

Protocol Audit Report



Version 1.0

Harsh Suthar

June 4, 2025

Network Vulnerability Assessment

Harsh Suthar

June 4th, 2025

Prepared by: Harsh Suthar

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, making it no longer only private to owner
 - * [H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` is missing the access control, leading to an issue where `non-owner` can set the password
 - Medium
 - Low
 - Informational

- * [I-1] `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec have parameter but the `getPassword` function dont have any paramters
- Gas

Protocol Summary

A smart contract application for storing a password. Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Others should not be able to access the password.

Disclaimer

I make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

- Commit Hash: 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990

Scope

```
1 ./src/  
2 --- PasswordStore.sol
```

- Solc Version: 0.8.18
- Chain(s) to deploy contract to: Ethereum

Roles

Owner: The user who can set and get password
Outsiders: No one of the outsiders should be able to get or set the password

Executive Summary

I spent half an hour to audit PasswordStore.sol file, and we found 3 issues in total which breaks the functionality of protocol.

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
high	2
medium	0
low	0
informational	1
gas	0

High

Description: Anyone can read the storage variables stored on-chain whether they are marked with `private` keyword or not. The `PasswordStore::s_password` should be private, only readable if the `sender` is `owner` of the contract AND it is getting called from the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function.

Proof of Concept:

1. Create a locally running anvil chain

2. Deploy the contract

3. Note the from the output above, it should be listed in the top of output like this

4. Using the cast command to get the s_password variable from the storage

You will get an output of type bytes32, which is just converted form of your initial password set by your deployer, which should look like this

Harsh Suthar

5. Parse the bytes32 password to string

[illegible]

then you will get the output as below

```
1 myPassword;
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you're also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword is missing the access control, leading to an issue where non-owner can set the password

Description: `PasswordStore::setPassword` being the external function without any pre-check/auth-control to see if the `sender` is the `owner` or `non-owner` will lead to anyone being able to set the password regardless of them being owner or not. This violates the intended functionality of this protocol i.e `Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Others should not be able to access the password`

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2   @> // @audit-high No Auth control set up to check if sender is
owner or not
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNetPassword();
5 }
```

Impact: non-owner being able to set the password of the contract

Proof of Concept: Add this following test to your `test/PasswordStore.t.sol` file and run the tests

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
2 {
3     vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
4     vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
5     string memory newPassword = "myPassword";
6     passwordStore.setPassword(newPassword);
7     vm.stopPrank();
8     vm.prank(owner);
9     string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
10    assertEq(actualPassword, newPassword);
11 }
```

```
10     }
```

The above test passing proves that any random address can set the password even without being the owner.

Recommended Mitigation: Add conditional to check if the `sender` is `owner` or not

```
1  if(msg.sender != s_owner) {
2      revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3  }
```

Medium

Low

Informational

[I-1] PasswordStore::getPassword natspec have parameter but the getPassword function dont have any paramters

Description:

```
1      * @param newPassword The new password to set.
2      */
3      function getPassword() external view returns (string memory)
```

`PasswordStore::getPassword` mentions that it will have a parameter in function but there are no parameter named `newPassword` inside `getPassword` function

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line:

```
1  - @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

Gas