clusterProfiler: an R package for Statistical Analysis and Visulization of Functional Profiles for Genes and Gene Clusters

Guangchuang Yu

March 26, 2011

1 Introduction

In recently years, high-throughput experimental techniques such as microarray and mass spectrometry can identify many lists of genes and gene products. The most widely used strategy for high-throughput data analysis is to identify different gene clusters based on their expression profiles. Another commonly used approach is to annotate these genes to biological knowledge, such as Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), and identify the statistically significantly enriched categories. These two different strategies were implemented in many bioconductor packages, such as *Mfuzz* and *BHC* for clustering analysis and *GOstats* for GO enrichment analysis.

After clustering analysis, researchers not only want to determine whether there is a common theme to a particular gene cluster, but also would like to compare the biological themes among gene clusters, which have different expression profiles. There is no existing tools to bridge this gap, and we designed *clusterProfiler*, for comparing functional profiles among gene clusters.

This document presents an introduction to the use of *clusterProfiler*, an R package for the analysis of lists of genes and gene clusters based on their GO annotation distribution or enrichment categories of GO and KEGG, and provides methods for visulization.

2 Quick start

The following lines provide a quick and simple example on the use of *clusterProfiler* to explore gene list and compare gene clusters.

The analysis proceeds as follows:

• First a sample dataset is loaded. This dataset contains 5 gene clusters.

```
> require(clusterProfiler)
> data(gcSample)
> gcSample

$C1
  [1] "23753" "57222" "5036" "5037" "10111" "10856" "6228"
  [8] "9361" "1537" "3376" "6124" "4175" "2539"

$C2
  [1] "6629" "10291" "7094" "3843" "6611" "10399" "10576"
  [8] "4705" "5216" "6697" "5868" "80777" "1973" "1938"
```




Figure 1: Example of comparing MF ontology distribution using dotplot.

```
[15] "23450" "9343" "1917" "9520"
$C3
 [1] "4905"
              "10383"
                      "10953"
                               "645958" "7280"
                                                  "10381"
              "5985"
                                "290"
                                         "309"
 [7] "5869"
                       "23197"
                                                  "10577"
[13] "23071"
              "121504" "2495"
                                "653226" "84617"
$C4
 [1] "51552" "8336" "302"
                             "5984"
                                     "50814" "8813"
                                                     "871"
             "23344" "4134"
                             "10262" "22919" "159"
 [8] "81"
$C5
 [1] "11171"
                       "112464" "2194"
             "8243"
                                         "9318"
                                                   "79026"
 [7] "1654"
              "65003"
                       "6240"
                                "3476"
                                         "6238"
                                                   "3836"
[13] "4176"
              "1017"
                       "249"
```

• GO distribution among a set of gene clusters can be compared by *compareCluster*, and plotted by bar chart or dot chart.

```
> xx <- compareCluster(gcSample, fun = groupGO,
+ organism = "human", ont = "MF", level = 2)</pre>
```

By default, only top 5 categories of each cluster was plotted. User can change the parameter *limit* to specify how many categories of each cluster to be plotted, and if *limit* set to NULL, the whole result will

```
> print(plot(xx, type = "bar", limit = NULL, by = "count",
+ caption = "MF Ontology Distribution Comparison"))
```




Figure 2: Example of comparing MF ontology distribution using barplot.

be plotted. By default, the dot sizes were based on their corresponding row percentage, and user can set the parameter by to "count" to make the comparison based on gene counts.

We chose "percentage" as default parameter to represent the sizes of dots, since some categories may contain a large number of genes, and make the dot sizes of those small categories too small to compare. To provide the full information, we also provide number of identified genes in each category (numbers in parentheses), as shown in Figure 1. If the dot sizes were based on "count", the parentheses will not showed as in Figure 2.

In the bar chart, color is used to differ distinct clusters.

• GO or KEGG enrichment analysis among a set of gene clusters can also be compared by *compareCluster* as shown in the following examples.

```
> xx <- compareCluster(gcSample, fun = enrichGO,
      organism = "human", ont = "CC", pvalueCutoff = 0.01,
      testDirection = "over")
> head(summary(xx))
  Cluster
                GOID
                                       Description
      C1 GO:0044446 intracellular organelle part
1
2
      C1 GO:0044422
                                    organelle part
3
      C1 GO:0030529
                         ribonucleoprotein complex
       C1 G0:0070013 intracellular organelle lumen
```

```
5
       C1 GO:0043233
                                   organelle lumen
6
       C1 GO:0031974
                           membrane-enclosed lumen
        Pvalue qvalue OddsRatio ExpCount Count GeneSetSize
1 1.149368e-05
                    0 25.256415 4.193321
                                             12
                                                         13
2 1.344710e-05
                    0 24.753880 4.250527
                                             12
                                                         13
3 5.327956e-04
                    0 13.916396 0.405148
                                             4
                                                         13
                                              7
4 5.441960e-04
                    0 7.765743 1.702092
                                                         13
5 6.132116e-04
                    0 7.595756 1.735005
                                              7
                                                         13
                                              7
6 6.816604e-04
                    0 7.447439 1.764784
                                                         13
 Size
1 5351
2 5424
  517
3
4 2172
5 2214
6 2252
                                                            GeneID
1\ 23753/57222/5036/5037/10111/10856/6228/9361/1537/6124/4175/2539
2 23753/57222/5036/5037/10111/10856/6228/9361/1537/6124/4175/2539
3
                                              5036/10856/6228/6124
4
                            23753/5036/10111/10856/9361/6124/4175
5
                            23753/5036/10111/10856/9361/6124/4175
                            23753/5036/10111/10856/9361/6124/4175
```

The p-values indicate that which categories are more likely to have biological meanings. The dots in the image are color-encoded based on their corresponding p-values. Color gradient ranging from blue to red correspond to in order of increasing p-values. Blue indicate lower p-values, and red indicate higher p-values. P-values were filtered out by the threshold giving by parameter *pvalueCutoff*.

We also provide FDR-corrected q-values, which were calculated by *fdrtool*, to control false positive discovery rate. FDR control is necessary since enrichment analysis carrying out hundreds, if not thousands, of tests.

```
> xx <- compareCluster(gcSample, fun = enrichKEGG,
      organism = "human", pvalueCutoff = 0.05)
> head(summary(xx))
  Cluster pathwayID
1
       C1 hsa03010
2
       C1 hsa00290
3
       C1 hsa03450
       C2 hsa03040
4
5
       C2 hsa00790
       C3 hsa05130
6
                                   Description GeneRatio
1
                                      Ribosome
                                                    2/13
2 Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis
                                                    1/13
                   Non-homologous end-joining
3
                                                    1/13
4
                                   Spliceosome
                                                    4/18
5
                          Folate biosynthesis
                                                    1/18
                                                    4/17
        Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection
  BgRatio
                 pvalue
                             qvalue
                                                     geneID
```




Figure 3: Example of comparing CC ontology enrichment among gene clusters.




Figure 4: Example of comparing KEGG enrichment among gene clusters.

```
1
   88/5504 1.758231e-02 1.000000000
                                                   6228/6124
  11/5504 2.569952e-02 1.000000000
                                                         3376
  14/5504 3.260190e-02 1.000000000
                                                        10111
3
4 128/5504 6.630825e-04 1.000000000
                                       6629/10291/23450/9343
5
   11/5504 3.542300e-02 1.000000000
6
   59/5504 2.554952e-05 0.005397106 10383/7280/10381/84617
  Count
      2
1
2
      1
3
      1
      4
4
5
      1
```

• The internal functions for annotating gene and enrichment analysis was groupGO, enrichGO and enrichKEGG, which was designed to analyze one particular gene list. Gene list can be projected to GO at a given level by groupGO. GO enrichment analysis were also provided by enrichGO for exploring biological themes of a given gene list. The internal algorithm in enrichGO was hyperGTest provided by *Category*. enrichGO extend *GOstats*(Falcon et al., 2007) by providing corresponding enrichment gene list and the FDR-corrected q-values. KEGG enrichment analysis were also supported by enrichKEGG.

```
ont = "BP", level = 2)
> yy <- enrichGO(gcSample[[1]], organism = "human",
     ont = "BP", pvalueCutoff = 0.01, testDirection = "over")
> yy <- enrichKEGG(gcSample[[3]], organism = "human",
     pvalueCutoff = 0.01)
> head(summary(yy))
     pathwayID
                                            Description
05130 hsa05130 Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection
04145 hsa04145
                                             Phagosome
04540 hsa04540
                                           Gap junction
04962 hsa04962 Vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption
     GeneRatio BgRatio pvalue
                                         qvalue
        4/17 59/5504 2.554952e-05 0.005397106
05130
04145
          5/17 159/5504 8.823916e-05 0.009219728
04540
          4/17 90/5504 1.352278e-04 0.010247594
         2/17 44/5504 7.871612e-03 0.376048432
04962
                          geneID Count
         10383/7280/10381/84617
04145 10383/7280/10381/5869/84617
                                     5
04540
      10383/7280/10381/84617
                                     4
04962
                       4905/5869
                                     2
```

> yy <- groupGO(gcSample[[1]], organism = "human",

The outputs of groupGO, enrichGO and enrichKEGG can also be visualized by plot.

3 Session Information

The version number of R and packages loaded for generating the vignette were:

```
R version 2.12.0 (2010-10-15)
Platform: i686-pc-linux-gnu (32-bit)
locale:
                               LC NUMERIC=C
 [1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8
 [3] LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8
                               LC COLLATE=C
 [5] LC MONETARY=C
                               LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8
                               LC_NAME=C
 [7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8
 [9] LC_ADDRESS=C
                               LC_TELEPHONE=C
[11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
attached base packages:
[1] grid
         stats
                     graphics grDevices utils
[6] datasets methods base
other attached packages:
 [1] GO.db_2.5.0
                           org.Hs.eg.db_2.5.0
 [3] AnnotationDbi_1.13.17 Biobase_2.11.10
 [5] clusterProfiler_0.99.9 RSQLite_0.9-2
 [7] DBI_0.2-5
                           fdrtool_1.2.6
```




Figure 5: Example of KEGG Enrichment Analysis.

```
[9] ggplot2_0.8.8 proto_0.3-8
[11] reshape_0.8.3 plyr_1.2.1

loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
[1] Category_2.16.0 GOstats_2.16.0
[3] GSEABase_1.12.0 KEGG.db_2.4.5
[5] RBGL_1.26.0 XML_3.2-0
[7] annotate_1.28.0 digest_0.4.2
[9] genefilter_1.32.0 graph_1.28.0
[11] org.Mm.eg.db_2.5.0 splines_2.12.0
[13] survival_2.35-8 tools_2.12.0
[15] xtable_1.5-6
```

References

S. Falcon, , and R. Gentleman. Using gostats to test gene lists for go term association. *Bioinformatics*, 23: 257–258, 2007.