

# Bonded Proof-of-Stake

Tendermint/Cosmos Team

*Working Draft*

## Abstract

Bonded proof-of-stake is the Sybil-resistance construction utilized by the Cosmos Hub in conjunction with Tendermint BFT consensus to provide a quantifiably secure distributed ledger backbone.

## Contents

0.1	Prerequisites . . . . .	1
0.2	Desiderata . . . . .	1
0.3	Terminology . . . . .	1
0.4	Implementation . . . . .	2
0.4.1	Particulars . . . . .	2
0.4.2	Deviations . . . . .	2
0.4.3	Idiosyncrasies . . . . .	2
0.5	Future Improvements . . . . .	2
0.5.1	Light Client Efficiency . . . . .	2

### 0.1 Prerequisites

- BFT voting consensus algorithm
- Scarce fungible token in the state machine

### 0.2 Desiderata

- Imposition of scarcity on voting within an unbonding period (both full nodes / lite clients)
- Imposition of cost on downtime
- Maximize amount of bonded stake

### 0.3 Terminology

- Stakers: validators, delegators
- Validators: bonded, unbonding, unbonded
- Slash
- Equivocation

- Unbonding period

## 0.4 Implementation

- Tracking of what stake contributed to which vote
- Proportional slashing of contributing stake on equivocation discovery
- Microslashing for prolonged downtime
- Split of stakers into validators / delegators due to TM  $O(n^2)$  voting (and desire for stake to be bonded)
- Inflation to pay for risk + operation of voting

### 0.4.1 Particulars

- Instant redelegation

### 0.4.2 Deviations

- Simplified accounting in slashing for past infractions
- Tombstone (limited to one slash event while bonded)

### 0.4.3 Idiosyncrasies

- Must limit liquidity of stake due to proposer reward
- Unbonding delegations, redelegations cannot be canceled

## 0.5 Future Improvements

### 0.5.1 Light Client Efficiency

- Bisectable light client proofs
- Must slash for signatures when not bonded.