This is hypothesis testing for categorical data

Ex. A wildlife conservationist is interested in assessing the distribution of geese nesting sites throughout the KW region.

The conservationist locates 80 different nesting sites and wants to determine whether they're evenly distributed throughout the region.

Location	Observed Frequency	Expected Frequency			
North	21	20			
South	18	20			
East	24	20			
West	17	20			

▶ Question: how might we model these data?

Let Y<sub>i</sub> = number of nesting sites in location j

Let  $\theta_i$  = probability that some nesting site is in location j

► We have

$$P(Y_1 = y_1, ..., Y_4 = y_4) = \frac{n!}{y_1!...y_4!} \theta_1^{y_1}...\theta_4^{y_4}$$

with

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 heta_j = 1$$
,  $0 < heta_j < 1$ 

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_j = n$$
,  $y_j = 0, 1, ...$ 

Since we have 4 nesting sites, a good null hypothesis would be  $\theta_i = 0.25$  for all j.

What if we want to test this hypothesis?

Using the likelihood ratio test statistic:

$$\int (\theta^{3}, \theta^{5}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}) = \theta^{4}_{0}, \theta^{5}_{0} - \theta^{3}_{0} + \theta^{4}_{3}$$

We want to maximize this over the constraint  $\theta_i = 1$  for all j, which, using Lagrange multipliers (no need to show this in STAT 231) gives us  $\hat{\theta}_{\delta} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

Suppose that the theta;'s are related in some way, i.e. can each be expressed in terms of unknown parameter  $\alpha$ :

$$H_0: heta_j = heta_j(lpha), \ j=1,...,k$$
 where  $lpha = (lpha_1,...,lpha_p), \ p < k-1$ 

In the above example:  $H_0 = \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \theta_4 = 0.25$ , so no need to work with the alphas

Aside: Some other form of null hypothesis would be

$$\theta_1 = d_1$$
,  $\theta_2 = d_1 + d_2$ ,  $\theta_3 = d_1 + 2d_2$ ,  $\theta_4 = 2 - d_2$ ,  $d = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log_{i}(\frac{\partial i}{\partial x}) = 1.482$ 

p = P(U = 1.482)

=> 
$$N(\theta_0) = -2\log(R(\theta_0)) = -2\log\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{E_0}{r_0}\right)^{\gamma_0}\right]$$

Using some rules of logarithms, can further simplify this to

$$\Lambda(\theta_0) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i \log \left( \frac{Y_i}{F_i} \right)$$

which, for a given dataset, will have an observed value of

$$\lambda(\theta_0) = 2\sum_{j=1}^k y_j \log(rac{y_j}{e_i}), \ e_j = n\theta_j(\hat{lpha})$$

In the context of a multinomial problem, if n is large and  $H_0$  is true, then

$$\Lambda(\theta_0) = 2\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j \log(\frac{Y_j}{E_i}) \sim \chi^2(k-1-p)$$

1. (alculate 
$$e_i = n \cdot \phi_i(x) \ \forall i$$

3. Calculate sum 
$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{k} y_k \log(\frac{\delta i}{\epsilon_k}) = v$$
,  $p = P(1 \ge 1)$ 



- Suppose individuals in a population can have their blood type classified as MM, MN, or NN
- ▶ Let  $Y_1$  = number of MM types observed,  $Y_2$  = number of MN types observed, and  $Y_3 =$ number of NN types observed
  - Respective proportions:  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$
- ▶ The joint probability function of  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  is Multinomial(n;  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ ) with k=3
- ▶ In genetic theory, the  $\theta_i$ 's can be expressed in terms of a single parameter  $\alpha$ :

$$H_0: \theta_1 = \alpha^2, \ \theta_2 = 2\alpha(1-\alpha), \ \theta_3 = (1-\alpha)^2$$

- ▶ Suppose data on 100 persons gave  $y_1 = 20$ ,  $y_2 = 43$ , and  $y_3 = 37$
- ightharpoonup Our likelihood function, in terms of  $\alpha$ , is then  $L_1(\alpha) = L(\theta_1(\alpha), \theta_2(\alpha), \theta_3(\alpha))$  $\propto (\alpha^2)^{20} (2\alpha(1-\alpha))^{43} ((1-\alpha)^2)^{37}$

$$\Rightarrow ('(q) \leftarrow q_{8}(1-u)_{11} \rightarrow 43 + 5(33)$$

$$6^{9} = 40^{9} \rightarrow 6^{1} = 49^{9}$$

$$e_2 = n \cdot 2\hat{a}(1 - \hat{a})$$

$$e_3 = n \cdot (1 - \hat{a})^2$$

## 4 min case

## 0.415

## **Goodness of Fit Test**

Checks whether a certain distribution fits a dataset

Ex. Suppose we want to check whether the number of goals scored by the Toronto Maple Leafs follows a Poisson distribution.

Consider the following (hypothetical) data from a season of 82 games:

_	Goals 0 1 2 3 4 5 6									
	Goals	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\geq 7$	
Ĭ	Games	2	17	21	18	15	7	1	1	

- Our data are multinomial in nature
  - ▶ 82 events, each event fits into one of 8 categories
- Let  $\theta_0$  = the probability of a game having 0 goals,  $\theta_1 =$  the probability of a game having 1goal, etc.
- ▶ If we let  $Y_i$  = the number of games from a sample of n, then

$$Y_j \sim \text{Multinomial}(\theta_0, \theta_1, ..., \theta_7)$$

Then: 
$$H_0: \Theta_{\overline{0}} = \frac{1}{\Theta_{\overline{0}}} e^{-\Theta}$$

and 
$$\hat{\theta} = 2 = 2.695 = 7015500 - 5 MLE$$

Sub



$$6^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\mathbf{v}) \left[ \frac{\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i}{\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i} \right]$$

If we calculate the expected counts for each j, the values of e6 and e7 are below 5.

As such, bin those columns into column e5, and redo the computation from there

## Pearson Goodness of Fit Statistic ► An alternative test statistic for multinomial data is the Pearson goodness of fit test statistic: $D = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(Y_j - E_j)^2}{E_j} \sim \chi^2(k - 1 - p)$ with observed value $d = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(y_j - e_j)^2}{e_j} \sim \chi^2(k - 1 - p)$ and p-value $P(D \ge d), D \sim \chi^2(k-1-p)$