before the "stop" line.
! Assign zero to b

b=0.0

Assign new values to b b(1,1) = 5.0 b(2,1) = 6.0 b(3,1) = 7.0

! Solve Ax=b and return solution in b call sgetrs(trans, n, nrhs, A, Ida, ipiv, b, Idb, into) write (\*, \*) 'SGETRS! into=', into it (into .NE. 0) stop

- 2 a) We should be looking at the following files:

  O'Opcount Analysis For Forward Elimination'
  - (i) 'Opcount Analysis For Ly = d Solve'
  - (1) Op Count Analysis For UX = y Solve ) (1)

Operation Count for multiplications:

and the continue of should be the state of the In the inner loop, there is one multiplication operation. peration.  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} = 1$ 

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}$$

Inner loop executes for i=11 to n, to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n) = n \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1 - n \cdot n = n^{2}$$

.. The number of multiplications performed by this algorithm is not realist performed

20 Consider Ax, = e, for solving x;

OThe cost of PA=LU fectorization, multiplications only is  $\frac{n^3-n^2+n}{3}$ 

2) The cost of solving Ly = d for multiplications only is:  $\frac{n^2 - n}{2}$ 

The cost of solving Ux, = y for multiplications only is  $\frac{n^2 - n}{2}$ 

Total cost for Ly=d and Ux,=y=2 $(\frac{n^2-n}{2})$ Now, there are total n systems to solve for  $Ax_1 = e_1$ i.e.  $Ax_1 = e_1$ ,  $Ax_2 = e_2$ ...  $x_n = e_n$ .

so, for n systems total cost to solve Ly=d and Ux=y is  $2n(n^2-n)$ 

(3) we have solved for  $X = A^{-1}$ , entries of X are  $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n$ . Now, compate  $x = A^{-1}b$ , the cost would be  $x = A^{-1}b$ .

The total cost of this algorithm is  $\frac{n^3 - n^2 + n}{3} + 2n\left(\frac{n^2 - n}{2}\right) + n^2 = \frac{4n^3 - n^2 - n}{3} = 0$ 

The cost of solving Ax + b as discussed in class is  $(\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{5}{6}n)$  D

Comparing two costs  $\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{5}{5}n \leq 4n^3 + \frac{n^2}{3} - \frac{n}{3}$ This approach is 4 thmes more expensive than the one we discussed in class.

Deration count analysis gives the cost of the algorithm by counting the number of arithmetic operations. It is useful to find the cost of an algorithm.

Operation count analysis gives us the cost.

operation count analysis gives us the cost of operation of different algorithms without depending on the specifications of computer systems.

More servery and the servery production of t

1 (MA may for all a

a morrow to said the later said

30) The names of the files that we should be looking Forward And Backward For Linear System 'LA-forwardBackwardError' 'Summary OFFE+BE+Conditioning' b A = 9.7 The exact solution is X = 1 - 1- 1- 1 O Transfer of the Approximation solution 2 = 0134 Elister day district phil Forward Emor |E| = |1x-21 = 1.83 = 0.66 -0.97 The State of the State of the Court of the C Relative forward enror = [121] = 1.63 = 1.63 Well of supress became the said that say

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 9.7 & 6.6 \\ 4.1 & 2.8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.34 \\ 0.97 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 9.7 \\ 4.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 9.7 \\ 4.11 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 9.7 \\ 4.11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

Pertyrbing original sijstem byr.

Backward error [III] = 0.01

e) The expression for cond (A) is |A| . |A"|

(ond (A) = | IAI | W-11

= (13.80)(163.0)

= 2249.4

= 2.2494 × 103

The condition number is about 103, so we loose

The relative backward error is very smaller than relative borward ornor. It means that it we tweak the original problem by relatively small amount, the solution changes by very large amount. Which eventually means the problem is ill-conditioned.

The theoretical relationship between cond (A), relative backward error and relative forward error is

Relative forward error & cond(A) x Relative 11211 = (11A11.11A-11) 11x11 11x11 [161]