

Chip's Corral


Team 101 - 2: Nick Hanselman, Jackson Lee, JC Abrahamson,
John Etchason, Adam Dinser, Joseph Ledesma

Vision Statement


**“To allow users to stay
connected to their personal
communities and express
their feelings to those that are
close to them”**

Purpose

- Keep it personal
- To provide a community forum where an individual can create a post in which all other members of the forum can see
- Useful for immediate alerts and updates relative to the members of the forum




Audience



DEMO


Database

- User information `users` managed by OKTA API
- Concept from MySQL Workbench
- Implemented in postgresSQL



Relational Model for our project's database

PM Methodology - Agile



PM Task Tracking Tool




- Wasn't very helpful
- Small groups allowed us to communicate mostly by text and bi-weekly gatherings.

Meetings and Gatherings

Met Tuesdays and Thursdays at 3:00-4:00 pm

CASE Building





- Communication - Slack:
 - Group Rating - 3



- Project Management - Trello:
 - Group Rating - 1



- VCS Repository - Github:
 - Group Rating - 5



PostgreSQL

- Database - PostgreSQL:
 - Group Rating - 5



- Web deployment - Heroku:
 - Group Rating - 5



- Middleware - NodeJS
 - Group Rating - 5



- Web/Programming Languages
 - HTML
 - CSS
 - Javascript
 - Group Rating - 5



- APIs/Libraries
 - Bootstrap
 - CSS Library
 - Group Rating - 5



- Axios
 - HTTP Client
 - Group Rating - 5
- Okta
 - Login API
 - Group Rating - 4




- Express
 - Backend API
 - Group Rating - 5



- Nunjuck
 - Frontend Templating
 - Group Rating - 5

Challenges

- React
- Teamwork leveraging Github
- Scope Management



Criteria	Very effective	Effective	Ineffective
Presentation Introductions, Clear Description of APP, its purpose, its user base. Good flow of slides with Logical structure of slides. Professionally presented. Well-rehearsed. 10 minutes.	Provided Team Name and introduced each person on the team. Everyone spoke. Describe the APP and who Would use it, for What purpose. Professional looking. Well rehearsed. Used full 10 minutes.	Team partially introduced themselves. APP description/purpose is not real clear. Slides not Professional. Went over 10 minutes, or finished too quickly.	Team did not introduce themselves. Did not clearly describe the APP. Presentation was Vague. Unprofessional. Looks like they never rehearsed. Went over 10 minutes, or finished too quickly.
Challenges Describe challenges they faced and how they overcame Them.	Honestly and openly described Challenges they faced. Described how they Overcame the Challenges.	Mentioned one or two challenges. Did not clearly describe How they overcame.	Did not talk about challenges. Or mentioned problems But did not describe Solutions.
Creativity How clever, interesting, Is the APP idea? How artistic and compelling Are the APP screens	Pushed technology beyond basic Web-page with a database. Used unique and Challenging tools. Clever idea.	Interesting APP idea. But not Quite Fully formed. Started "big" but Finished "small".	Team obviously did not Put a lot of thought Into a clever idea For an app.
Technical Details: Software Stack / Development Tools named and rated (Programming languages, tools) Project Management (style, philosophy, collaboration tools, use of PM tools, communications, Regular meetings)	They mentioned all the software tools they used in their stack. They mentioned their PM method and PM task tracking tool. They described effective meeting times & locations.	They mentioned some of the software tools they used in their stack but some seem missing. Mentioned agile, but did not talk about the tools they used. They mentioned meetings but did not describe frequency, time, location.	Failed to mention git hub, database software, presentation software. Failed to mention their PM method and/or PM task tracking tool. Did not talk about meetings.
Product Demo Demo: Live? Does the software Really work?	Showed three or more features of the app actually working. Explained the flow of using the app.	Showed only one or two features of the app actually working. Partially explained the flow of using the app.	Failed to demonstrate any working software. "Faked" an impression of working software showing only images.
TOTAL (out of 40):			