

Projects > ... > Main Switchboards

In progress 0/55  
ACCEPTED

0 PASSED 0 FAILED 0 N/A

▼ WSA20-MPX-04000-EL-ITC-31032000 - Construction Tests (Pre-energisation) 0/43

**Physical Checks**

Add comment 📷 📎

1. Confirm boards dimensions are in accordance with approved shop drawings (provide drawings reference in the Comments section)

PASS FAIL N/A

Add comment 📷 📎

2. Confirm correct MSB Form of Separation, Provide actual Form of Separation in Comments section

PASS FAIL N/A

Add comment 📷 📎

3. Confirm the Layout of fitted components (ACBs, MCCBs, MEN, ATS) is in accordance with approved shop drawings (provide drawings reference in the Comments section)

PASS FAIL N/A

sub-section?

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| checkList            | Main Switchboards  |
| section              | WSA20-MPX-04000-EL-ITC-31032000 - Construction Tests (Pre-energisation)  |
| checkpoint=no status | Physical Checks  |
| checkpoint           | 1. Confirm boards dimensions are in accordance with approved shop drawings (provide drawings reference in the Comments section)                                      |
| checkpoint           | 2. Confirm correct MSB Form of Separation, Provide actual Form of Separation in Comments section   |
| checkpoint           | 3. Confirm the Layout of fitted components (ACBs, MCCBs, MEN, ATS) is in accordance with approved shop drawings (provide drawings reference in the Comments section) |
| checkpoint           | 4. Confirm that Brand of fitted components is in accordance with approved shop drawings (provide drawings reference in the Comments section)                         |
| checkpoint           | 5. Confirm meters location, types and labels are in accordance with approved shop drawings   |
| checkpoint           | 6. Confirm the circuit breakers rating is in accordance with discrimination study (provide discrimination study reference in the Comments section)                   |
| checkpoint           | 7. Confirm Trip units and protection relay settings are set in accordance with discrimination study  |
| checkpoint           | 8. Confirm correct circuit identification and labelling  |
| checkpoint           | 9. Confirm easy access to controls termination   |
| checkpoint           | 10. Confirm correct location, access and sufficient support for incoming cables  |
| checkpoint           | 11. Confirm correct location, access and sufficient support for outgoing cables  |
| checkpoint           | 12. Confirm the board busbars and cables are tighten as per manufacturer recommendations and standards. Provide applied torque in a Comment section                  |
| checkpoint           | 13. Confirm correct phase identification of busbars and cables inside the board  |
| checkpoint           | 14. Confirm correct size of incoming power cables as per approved cable schedule   |
| checkpoint           | 15. Confirm correct size of earthing conductors  |
| checkpoint           | 16. Confirm no visual damage to the equipment or meters. Mark the observed issues in a Comment section (if any)  |
| signoff              | Checked By (Heyday):   |

1. Steve told me to use "checkpoint=nostatus" as get around, but is it possible to create sub-sections?

I want "Physical Checks" to be a sub-section that covers the checkpoints 1-16

The way it's presented now it looks like a separate checkpoint that does nothing.

2. Is there a way to create a "sign-off" that covers the sub-section or section, rather than a checkpoint?