Contents

1	Introduction								
2	Test	Test and evaluation methodology							
3	Caj	Cajamar: test and evaluation							
	3.1	Use ca	ase requirements	5					
	3.2	Model	and data characteristics	5					
	3.3	Predic	etive performance: test and evaluation	5					
		3.3.1	Application scenario 1	5					
		3.3.2	Application scenario 2	5					
	3.4	Run-ti	ime performance: test and evaluation	5					
		3.4.1	Application scenario 1	5					
		3.4.2	Application scenario 2	5					
4	Dai	mler: 1	test and evaluation	5					
	4.1	Use ca	ase requirements	5					
	4.2	Model	and data characteristics	5					
	4.3	Predic	etive performance: test and evaluation	6					
		4.3.1	Application scenario 1	6					
		4.3.2	Application scenario 2	6					
	4.4	Run-ti	ime performance: test and evaluation	6					
		4.4.1	Application scenario 1	6					
		4.4.2	Application scenario 2	6					
5	Ver	dande:	test and evaluation	6					
	5.1	1 Use case requirements		6					
	5.2	Model	and data characteristics	6					
	5.3	Predic	etive performance: test and evaluation	6					
		5.3.1	Application scenario 1	6					
		5.3.2	Application scenario 2	6					

6	Cor	nclusio	n	6
		5.4.2	Application scenario 2	6
		5.4.1	Application scenario 1	6
	5.4	Run-t	ime performance: test and evaluation	6

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Page~3~of~6} \\ {\rm FP7\text{-}ICT~619209~/~AMIDST} \end{array}$ Public

Document history

Version	Date	Author (Unit)	Description
v0.3			The test and evaluation
			framework discussed and
			established
v0.6			Initial draft finished and re-
			viewed by the PSRG
v1.0			Final version of document

Public

1 Introduction

Task description: In this task we will establish formal procedures for testing and evaluating the developed models and algorithms. This includes specification of maximum response-times, output format, relevant formalization of loss functions, investigations into what metrics are relevant to use to quantify the ability of the AMIDST system, and considerations about what quantitative improvements AMIDST should obtain over state of the art.

From Helge's slides at the WP 3 kickoff meeting:

- Massive datasets: find relevant techniques, ensure scalability, etc.
- Online evaluation of streams: find relevant techniques, ensure scalability, define behavior in changing environment, etc.
- Significance of results, e.g., considering changing environment vs. "reproducability", distribution for test-statistic, significance levels/sizes of test-sets, etc.

2 Test and evaluation methodology

Here we should cover general methods for doing test and evaluation of models in a streaming context. These methods will subsequently be instantiated in relation to the three use case providers so I guess that we should primarily consider the methods that are directly related to the needs of the use case providers, but (taking the back ground of one of the reviewers into account) we might probably benefit from going a bit beyond the immediate needs and put all this stuff into a broader context ...

There has already been some work in this context. A quick search with Google produced the following. I haven't looked at the papers in any great detail, but considering the titles and authors they certainly seems relevant.

Kaptein, Maurits. 2014. "RStorm: Developing and Testing Streaming Algorithms in R." Journal.r-Project.org 6: 123-132. Accessed November 18. http://journal.r-project.org/archive/accepted/kaptein.pdf.

João Gama and Raquel Sebastião and Pedro Pereira Rodrigues, Issues in Evaluation of Stream Learning Algorithms.

Gama, J, PP Rodrigues, and R Sebastião. 2009. "Evaluating Algorithms That Learn from Data Streams." http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1529616.

Gama, João, Raquel Sebastião, and Pedro Pereira Rodrigues. 2012. "On Evaluating Stream Learning Algorithms." Machine Learning 90 (3) (October 24): 317-346. doi:10.1007/s10994-012-5320-9. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10994-012-5320-9.

3 Cajamar: test and evaluation

3.1 Use case requirements

Summarize the use case requirements for the different application scenarios. This information should be derived from Deliverable 1.2.

3.2 Model and data characteristics

Describe aspects of the model and data relevant for the ensuing test and evaluation discussion. Much of this information can be synthesized from the existing documents, and should serve to make the document more self-contained.

- 3.3 Predictive performance: test and evaluation
- 3.3.1 Application scenario 1
- 3.3.2 Application scenario 2
- 3.4 Run-time performance: test and evaluation
- 3.4.1 Application scenario 1
- 3.4.2 Application scenario 2
- 4 Daimler: test and evaluation

4.1 Use case requirements

Summarize the use case requirements for the different application scenarios. This information should be derived from Deliverable 1.2.

4.2 Model and data characteristics

Describe aspects of the model and data relevant for the ensuing test and evaluation discussion. Much of this information can be synthesized from the existing documents, and should serve to make the document more self-contained.

- 4.3 Predictive performance: test and evaluation
- 4.3.1 Application scenario 1
- 4.3.2 Application scenario 2
- 4.4 Run-time performance: test and evaluation
- 4.4.1 Application scenario 1
- 4.4.2 Application scenario 2
- 5 Verdande: test and evaluation
- 5.1 Use case requirements

Summarize the use case requirements for the different application scenarios. This information should be derived from Deliverable 1.2.

5.2 Model and data characteristics

Describe aspects of the model and data relevant for the ensuing test and evaluation discussion. Much of this information can be synthesized from the existing documents, and should serve to make the document more self-contained.

- 5.3 Predictive performance: test and evaluation
- 5.3.1 Application scenario 1
- 5.3.2 Application scenario 2
- 5.4 Run-time performance: test and evaluation
- 5.4.1 Application scenario 1
- 5.4.2 Application scenario 2
- 6 Conclusion