[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be private variable and only accessed through the PasswordStore::s_password function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract

we show one such method of reading any data off chain below

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol

Proof of Concept: (Proof of Code)

The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain

1. Create a locally running blockchain

make anvil

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

make deploy

3. Run the storage tool 1 is the storage slot of s_password in the contract

```
cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

myPassword

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One can encrypt the password offchain, then store the encrypted one on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password

Likelihood and Impact: - Impact: HIGH - Likelihood: HIGH - Severity: HIGH

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The Password::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the

```
smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a new password
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change password of the contract , severely breaking the contract's intended functionality

Proof of Concept: Add the following to PasswordStore.t.sol test file.

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStore_NotOwner();
}
```

Likelihood and Impact

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description:

```
/*
    * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
    // @audit there is no newPassword parameter
    * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

*/
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() which the natspec say it should be getPassword(string)

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line

* @param newPassword The new password to set.

Likelihood and Impact

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: NONE

• Severity: Informational/Gas/Non-crit

Informational: Hey, this isn't a bug, but you should know...