

### **Sequence - Eiffel**

## **Executive Summary**

This audit report was prepared by Quantstamp, the leader in blockchain security.

Туре	Enclave Infra
Timeline	2024-03-20 through 2024-03-22
Language	Go, Dockerfile
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review
Specification	Sequence WaaS: Trusted Third Party Upgrade Protocols [건
Source Code	https://github.com/0xsequence/eiffel ☑ #aed2359 ☑
Auditors	<ul><li>Andy Lin Senior Auditing Engineer</li><li>Gelei Deng Auditing Engineer</li></ul>

Documentation quality	Medium
Test quality	Undetermined
Total Findings	2 Fixed: 2
High severity findings ③	0
Medium severity findings ③	0
Low severity findings ③	1 Fixed: 1
Undetermined severity (i) findings	0
Informational findings ③	1 Fixed: 1

# **Summary of Findings**

We conducted an audit focusing on the eiffel repository, which provides crucial tools like a base Docker image, a script for building the Enclave Image File (EIF), and a special pidl Go executable. This executable helps start essential services such as the chronyd daemon. Our main goal was to check if PCRO, a type of hash, can be trusted to verify the security of the software environment, known as an enclave. The Sequence team, who developed this, wants to ensure that any application using their tools can consistently produce the same reliable PCRO hash if the starting conditions remain the same, so that users could validate the integrity of the services running in the enclave.

For our review, we began with hands-on tests to verify our initial assumptions. We built the sample app on different operating systems (MacOS, Ubuntu, Windows) and found that PCR0 was always the same, proving it works as intended. Changing the code or the setup of the app also resulted in changes to PCR0, which demonstrates that the hash can detect differences. In addition to these tests, we explored how AWS's Nitro Enclaves and its security checks work, focusing on PCR values and AWS KMS's role in ensuring an enclave's integrity. We examined the code and settings to ensure everything was correct. Our review confirmed that the Sequence team's approach is solid, but we also identified some areas that could be improved and a potential issue that might affect operations.

Last but not least, for the future audit of the waas-authenticator, or any app that uses the eiffel base image, we recommend checking the following:

- The layout.yaml file should not have conflicts with the bootstrap.yaml, as it is unclear which file will take precedence.
- The layout.yaml must define both the cmd and env.
- The cmd must start with /sbin/pid1 according to the current design, unless there is a specific reason to bypass the pid1/main.go.
- The Dockerfile of the app should use a pinned version of the base image, for example, FROM ghcr.io/0xsequence/eiffel:v0.3.0@sha256:d4aa946d7eb587e0554123efc3eaa5830a1428b0325ea239fe489e372f573dfe.
- Sequence team should provide valid, verifiable approach for users to examine the PCRO value of running enclaves with the app to the users.

**Fix Review Update:** All issues have been addressed. The team resolved them using a more elegant code style than we recommended and went further by locking additional dependency versions beyond what we had pointed out. We have tested the fix for SEQ-1, and it now works as expected.

ID	DESCRIPTION	SEVERITY	STATUS
SEQ-1	Risk of Zombie Instance	• Low ③	Fixed
SEQ-2	Dependency Version Not Pinned	• Informational ③	Fixed

### **Assessment Breakdown**

Quantstamp's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.



#### **Disclaimer**

Only features that are contained within the repositories at the commit hashes specified on the front page of the report are within the scope of the audit and fix review. All features added in future revisions of the code are excluded from consideration in this report.

Also, we did not review dependencies used in the project, such as aws-nitro-enclaves-cli. The security of the dependencies are out of the scope of this review.

#### Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Dockerfile setup
- Infrastructure architecture
- Nitro enclave security concerns
- KMS integration

#### Methodology

- 1. Code review that includes the following
  - 1. Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
  - 2. Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
  - 3. Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp describe.
- 2. Testing and analysis that includes the following:
  - 1. Symbolic execution, which is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarity, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.

### Scope

#### **Files Included**

Repo: https://github.com/0xsequence/eiffel(676c6c43d6b165059a84be66b8b5e0d887dc2a20) Files: \*

#### Files Excluded

Repo: https://github.com/0xsequence/eiffel(676c6c43d6b165059a84be66b8b5e0d887dc2a20) Files: .github

# **Findings**

### **SEQ-1** Risk of Zombie Instance



Fixed



#### **Update**

The team fixed the issue in commit 83d49069 by combining the use of errgroup.WithContext() and exec.CommandContext(). The current implementation leverages the Go context. errgroup.WithContext() ensures that any goroutine exiting with an error will trigger the cancellation of the context. Meanwhile, exec.CommandContext() will interrupt and stop any other spawned executions when the context is cancelled.

File(s) affected: pid1/main.go

**Description:** The main() function initiates commands, with the first command being a hardcoded chronyd, and others can be passed as argument inputs. The function triggers these commands (cmd.Start()) and spawns goroutines to wait for the commands to return or finish

(cmd.Wait()). At the end of the function, it uses wg.Wait() to wait for all commands to finish. If any of the commands errors out, it uses log.Fatal() to log the error and exit the program.

The issue arises if one of the commands is a long-running program, it will never return, leading wg.Wait() to wait indefinitely. If any of the commands fail during this period, the program will continue running and not exit. There is a risk that the enclave becomes a zombie instance, as the main command it aims to run might have already stopped, while the main pid1 program is still waiting for other long-running commands (e.g., chronyd) to finish.

Exploit Scenario: Here is an example,

- 1. The pid1 triggers the example command and the chronyd daemon.
- 2. The example command crashes somehow.
- 3. The pid1 will not stop, and the instance becomes a zombie that cannot perform its intended function anymore.

**Recommendation:** Consider a different design that allows the program to stop if any of the spawned commands error out. For instance, one could use a for-loop with select to help stop the program earlier:

```
for i := 0; i < num_of_spawned_go_routines; i++ {
    select {
    case err := <-done:
        if err != nil {
            log.Fatal(err.Error())
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

### **SEQ-2** Dependency Version Not Pinned

Informational (i)

Fixed



#### **Update**

The team pinned the versions of the Docker image dependencies in commit 3cf4ed3. Not only is the specified dependency (aws-nitro-enclaves-image-format) pinned, but the versions of the rust and alpine images are also locked.

File(s) affected: Dockerfile

**Description:** The main Dockerfile clones the aws-nitro-enclaves-image-format repository from the main branch in the following line: RUN git clone --depth 1 -b main https://github.com/aws/aws-nitro-enclaves-image-format.git /workspace. The setup does not pin the dependency to a specific version, which might risk having indeterministic builds in the future.

During the initial audit, we have discussed this with the team, and they seem to have plans to replace this specific dependency repository soon. So, the issue might become irrelevant after that.

**Recommendation:** After checking the dependency repository and noting it does not have release versions, we suggest pinning it to a specific git commit hash.

### **Definitions**

- **High severity** High-severity issues usually put a large number of users' sensitive information at risk, or are reasonably likely to lead to catastrophic impact for client's reputation or serious financial implications for client and users.
- Medium severity Medium-severity issues tend to put a subset of users' sensitive information at risk, would be detrimental for the client's reputation if exploited, or are reasonably likely to lead to moderate financial impact.
- Low severity The risk is relatively small and could not be exploited on a recurring basis, or is a risk that the client has indicated is low impact in view of the client's business circumstances.
- Informational The issue does not post an immediate risk, but is relevant to security best practices or Defence in Depth.
- **Undetermined** The impact of the issue is uncertain.
- **Fixed** Adjusted program implementation, requirements or constraints to eliminate the risk.
- Mitigated Implemented actions to minimize the impact or likelihood of the risk.
- Acknowledged The issue remains in the code but is a result of an intentional business or design decision. As such, it is supposed to be addressed outside the programmatic means, such as: 1) comments, documentation, README, FAQ; 2) business processes; 3) analyses showing that the issue shall have no negative consequences in practice (e.g., gas analysis, deployment settings).

## **Automated Analysis**

# Changelog

- 2024-03-22 Initial report
- 2024-03-26 Fix review report

## **About Quantstamp**

Quantstamp is a global leader in blockchain security. Founded in 2017, Quantstamp's mission is to securely onboard the next billion users to Web3 through its best-in-class Web3 security products and services.

Quantstamp's team consists of cybersecurity experts hailing from globally recognized organizations including Microsoft, AWS, BMW, Meta, and the Ethereum Foundation. Quantstamp engineers hold PhDs or advanced computer science degrees, with decades of combined experience in formal verification, static analysis, blockchain audits, penetration testing, and original leading-edge research.

To date, Quantstamp has performed more than 500 audits and secured over \$200 billion in digital asset risk from hackers. Quantstamp has worked with a diverse range of customers, including startups, category leaders and financial institutions. Brands that Quantstamp has worked with include Ethereum 2.0, Binance, Visa, PayPal, Polygon, Avalanche, Curve, Solana, Compound, Lido, MakerDAO, Arbitrum, OpenSea and the World Economic Forum.

Quantstamp's collaborations and partnerships showcase our commitment to world-class research, development and security. We're honored to work with some of the top names in the industry and proud to secure the future of web3.

Notable Collaborations & Customers:

- Blockchains: Ethereum 2.0, Near, Flow, Avalanche, Solana, Cardano, Binance Smart Chain, Hedera Hashgraph, Tezos
- DeFi: Curve, Compound, Maker, Lido, Polygon, Arbitrum, SushiSwap
- NFT: OpenSea, Parallel, Dapper Labs, Decentraland, Sandbox, Axie Infinity, Illuvium, NBA Top Shot, Zora
- · Academic institutions: National University of Singapore, MIT

#### **Timeliness of content**

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by Quantstamp; however, Quantstamp does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication or other making available of the report to you by Quantstamp.

### **Notice of confidentiality**

This report, including the content, data, and underlying methodologies, are subject to the confidentiality and feedback provisions in your agreement with Quantstamp. These materials are not to be disclosed, extracted, copied, or distributed except to the extent expressly authorized by Quantstamp.

#### Links to other websites

You may, through hypertext or other computer links, gain access to web sites operated by persons other than Quantstamp. Such hyperlinks are provided for your reference and convenience only, and are the exclusive responsibility of such web sites&aspo; owners. You agree that Quantstamp are not responsible for the content or operation of such web sites, and that Quantstamp shall have no liability to you or any other person or entity for the use of third-party web sites. Except as described below, a hyperlink from this web site to another web site does not imply or mean that Quantstamp endorses the content on that web site or the operator or operations of that site. You are solely responsible for determining the extent to which you may use any content at any other web sites to which you link from the report. Quantstamp assumes no responsibility for the use of third-party software on any website and shall have no liability whatsoever to any person or entity for the accuracy or completeness of any output generated by such software.

#### Disclaimer

The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Quantstamp disclaims all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. You agree that your access and/or use of the report and other results of the review, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE. This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. You acknowledge that Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws and, as such, the report may not be complete or inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review is limited to the materials identified in the report and does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other greas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. The report does not indicate the endorsement by Quantstamp of any particular project or team, nor quarantee its security, and may not be represented as such. No third party is entitled to rely on the report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. Quantstamp does not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party, or any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, or any related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, or any other websites or mobile applications, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring

any transaction between you and any third party. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.



© 2024 – Quantstamp, Inc. Sequence - eiffel

•••