

(contributed by spamegg)

Exercises 35:

(a) Take a QL language, with a couple of proper names, a couple of unary predicates, and a binary predicate, and consider the following q-valuation q :

The domain is: {Romeo, Juliet, Benedick, Beatrice}

m: Romeo

n: Juliet

F: {Romeo, Benedick}

G: {Juliet, Beatrice}

L: { \langle Romeo, Juliet \rangle , \langle Juliet, Romeo \rangle , \langle Benedick, Beatrice \rangle ,
 \langle Beatrice, Benedick \rangle , \langle Benedick, Benedick \rangle }

Then what are the truth values of the following wffs?

(1) $\exists x Lmx$

True.

Informally translates to “Romeo loves someone.”

\langle Romeo, Juliet \rangle is in the extension of L, so Lmn is true. Informally Juliet is an x that fulfills the existential quantifier. Formally, we can expand the valuation q to q_a where dummy name a is assigned Juliet and Lma is true. By the definition (Q6) $\exists x Lmx$ is true.

(2) $\forall x Lxm$

False.

Informally translates to “everyone loves Romeo”. False since, for example,

$\langle \text{Benedick}, \text{Romeo} \rangle$

is not in the extension of L . Formally, there is an expanded valuation q_a , where dummy name a is assigned Benedick, for which Lam is false. So by the definition (Q5), $\forall x Lxm$ is false.

I will be less formal for the rest.

(3) $(\exists x Lmx \rightarrow Lmn)$

True.

Both the antecedent and the consequent are true. By (1) above, the antecedent $\exists x Lmx$ is true. The consequent Lmn is also true because $\langle \text{Romeo}, \text{Juliet} \rangle$ is in the extension of L .

(4) $\forall x (Fx \rightarrow \neg Gx)$

True.

There are 4 people in the domain, so let's verify $Fa \rightarrow \neg Ga$ for all of them:

When a is Romeo, Fa is true (Romeo is in F 's extension), $\neg Ga$ is true (Romeo is not in G 's extension), so $Fa \rightarrow \neg Ga$ is true.

When a is Juliet, Fa is false (Juliet is not in F 's extension), so $Fa \rightarrow \neg Ga$ is true.

When a is Benedick, Fa is true (Benedick is in F 's extension), $\neg Ga$ is true (Benedick is not in G 's extension), so $Fa \rightarrow \neg Ga$ is true.

When a is Beatrice, Fa is false (Beatrice is not in F 's extension), so $Fa \rightarrow \neg Ga$ is true.

Therefore by (Q5) $\forall x (Fx \rightarrow \neg Gx)$ is true.

$$(5) \forall x(Gx \rightarrow (Lxm \vee \neg Lmx))$$

True.

Let's approach this like (4) but take shortcuts. We won't consider the **a**'s for which **Ga** is false, because the implication **Ga** \rightarrow ... is automatically true in that case.

Let's only consider those in the extension of **G**: Juliet and Beatrice.

When **a** is Juliet, **Ga** is true, and **Lam** \vee \neg **Lma** is true because **Lam** is true, since \langle Juliet, Romeo \rangle is in the extension of **L**. So **Gx** \rightarrow (**Lam** \vee \neg **Lma**) is true.

When **a** is Beatrice, **Ga** is true, and **Lam** \vee \neg **Lma** is true, because \neg **Lma** is true, since \langle Romeo, Beatrice \rangle is not in the extension of **L**. So **Gx** \rightarrow (**Lam** \vee \neg **Lma**) is true.

By (Q5) $\forall x(Gx \rightarrow (Lxm \vee \neg Lmx))$ is true.

$$(6) \forall x(Gx \rightarrow \exists yLxy)$$

True.

Let's take the shortcuts again. We need to check the antecedent $\exists yLay$ only for the two cases when **Ga** is true: Juliet and Beatrice.

When **a** is Juliet, **Ga** is true, and $\exists yLay$ is true, because \langle Juliet, Romeo \rangle is in the extension of **L** (informally **y** = Romeo).

$$(7) \exists x(Fx \wedge \forall y(Gy \rightarrow Lxy))$$

(b) Now take the following q-valuation:

The domain is: {4, 7, 8, 11, 12}

m: 7

n: 12

F: the set of even numbers in the domain

G: the set of odd numbers in the domain

L: the set of pairs $\langle m, n \rangle$ where m and n are in the domain and $m < n$

What are the truth values of the wffs (1) to (7) now?

(1) $\exists x Lmx$

(2) $\forall x Lxm$

(3) $(\exists x Lmx \rightarrow Lmn)$

(4) $\forall x (Fx \rightarrow \neg Gx)$

(5) $\forall x (Gx \rightarrow (Lxm \vee \neg Lmx))$

(6) $\forall x (Gx \rightarrow \exists y Lxy)$

(7) $\exists x (Fx \wedge \forall y (Gy \rightarrow Lxy))$

(c) Take the language QL_3 of Exercises 30(b) (copied from that exercise):

This is the language QL_3 whose quantifiers range over the positive integers, with the following glossary:

n: one

F: ① is odd

G: ① is even

H: ① is prime

L: ① is less than ②

R: ① is the sum of ② and ③

Consider the following q-valuation (the natural one suggested by the given glossary for the language):

The domain is the set of natural numbers (the integers from zero up)

n: one

F: the set of odd numbers

G: the set of even numbers

H: the set of prime numbers

L: the set of pairs $\langle m, n \rangle$ such that $m < n$

R: the set of triples $\langle l, m, n \rangle$ such that $l = m + n$.

Carefully work out the values of the wffs (1) to (8) from Exercises 30(b) (copied from that exercise):

(1) $\forall x \forall y \exists z Rzxy$

(2) $\exists y \forall x Lxy$

(3) $\forall x \exists y (Lxy \wedge Hy)$

(4) $\forall x (Hx \rightarrow \exists y (Lxy \wedge Hy))$

(5) $\forall x \forall y ((Fx \wedge Ryxn) \rightarrow \neg Fy)$

(6) $\forall x \exists y ((Gx \wedge Fy) \wedge Rxyy)$

(7) $\forall x \forall y (\exists z (Rzxn \wedge Ryzn) \rightarrow (Gx \rightarrow Gy))$

(8) $\forall x \forall y \forall z (((Fx \wedge Fy) \wedge Rzxy) \rightarrow Gz)$

(9) $\forall x ((Gx \wedge \neg Rxnn) \rightarrow \exists y \exists z ((Hy \wedge Hz) \wedge Rxyz))$

(10) $\forall x \exists y ((Hy \wedge Lxy) \wedge \exists w \exists z ((Rwyn \wedge Rzwn) \wedge Hz))$

(d*) Show that if the wff α doesn't contain the dummy name δ , then α is true on the valuation q if and only if it is also true on any expansion q_δ .

No solution available for this one.