure that external libraries used in the code are up to date and relevant to the tasks they solve in the code. At this phase we also understand data structures used and the purposes they are used for.

We classify issues by the following severity levels:

- **Critical issue** directly affects the smart contract functionality and may cause a significant loss.
- **Major issue** is either a solid performance problem or a sign of misuse: a slight code modification or environment change may lead to loss of funds or data. Sometimes it is an abuse of unclear code behaviour which should be double checked.
- **Moderate issue** is not an immediate problem, but rather suboptimal performance in edge cases, an obviously bad code practice, or a situation where the code is correct only in certain business flows.
- **Recommendations** contain code style, best practices and other suggestions.



5 Our findings

We found 4 major, and a few less important issues.



6 Major Issues

CVF-1 Reported

- **Category** Overflow/Underflow
- **Source** SlippageCheck.sol

Description Underflow is possible when converting "int128" to "uint128".

Recommendation Use safe conversion.

```
15 if (uint128(delta.amount0()) < amount0Min || uint128(delta.amount1()
    ↵ ) < amount1Min) {  
  
23 if (uint128(-delta.amount0()) > amount0Max || uint128(-delta.amount1()
    ↵ ()) > amount1Max) {
```

CVF-2 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Locker.sol

Description Solidity permits junk in unused bits of narrow types.

Recommendation Explicitly clear unused bits of "locker" before storing.

```
14 tstore(LOCKER_SLOT, locker)
```

CVF-3 Reported

- **Category** Flaw
- **Source** Lock.sol

Description There is no check to ensure "msg.value" isn't zero, while zero address has a special meaning of "not locked".

Recommendation Add an explicit check to ensure "msg.value" isn't zero, or store an additional bit, along with a locker's address, to signal locked state.

```
14 Locker.set(msg.sender);
```



CVF-4 Reported

- **Category** Flaw
- **Source** Dispatcher.sol

Description This isn't enforced.

Recommendation Perform an appropriate selector check to enforce this.

258 // should only call modifyLiquidity() to mint or increase
 ↳ liquidity

7 Moderate Issues

CVF-5 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** CalldataDecoder.sol

Description There is no check to ensure “actions” is within “bytes”.

Recommendation Implement proper bounds checks.

```
30 actions.length := calldataload(actionsPtr)
```

```
34 actions.offset := add(actionsPtr, 0x20)
```

CVF-6 Reported

- **Category** Overflow/Underflow
- **Source** CalldataDecoder.sol

Description Underflow is possible here.

Recommendation Revert on underflow.

```
38 let relativeOffset := sub(params.offset, _bytes.offset)
```

```
259 relativeOffset := sub(offset, _bytes.offset)
```

CVF-7 Reported

- **Category** Overflow/Underflow
- **Source** CalldataDecoder.sol

Description Overflow is possible here.

Recommendation Revert on overflow.

```
40 if lt(_bytes.length, add(params.length, relativeOffset)) {
```



CVF-8 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** CalldataDecoder.sol

Description There are no checks to ensure "swapParams" are within "params".

Recommendation Implement proper bounds checks.

```
122 swapParams := add(params.offset, calldataload(params.offset))
```

```
134 swapParams := add(params.offset, calldataload(params.offset))
```

```
146 swapParams := add(params.offset, calldataload(params.offset))
```

```
158 swapParams := add(params.offset, calldataload(params.offset))
```

CVF-9 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Description In other words, we don't want to count the lowest of tickBefore and tickAfter, but we do want to count the highest of them.

Recommendation Use this fact to simplify the function: set tickFrom = min(tickBefore, tickAfter) + 1, tickTo = max(tickBefore, tickAfter), and then count bits from tickFrom to tickTo.

```
24 /// direction of the swap. If we are swapping upwards (tickAfter >
    ↪ tickBefore) we don't want to count tickBefore but we do
    /// want to count tickAfter. The opposite is true if we are swapping
    ↪ downwards.
```



CVF-10 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Description The mask logic is only needed only on the first and last iteration. Performing it on every iteration is inefficient.

Recommendation Refactor like this:

```
Refactor like this: if (cache.wordPosLower == cache.wordPosHigher) {  
    // Count the only word taking into account cache.bitPosLower and cache.bitPosHigher  
} else {  
    // Count the first word taking into account cache.bitPosLower // Iterate through  
    // middle words and count them completely // Count the last word taking into account  
    cache.bitPosHigher  
}
```

```
70  uint256 mask = type(uint256).max << cache.bitPosLower;
```

```
74  if (cache.wordPosLower == cache.wordPosHigher) {  
    mask = mask & (type(uint256).max >> (255 - cache.  
        ↪ bitPosHigher));
```

```
79  uint256 masked = bmLower & mask;
```

```
83  mask = type(uint256).max;
```

CVF-11 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Description This function is inefficient.

Recommendation Here is an efficient implementation: <https://github.com/Vectorized/sol-lady/blob/main/src/utils/LibBit.sol#L71-L82>

```
97  function countOneBits(uint256 x) private pure returns (uint16) {
```



CVF-12 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** IMulticall_v4.sol

Description It is unclear how a method could know whether it is called from "multicall".

Recommendation Elaborate more on how to safely implement methods compatible with multicall.

8 `/// @dev The `msg.value` should not be trusted for any method
 ↳ callable from multicall.`

CVF-13 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** DeltaResolver.sol

Recommendation This should be surrounded with an "unchecked" block to save gas and to support the -(2^255) value.

59 `amount = uint256(-_amount);`

CVF-14 Reported

- **Category** Flaw
- **Source** ERC721Permit_v4.sol

Description Such TODOs are quite dangerous.

Recommendation Pass the URI as a constructor argument. Note that short strings could be efficiently packed as bytes32 and stored in immutable variables: <https://gist.github.com/3sGgpQ8H/567354534170905e047b299286697e19>

104 `// TODO: to be implemented after audits
function tokenURI(uint256) public pure override returns (string
 ↳ memory) {
 return "https://example.com";
}`



CVF-15 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** Multicall_v4.sol

Description This function is payable, but there is no clear way to distribute the ether, provided along with the call among subcalls.

Recommendation Implement some mechanism for the subcalls to know how much incoming ether is not yet “consumed” by previously executed subcalls, and to “consume” this unconsumed ether.

```
10 function multicall(bytes[] calldata data) public payable override
    ↪ returns (bytes[] memory results) {
```

CVF-16 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Multicall_v4.sol

Description Error message emitted here doesn’t include if index of the failed subcallm which could make problem investigations harder.

Recommendation Wrap the raw message from a subcall into a error, and include ths subcall index into this error as an extra parameter.

```
18 revert(add(result, 0x20), mload(result))
```

CVF-17 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Description There is no check to ensure “_subscriber” is not zero, i.e. that a subscription for given token actually exists.

Recommendation Explicitly require “_subscriber” to be not zero.

```
47 ISubscriber _subscriber = subscriber[tokenId];
```



CVF-18 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** ReentrancyLock.sol

Description This cannot properly handle situation when “msg.sender” is zero. While this is very unlikely at the current time, future Ethereum updates could make this possible.

Recommendation Explicitly require “msg.sender” not not be zero or properly handle zero “msg.sender”, e.g. by storing an extra bit along with the locker’s address in the same storage slot.

12 Locker.set(**msg.sender**);

CVF-19 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Description There is no “_beforeModify” function anywhere around, nor calls to it.

Recommendation Remove this comment.

254 // _beforeModify is not called here because the tokenId is newly
 → minted

CVF-20 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Lock.sol

Description According to Solidity documentation (<https://docs.solidity-lang.org/en/v0.8.26/contracts.html#modifiers>): “The _ symbol can appear in the modifier multiple times. Each occurrence is replaced with the function body, and the function returns the return value of the final occurrence.” So using “_” twice in a modifier effectively duplicates the function code.

Recommendation Refactor the modifier to use “_” only once.

15 _;

19 _;



8 Minor Issues

CVF-21 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionConfig.sol

Description Consider specifying as “^0.8.0” unless there is something special regarding this particular version.

Recommendation Also relevant for: PathKey.sol, Actions.sol, ActionConstants.sol, ERC721PermitHash.sol, BipsLibrary.sol, Locker.sol, PoolTicksCounter.sol, Quoter.sol, IV4Router.sol, IMulticall_v4.sol, ISubscriber.sol, INotifier.sol, IPositionManager.sol, IQuoter.sol, ImmutableState.sol, SafeCallback.sol, BaseActionsRouter.sol, DeltaResolver.sol, EIP712_v4.sol, UnorderedNonce.sol, ERC721Permit_v4.sol, Multicall_v4.sol, Notifier.sol, Permit2Forwarder.sol, PoolInitializer.sol, ReentrancyLock.sol, V4Router.sol, PositionManager.sol, V4SwapRouter.sol, V3ToV4Migrator.sol, MigratorImmutables.sol, Locker.sol, Callbacks.sol, Lock.sol, Dispatcher.sol, UniversalRouter.sol.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.24;`

CVF-22 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionConfig.sol

Description Declaring a top-level structure in a file named after a library makes it harder navigating through code.

Recommendation Put the structure declaration into the library or move it into a separate file.

7 `struct PositionConfig {`

CVF-23 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PositionConfig.sol

Description Is the assembly block below really significantly more efficient than the hash expression in this comment?

Recommendation Replace assembly block with hash expression, if there are no significant benefit in using assembly block.

57 // id = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(currency0, currency1, fee,
 ↳ tickSpacing, hooks, tickLower, tickUpper))) >> 1

CVF-24 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PositionConfig.sol

Description This code is redundant, as Solidity permits junk in free memory https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.26/internals/layout_in_memory.html

Recommendation Remove this code.

70 // now clean the memory we used
mstore(add(fmp, 0x40), 0) // fmp+0x40 held hooks (14 bytes),
 ↳ tickLower, tickUpper
mstore(add(fmp, 0x20), 0) // fmp+0x20 held currency1, fee,
 ↳ tickSpacing, hooks (6 bytes)
mstore(fmp, 0) // fmp held currency0

CVF-25 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PathKey.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 **pragma solidity** ^0.8.20;



CVF-26 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PathKey.sol

Description Declaring a top-level structure in a file named after a library makes it harder navigating through code.

Recommendation Put the structure declaration into the library or move it into a separate file.

```
8 struct PathKey {
```

CVF-27 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** CalldataDecoder.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

```
2 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
```

CVF-28 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** CalldataDecoder.sol

Recommendation This error could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into it.

```
12 error SliceOutOfBounds();
```

CVF-29 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Actions.sol

Recommendation This library could be turned into a enum.

```
6 library Actions {
```

CVF-30 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** ActionConstants.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.19;`

CVF-31 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** ActionConstants.sol

Description This comment is useless, as it just describes the constant value.

Recommendation Change the comment to describe the role of the constant.

8 `/// This value is equivalent to 1<<255, i.e. a singular 1 in the
 → most significant bit.`

CVF-32 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** ERC721PermitHash.sol

Description Solidity compiler is smart enough to precompute constant hash expressions.

Recommendation Use the hash expression instead of a hardcoded hash value.

5 `/// @dev Value is equal to keccak256("Permit(address spender,uint256
 → tokenId,uint256 nonce,uint256 deadline)");
bytes32 constant PERMIT_TYPEHASH =
0x49ecf333e5b8c95c40fdafc95c1ad136e8914a8fb55e9dc8bb01eaa83a2df9ad;`

8 `/// @dev Value is equal to keccak256("PermitForAll(address operator,
 → bool approved,uint256 nonce,uint256 deadline)");
bytes32 constant PERMIT_FOR_ALL_TYPEHASH =
0x6673cb397ee2a50b6b8401653d3638b4ac8b3db9c28aa6870ffceb7574ec2f76;`



CVF-33 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** BipsLibrary.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

```
2 pragma solidity ^0.8.19;
```

CVF-34 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** BipsLibrary.sol

Recommendation This error could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into it.

```
10 error InvalidBips();
```

CVF-35 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** BipsLibrary.sol

Recommendation Brackets around multiplication are redundant.

```
16 return (amount * bips) / BPS_DENOMINATOR;
```

CVF-36 Reported

- **Category** Overflow/Underflow
- **Source** BipsLibrary.sol

Description Phantom overflow is possible here, i.e. a situation when the final calculation result would fit into the destination type, while some intermediary calculation overflows.

Recommendation Prevent phantom overflow in some way, for example in a way described here: <https://medium.com/coinmonks/math-in-solidity-part-3-percents-and-proportions-4db014e080b1#4821>

```
16 return (amount * bips) / BPS_DENOMINATOR;
```



CVF-37 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Locker.sol

Description Solidity compiler is smart enough to precompute constant hash expressions.

Recommendation Use the hash expression instead of a hardcoded hash value.

```
7 // The slot holding the locker state, transiently. bytes32(uint256(  
    ↪ keccak256("LockedBy")) - 1)  
bytes32 constant LOCKED_BY_SLOT =  
0xaedd6bde10e3aa2adec092b02a3e3e805795516cda41f27aa145b8f300af87a;
```

CVF-38 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

```
2 pragma solidity >=0.8.20;
```

CVF-39 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Description The value "tickBefore / key.tickSpacing" is calculated twice.

Recommendation Calculate once and reuse.

```
35 int16 wordPos = int16((tickBefore / key.tickSpacing) >> 8);  
uint8 bitPos = uint8(uint24((tickBefore / key.tickSpacing) % 256));
```



CVF-40 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Recommendation Bitwise “AND” would be more efficient than reminder operator.

```
36 uint8 bitPos = uint8(uint24((tickBefore / key.tickSpacing) % 256));  
39 uint8 bitPosAfter = uint8(uint24((tickAfter / key.tickSpacing) %  
    ↪ 256));
```

CVF-41 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PoolTicksCounter.sol

Description The value “tickAfter / key.tickSpacing” is calculated twice.

Recommendation Calculate once and reuse.

```
38 int16 wordPosAfter = int16((tickAfter / key.tickSpacing) >> 8);  
  uint8 bitPosAfter = uint8(uint24((tickAfter / key.tickSpacing) %  
    ↪ 256));
```

CVF-42 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SafeCast.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

```
2 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
```

CVF-43 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SafeCast.sol

Description Such TODOs are quite dangerous.

Recommendation Don't forget to resolve it.

8 `/// TODO after audits move this function to core's SafeCast.sol!`

CVF-44 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SafeCast.sol

Description The library name doesn't match the file name.

Recommendation Rename either the library or the file.

9 `library SafeCastTemp {`

CVF-45 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SlippageCheck.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.0;`

CVF-46 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SlippageCheck.sol

Description The library name doesn't match the file name.

Recommendation Remane either the library or the file.

8 `library SlippageCheckLibrary {`



CVF-47 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** SlippageCheck.sol

Recommendation These errors could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into them.

```
9 error MaximumAmountExceeded();
10 error MinimumAmountInsufficient();
```

CVF-48 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SlippageCheck.sol

Description The expressions "delta.amount0()" and "delta.amount1()" are calculated twice.

Recommendation Calculate once and reuse.

```
33 delta.amount0() < 0 && amount0Max < uint128(-delta.amount0())
    || delta.amount1() < 0 && amount1Max < uint128(-delta.amount1())
```

CVF-49 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Quoter.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

```
2 pragma solidity ^0.8.20;
```

CVF-50 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Quoter.sol

Recommendation This import should be grouped together with other Uniswap imports.

```
16 import {StateLibrary} from "@uniswap/v4-core/src/libraries/
    ↪ StateLibrary.sol";
```

CVF-51 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Quoter.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

55 `constructor(IPoolManager _poolManager) SafeCallback(_poolManager) {}`

CVF-52 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** Quoter.sol

Recommendation Just assign “params.exactAmount” to “cache.prevAmount” before the loop, to make this ternary operator unnecessary.

176 `-int256(int128(i == 0 ? params.exactAmount : cache.prevAmount)),`

CVF-53 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** IV4Router.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.19;`

CVF-54 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** IV4Router.sol

Recommendation These errors could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into them.

12 `error V4TooLittleReceived();`

14 `error V4TooMuchRequested();`

CVF-55 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** IEIP712_v4.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.0;`

CVF-56 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** IMulticall_v4.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.19;`

CVF-57 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** IPositionManager.sol

Recommendation This error could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into it.

12 `error DeadlinePassed();`

CVF-58 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** IERC721Permit_v4.sol

Description Specifying a compiler version range without an upper bound is a bad practice, as it is impossible to guarantee compatibility with future major releases.

Recommendation Specify as "`^0.7.0 || ^0.8.0`", or as "`^0.7.5 || ^0.8.0`" if there is something special regarding this particular version.

2 `pragma solidity >=0.7.5;`



CVF-59 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** IERC721Permit_v4.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity >=0.7.5;`

CVF-60 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** IQuoter.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.20;`

CVF-61 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** IQuoter.sol

Recommendation These errors could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into them.

14 `error InvalidLockCaller();
error InvalidQuoteBatchParams();
error InsufficientAmountOut();`

CVF-62 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** IQuoter.sol

Recommendation It would be more efficient to return a single array of structs with three fields, rather than three parallel arrays.

65 `int128[] memory deltaAmounts,
uint160[] memory sqrtPriceX96AfterList,
uint32[] memory initializedTicksLoadedList`

95 `int128[] memory deltaAmounts,
uint160[] memory sqrtPriceX96AfterList,
uint32[] memory initializedTicksLoadedList`

CVF-63 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** ImmutableState.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.19;`

CVF-64 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** SafeCallback.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

11 `constructor(IPoolManager _poolManager) ImmutableState(_poolManager)
→ {}`

CVF-65 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** SafeCallback.sol

Recommendation The “by” preposition looks redundant here, as “onlyPoolManager” would sound more conventional.

```
13 modifier onlyByPoolManager() {
```

CVF-66 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** BaseActionsRouter.sol

Recommendation This error could be made more useful by adding certain parameters into it.

```
16 error InputLengthMismatch();
```

CVF-67 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** BaseActionsRouter.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

```
21 constructor(IPoolManager _poolManager) SafeCallback(_poolManager) {}
```

CVF-68 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** BaseActionsRouter.sol

Recommendation Explicit conversion to “uint256” is redundant.

```
44 uint256 action = uint256(uint8(actions[actionIndex]));
```

CVF-69 Reported

- **Category** Readability
- **Source** DeltaResolver.sol

Recommendation Should be "else return".

79 `return amount;`

87 `return amount;`

101 `return amount;`

CVF-70 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** EIP712_v4.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.20;`

CVF-71 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** EIP712_v4.sol

Description Solidity compiler is smart enough to precompute constant hash expressions.

Recommendation Use the hash expression instead of a hardcoded hash value.

18 `/// @dev equal to keccak256("EIP712Domain(string name,uint256
 ↳ chainId,address verifyingContract)")`

20 `bytes32 private constant _TYPE_HASH =
 0x8cad95687ba82c2ce50e74f7b754645e5117c3a5bec8151c0726d5857980a866;`

CVF-72 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** ERC721Permit_v4.sol

Description We didn't review this file.

```
4 import {ERC721} from "solmate/src/tokens/ERC721.sol";
```

CVF-73 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** ERC721Permit_v4.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

```
18 constructor(string memory name_, string memory symbol_) ERC721(name_
    ↪ , symbol_) EIP712_v4(name_) {}
```

CVF-74 Reported

- **Category** Documentation
- **Source** ERC721Permit_v4.sol

Description It is unclear what this comment is about.

Recommendation Remove or rephrase the comment.

```
77 // The zero address indicates there is no approved address
```

CVF-75 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** ERC721Permit_v4.sol

Description This function is too simple to be extracted.

Recommendation Remove the function and inline the check.

```
100 function _checkNoSelfPermit(address owner, address permitted)
    ↪ internal pure {
        if (owner == permitted) revert NoSelfPermit();
```



CVF-76 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Multicall_v4.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.19;`

CVF-77 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation This TODO should be resolved or removed.

11 `/// TODO: Use CustomRevert library when it supports subcontext's
 ↳ addresss`

CVF-78 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation This error could be made more useful by adding the token ID as a parameter.

16 `error AlreadySubscribed(address subscriber);`

CVF-79 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation The parameters of these events should be indexed.

18 `event Subscribed(uint256 tokenId, address subscriber);
event Unsubscribed(uint256 tokenId, address subscriber);`



CVF-80 Reported

- **Category** Bad naming
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation Events are usually named via nouns, such as "Subscription" or "Unsubscription".

```
18 event Subscribed(uint256 tokenId, address subscriber);
event Unsubscribed(uint256 tokenId, address subscriber);
```

CVF-81 Reported

- **Category** Readability
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation This value could be rendered as "0.01e4".

```
26 uint256 private constant BLOCK_LIMIT_BPS = 100;
```

CVF-82 Reported

- **Category** Bad datatype
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation The type for the "newSubscriber" argument should be "ISubscriber".

```
30 function _subscribe(uint256 tokenId, PositionConfig memory config,
    ↪ address newSubscriber, bytes memory data)
```

CVF-83 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

```
38 try ISubscriber(newSubscriber).notifySubscribe(tokenId, config, data  
    ↵ ) {}
```

```
51 try _subscriber.notifyUnsubscribe{gas: subscriberGasLimit}(tokenId,  
    ↵ config, data) {} catch {}
```

```
59 try _subscriber.notifyModifyLiquidity(tokenId, config,  
    ↵ liquidityChange) {}
```

```
67 try _subscriber.notifyTransfer(tokenId, previousOwner, newOwner) {}
```

CVF-84 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** Notifier.sol

Description This event is emitted even if there were no subscription.

```
54 emit Unsubscribed(tokenId, address(_subscriber));
```

CVF-85 Reported

- **Category** Bad naming
- **Source** Poollnitalizer.sol

Description The semantics of the returned values is unclear.

Recommendation Give a descriptive name to the returned value and/or explain in a documentation comment.

```
11 returns (int24)
```



CVF-86 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** V4Router.sol

Description This version requirement is inconsistent with other files in this code base.

Recommendation Use consistent version requirements across the code base.

2 `pragma solidity ^0.8.19;`

CVF-87 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** V4Router.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

31 `constructor(IPoolManager _poolManager) BaseActionsRouter(
 ↪ _poolManager) {}`

CVF-88 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Description We didn't review these files.

14 `import {SafeTransferLib} from "solmate/src/utils/SafeTransferLib.sol
 ↪ "
import {ERC20} from "solmate/src/tokens/ERC20.sol";`

CVF-89 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Recommendation This variable doesn't need to be public.

56 `uint256 public nextTokenId = 1;`



CVF-90 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty bloc to explain why the block is empty.

64 { }

CVF-91 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Description When called not under lock, this function silently returns zero address.

Recommendation Revert or return the real message sender when called not under lock.

133 `function msgSender() public view override returns (address) {
 return _getLocker();`

CVF-92 Reported

- **Category** Unclear behavior
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Description This function should return the minted token ID.

238 `function _mint(`

CVF-93 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Recommendation The type conversion is redundant here, as Solidity compiler would anyway do it automatically.

268 `uint256 liquidity = uint256(getPositionLiquidity(tokenId, config));`



CVF-94 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Description The comment doesn't add any information.

Recommendation Remove the comment.

278 `// Burn the token.
_burn(tokenId);`

CVF-95 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** PositionManager.sol

Recommendation This TODO should be either resolved or removed.

353 `// TODO: currency is guaranteed to not be eth so the native check in
 ↳ transfer is not optimal.`

CVF-96 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** V4SwapRouter.sol

Description We didn't review these files.

4 `import {UniswapImmutables} from '../UniswapImmutables.sol';
import {Permit2Payments} from '../../../../../Permit2Payments.sol';`

CVF-97 Reported

- **Category** Bad datatype
- **Source** V4SwapRouter.sol

Recommendation The argument type should be "IPoolManager".

12 `constructor(address _poolManager) V4Router(IPoolManager(_poolManager
 ↳)) {}`



CVF-98 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** MigratorImmutables.sol

Description Declaring a top-level struct in a file named after a contract makes it harder navigating through code.

Recommendation Put the struct declaration into the contract or move it into a separate file.

7 `struct MigratorParameters {`

CVF-99 Reported

- **Category** Bad datatype
- **Source** MigratorImmutables.sol

Recommendation The type for this field should be "INonfungiblePositionManager".

8 `address v3PositionManager;`

CVF-100 Reported

- **Category** Bad datatype
- **Source** MigratorImmutables.sol

Recommendation The type for this field should be "IPositionManager".

9 `address v4PositionManager;`

CVF-101 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Locker.sol

Description Solidity compiler is smart enough to precompute constant hash expressions.

Recommendation Use the hash expression instead of a hardcoded hash value.

8 `// The slot holding the locker state, transiently. bytes32(uint256(`
 `↳ keccak256("Locker")) - 1)`
9 `bytes32 constant LOCKER_SLOT =`
10 `0x0e87e1788ebd9ed6a7e63c70a374cd3283e41cad601d21fbe27863899ed4a708;`



CVF-102 Reported

- **Category** Documentation
- **Source** Callbacks.sol

Description This contract actually implements functionality defined by a particular ERC, namely ERC-165.

Recommendation Rephrase comment.

```
6 /// @title ERC Callback Support
/// @notice Implements various functions introduced by a variety of
//         ↪ ERCS for security reasons.
/// All are called by external contracts to ensure that this
//         ↪ contract safely supports the ERC in question.
```

CVF-103 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Dispatcher.sol

Description We didn't review these files.

```
4 import {V2SwapRouter} from '../modules/uniswap/v2/V2SwapRouter.sol';
import {V3SwapRouter} from '../modules/uniswap/v3/V3SwapRouter.sol';

7 import {BytesLib} from '../modules/uniswap/v3/BytesLib.sol';
import {Payments} from '../modules/Payments.sol';
import {PaymentsImmutables} from '../modules/PaymentsImmutables.sol'
//         ↪ ;

12 import {Commands} from '../libraries/Commands.sol';
```

CVF-104 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Dispatcher.sol

Recommendation This file could be imported as "./Callbacks.sol".

```
11 import {Callbacks} from '../base/Callbacks.sol';
```

CVF-105 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** Dispatcher.sol

Description Conversion from "bytes1" to "uint8" involves a shift operation.

Recommendation Consider passing the comment type as "uint8" to avoid shifting.

```
36 uint256 command = uint8(commandType & Commands.COMMAND_TYPE_MASK);
```

CVF-106 Reported

- **Category** Suboptimal
- **Source** Dispatcher.sol

Description Indentation doesn't suggest, that the comment belongs to the "else" statement below.

Recommendation Put the comment after the "else" statement like this: } else { // 008 <= command < 0x10

```
135     // 0x08 <= command < 0x10
} else {
```

```
220     // 0x10 <= command < 0x18
} else {
```

CVF-107 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** Dispatcher.sol

Recommendation This check should be done earlier, before obtaining the token ID.

```
245 if (!isValidAction(selector)) {
```



CVF-108 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** UniversalRouter.sol

Description We didn't review these files.

```
6 import {RouterParameters} from './base/RouterImmutables.sol';
import {PaymentsImmutables, PaymentsParameters} from './modules/
    ↪ PaymentsImmutables.sol';
import {UniswapImmutables, UniswapParameters} from './modules/
    ↪ uniswap/UniswapImmutables.sol';

10 import {Commands} from './libraries/Commands.sol';
import {IUniversalRouter} from './interfaces/IUniversalRouter.sol';
```

CVF-109 Reported

- **Category** Procedural
- **Source** UniversalRouter.sol

Recommendation It is a good practice to put a comment into an empty block to explain why the block is empty.

27 {}



ABDK Consulting

About us

Established in 2016, is a leading service provider in the space of blockchain development and audit. It has contributed to numerous blockchain projects, and co-authored some widely known blockchain primitives like Poseidon hash function.

The ABDK Audit Team, led by Mikhail Vladimirov and Dmitry Khovratovich, has conducted over 40 audits of blockchain projects in Solidity, Rust, Circom, C++, JavaScript, and other languages.

Contact

Email

dmitry@abdkconsulting.com

Website

abdk.consulting

Twitter

twitter.com/ABDKconsulting

LinkedIn

linkedin.com/company/abdk-consulting