

Q2. Loop interchange advantage:

* Interchange is transformation applied to nested loops in which order of the loops is changed.

* Ex: 1

• Switch the nesting order of loops in a perfect loop nest.

• Can increase parallelism, can improve spatial locality.

```
DO I = 1, N
```

```
DO J = 1, M
```

```
S: A(I, J+1) = A(I, J) + B
```

```
ENDDO
```

```
END DO
```

```
DO J = 1, M
```

```
DO I = 1, N
```

```
S: A(I, J+1) = A(I, J) + B
```

```
END DO
```

```
END DO
```

* Ex: 2:

• Interchange if non-rectangular loops

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++)
```

```
for (j=0; j<1; j++)
```

```
Y[i] = Y[i] + A[i][j] * X[j];
```

```
for (j=0; j<n; j++)
```

```
for (i=j+1; i<n; i++)
```

```
Y[i] = Y[i] + A[i][j] * X[j];
```

Ex:3

```

for (i=0; i<n; i++)
    for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
        a[j][i+1] = 2.0 * a[j][i-1];
    }

```

```

for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
        a[j][i+1] = 2.0 * a[j][i-1];
    }
}

```

// Compiler optimization using O1, O2, O3, O3, ofast etc. :

"without any optimization option, the compiler's goal is to reduce the cost of compilation and to make debugging produce the expected results.

- O0 → No optimization; generates un-optimized code but the fastest compilation time.
- O1 → made code optimization; optimizes reasonably well but doesn't degrade compilation time significantly.
- O2: full optimization: generates highly optimized code and has the slowest compilation time.

- O3: full optimization as is -O2; also uses more aggressive automatic inlining of subprograms within a unit and attempts to vectorize loops.
- O5: optimize space usage of resulting program.

- Higher optimization levels perform more global transformations on the program and apply more expensive analysis