

The Trend Locality Sensitive Hash: TLSH

Jon Oliver, Chun Cheng, Yanggui Chen 21st May 2013

Contact: tlsh@trendmicro.com

Getting TLSH

 Contact us at tlsh@trendmicro.com

Source Code:

https://github.com/trendmicro/tlsh/archive/tlsh-3.0.4.zip



What is Locality Sensitive Hashing

- Traditional hashes (such as SHA1 and MD5) have the property that a small change to the file being hashed results in a completely different hash
- Locality Sensitive Hashes (LSH) have the property that a small change to the file being hashed results in a small change to the hash
 - You can measure the similarity between 2 files by comparing their LSH values



Example Locality Sensitive Hashing

Text 1 – Chapter 1 of Pride and Prejudice

It is a truth universally acknowledged, that a single man in possession of a good fortune, must be in want of a wife.

. .

When she was discontented, she fancied herself nervous. The business of her life was to get her daughters married; its solace was visiting and news.

Text 2 - Chapter 1 of Pride and Prejudice with last line removed

It is a truth universally acknowledged, that a single man in possession of a good fortune, must be in want of a wife.

. .

When she was discontented, she fancied herself nervous.



Example Locality Sensitive Hashing	
	TLSH
Text 1	E491A51FA380022245B021E9770F3A6FF706C1780365C631581EF6263731EAA87F96EE

5B91940FA380026245B021A9771F7A6FF706C1780765C671981EF6263731EAA87F96DE

MD5_HASH

Text 1 3b9dd1f86ce0c3b467055b48f9a5221c Text 2 7dc8267c6bea14d36df64934aad4604f

SHA1 HASH

Text 1 8b8c6ce1253515a1fbceaec0f5cfc58780e6fd5e Text 2 e494d7fa7b4080520c59a6702764983ff9b6d399

The MD5 and SHA1 hashes are completely different For these 2 pieces of text, the TLSH values are quite similar Hashes



Text 2

Example Locality Sensitive Hashing

TLSH

Text 1 E491A51FA380022245B021E9770F3A6FF706C1780365C631581EF6263731EAA87F96EE

Text 2 5B91940FA380026245B021A9771F7A6FF706C1780765C671981EF6263731EAA87F96DE

The distance between Text 1 and Text 2

distance(Text1,Text2) = 11

Distance scores can go up to 1000 and above


A low score (of 50 or less) means that the files are quite similar

You will need to determine an appropriate threshold for your application

A distance of 0 means that the files are (very likely) to be exactly the same

Just like the MD5 and SHA1 schemes, collisions can occur and very different files will have the same hash value.








 We use the Pearson hash [reference 1] as the mapping function between the trigrams from a window to the buckets.



- TLSH uses a 4-way to reflect the differences between different histograms
- The q2 point is at the median bucket count
- The q1 are the lower and higher quartiles respectively





Algorithm to determine the hash

- Introduce three head bytes (6 hexadecimal characters) to preserve this information.
- The hexadecimal representation of the hash is
 - H[0]H[1] → checksum
 - $H[2]H[3] \rightarrow L$ value
 - $H[4] \rightarrow Q1$ ratio
 - H[5] \rightarrow Q2 ratio
 - H[6] .. H[69] the binary representations of the 128 buckets (using the method from the previous slide) turned into hex characters



Algorithm to determine the hash

- The L value
- The input for the L value is the length of the original document (len)

```
If len <= 656
      i \leftarrow log(len)/log(1.5)
else
      if len <= 3199
            i \leftarrow log(len)/log(1.3) - 8.72777
      else
            i \leftarrow log(len)/log(1.1) - 62.5472
i ← i MOD 256
return i
```



The Q ratio values

```
q1 \leftarrow the 32<sup>nd</sup> smallest number in bucket[0..127] q2 \leftarrow the 64<sup>th</sup> smallest number in bucket[0..127] q3 \leftarrow the 96<sup>th</sup> smallest number in bucket[0..127] q1_ratio \leftarrow (q1*100/q3) MOD 16 q2_ratio \leftarrow (q2*100/q3) MOD 16
```



Calculating the distance between 2 hashes

Define a mod_diff(X, Y, R) function between two values, X and Y, according to a range R.

X and Y are values in the range [0, .. R-1]

Calculate the distance between X and Y in 2 ways i.the difference between X and Y ii.the difference between X and Y if you go up to R-1 and then back to 0 The mod_diff value is the minimum of (i) and (ii)

examples:

mod_diff(3, 4, 16) = 1 mod_diff(3, 10, 16) = 7 mod_diff(3, 15, 16) = 4



Calculating the distance between 2 hashes

```
// Input: t1 and t2
Const RANGE LVALUE = 256
Const RANGE QRATIO = 16
diff \leftarrow 0
ldiff ← mod_diff(t1.lvalue, t2.lvalue, RANGE_LVALUE);
If Idiff <= 1
     diff \leftarrow diff + Idiff
else
     diff ← diff + Idiff * 12:
q1diff \leftarrow mod diff(t1.q1ratio, t2.q1ratio, RANGE QRATIO);
If q1diff <= 1
     diff ← diff + q1diff
else
     diff \leftarrow diff + (q1diff-1) * 12;
q2diff ← mod_diff(t1.q2ratio, t2.q2ratio, RANGE_QRATIO);
If q2diff <= 1
      diff ← diff + q2diff
else
      diff \leftarrow diff + (q2diff-1) * 12;
```




Calculating the distance between 2 hashes (cont.)

```
If t1.checksum <> t2.checksum
      diff \leftarrow diff + 1
for i \leftarrow 0 to 31 {
     decode t1.H[i+6] in 4 binary values b10 b11 b12 b13
     decode t2.H[i+6] in 4 binary values b20 b21 b22 b23
     if (b10,b11) != b(20,21) {
                  (b10,b11) == (1,1) AND (b20,b21) == (0,0) diff = diff + 6
           else if (b10,b11) == (0,0) AND (b20,b21) == (1,1) diff = diff + 6
           else if (b10,b11) == (1,1) AND (b20,b21) == (0,1) diff = diff + 2
           else if (b10,b11) == (0,0) AND (b20,b21) == (1,0) diff = diff + 2
           else diff = diff + 1
     // do the identical process for (b12,b13) and (b22,b23)
return diff
```



References



The Pearson Hash

[1] "Fast Hashing of Variable-Length Text Strings" by Peter K. Pearson Communications of the ACM, Volume 33 Issue 6, June 1990 Pages 677-680. http://cs.mwsu.edu/~griffin/courses/2133/downloads/Spring11/p677-pearson.pdf

SdHash

[2] "Data fingerprinting with similarity digests"
Vassil Roussev

Sixth IFIP WG 11.9 International Conference on Digital Forensics, Hong Kong, China, January 4-6, 2010 http://roussev.net/pdf/2010-IFIP--sdhash-design.pdf

Nilsimsa

[3] Source code for Nilsimsa http://ixazon.dynip.com/~cmeclax/nilsimsa.html

[4] "An open digest-based technique for spam detection"


E. Damiani1, S. De Capitani di Vimercati1, S. Paraboschi2, P. Samarati

Proceedings of the 2004 international workshop on security in parallel and distributed systems. 2004.

http://spdp.di.unimi.it/papers/pdcs04.pdf



References (cont.)



SSDEEP

[5] "Identifying almost identical files using context triggered piecewise hashing" Jesse Kornblum

Journal Digital Investigation: The International Journal of Digital Forensics & Incident Response archive Volume 3, September, 2006 Pages 91-97

http://dfrws.org/2006/proceedings/12-Kornblum.pdf

[6] Source code for SSDEEP: http://ssdeep.sourceforge.net/

Comparison Paper

[7] "An evaluation of forensic similarity hashes"

Vassil Roussev

Journal Digital Investigation: The International Journal of Digital Forensics & Incident Response archive

Volume 8, August, 2011

Pages S34-S41

