# Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

#### Kenneth Harris

kaharri@umich.edu

Department of Mathematics University of Michigan

March 20, 2009

Kenneth Harris (Math 582)

Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

March 20, 2009

Introduction

### Introduction

- This lecture defines the notions same size (equinumerous) and at least in large in size.
- Size is measured by the "number of elements", where all properties are stripped from the elements of the set except their distinctness.
- The central result is the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem (or Schröder-Bernstein-Cantor Theorem) which simplifies the task of comparing two sets for size.
- There are several examples to introduce techniques for comparing the size of sets, and to begin to see patterns for developing an arithmetic based upon the size of sets.
- These lectures correspond to H+J Section 4.1 and Section 5.1.

### Equinumerous

#### Definition

 $X \approx Y$  iff there is a function  $X \rightleftharpoons Y$ .

 $X \leq Y$  iff there is a function  $X \hookrightarrow Y$ .

- When  $X \approx Y$  we will say that the sets are equinumerous, or equipotent (H+J), or have the same cardinality or size.
- When  $X \leq Y$  we will say the set X is less than or equal to Y in cardinality or size.

**Note**. H+J write |A| = |B| when they mean  $X \approx Y$  and  $|A| \leq |B|$  when they mean  $X \leq Y$ . In Chapters 4 and 5, |X| does not denote any set, and will not in H+J until chapter 7 when |X| will denote the cardinal number of X.

Kenneth Harris (Math 582)

Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

March 20, 2009 5 / 1

Equinumerosity

## Simple Examples

- **★** The only set equinumerous with  $\emptyset$  is  $\emptyset$ . But,  $\emptyset \leq X$  for every set X.
- **★** The sets  $3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}\}\$  are equinumerous with the correspondence f given by:  $0 \mapsto \emptyset$   $1 \mapsto \{\emptyset\}$   $2 \mapsto \{\{\emptyset\}\}$

The following are believable, but some will require a fair amount of effort to show.

- $\bullet$  *n*  $\preccurlyeq$  *ω* and *ω*  $\nleq$  *n* for every finite ordinal *n*.
- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{N} \preceq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \preceq \mathbb{R}$ . by the trivial embedding. (That is, we have  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .)
- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Z} \approx \mathbb{Q}$  by well-known mappings.
- $\star$   $\mathbb{R} \not \prec \mathbb{Q}$  by Cantor's diagonal argument.

### Relations

#### Lemma

- $\textcircled{1} \preccurlyeq \textit{is transitive and reflexive.}$
- ②  $X \subseteq Y$  implies  $X \preccurlyeq Y$ .
- $3 \approx is$  an equivalence relation.

#### Proof.

① and ③ are by composing maps. ② is by the identity function on X(the "natural embedding" of X into Y.)

Kenneth Harris (Math 582)

Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

March 20, 2009 7 / 1

Equinumerosity

### Schröder-Bernstein Theorem

We proved the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem in Lecture 7, and you can verify that we can carry-out the proof formally in set theory. HW6 will have another version of the proof due to Zermelo.

Theorem (Schröder-Bernstein Theorem)

 $A \approx B$  iff  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ .

We can now unambigously define less than in size:

#### Definition

 $X \prec Y \text{ iff } X \preccurlyeq Y \text{ and } Y \not\preccurlyeq X.$ 

Equivalently (by SBT),  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  but **NOT**- $(X \rightleftharpoons Y)$ .

### Cardinal number and Schröder-Bernstein Theorem

Informally, we will write

$$|A| \leq |B|$$
 to mean  $A \leq B$ 

and

$$|A| = |B|$$
 to mean  $A \approx B$ 

The Schröder-Bernstein Theorem then says that

$$|A| \leq |B| \leftrightarrow |A| \leq |B| \land |B| \leq |A|$$

Next week we will produce a representative set for |A|, a cardinal number.

Kenneth Harris (Math 582)

Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

March 20, 2009 9 / 1

Comparing size of sets

## Exercises in comparing size

Here are some simple exercises to test your comprehension:

- $\implies$  When  $A \cap B = \emptyset = C \cap D$ :  $A \leq C$  and  $B \leq D$  implies  $A \cup B \leq C \cup D$ .
- $\implies A \preccurlyeq C \text{ and } B \preccurlyeq D \text{ implies } A \times B \preccurlyeq C \times D.$
- $\implies$  1 ×  $A \approx A$  for all sets A.
- $\implies$  When  $A_0 \approx A_1$  and  $A_0 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ :  $A_0 \cup A_1 \approx 2 \times A_0$ . Generalize to any finite number.

Disjoint union behaves like addition of sizes: when  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  we will define

$$|A|+|B|=|A\cup B|.$$

Cartesian product behaves like multiplication of sizes: we will define

$$|A| \cdot |B| = |A \times B|$$
.

## Cantor's Theorem

### Theorem (Cantor's Theorem)

 $A \prec \mathcal{P}(A)$  for every set A.

(See H+J Theorem 4.6.2 and Theorem 5.1.8.)

#### Proof Idea.

 $A \preccurlyeq \mathcal{P}(A)$ : by  $x \mapsto \{x\}$ .

 $\mathcal{P}(A) \not \leqslant A$ : define the Cantor diagonal set for any function

 $h: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  by

$$D_h := \{x \in A \mid x \notin h(x).\}$$

Then  $D_h \notin \operatorname{ran}(h)$  ( $\operatorname{\text{$\sim$}}$  verify.)

So, it is not possible that  $h: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ 

Kenneth Harris (Math 582)

Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

March 20, 2009

12 / 1

Comparing size of sets

## Powerset and Exponentiation

### Lemma

 $^{A}$ 2  $pprox \mathcal{P}(A)$  for every set A.

So,  $A \prec^{A} 2$  for every set A.

### Proof.

Associate each  $B \subseteq A$  by its characteristic function

$$\chi_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in B \\ 0 & x \notin B \end{cases}$$

# More Exercises in comparing size

Here are some simple exercises to test your comprehension:

- $\implies A \preccurlyeq C \text{ and } B \preccurlyeq D \text{ implies } {}^AB \preccurlyeq {}^CD.$
- $\implies$  2  $\preccurlyeq$  *C* implies  $\mathcal{A} \prec^{A} C$ .
- $\Rightarrow A \approx {}^{1}A$
- $A \times A \approx {}^{2}A$ . Generalize to any finite number.

Function spaces behave like exponentiation: we will define

$$|A|^{|B|}=|^BA|.$$

So, the last example show  $|A|^{|2|} = |A| = |A| \cdot |A|$ .

Kenneth Harris (Math 582)

Math 582 Intro to Set Theory Lecture 24

March 20, 2009

14 /

Comparing size of sets

## Function spaces like exponentiation

#### Lemma

For all A, B, C the following hold:

- (i)  $C(BA) \approx C \times BA$ .
- (ii)  ${}^{B\cup C}A \approx {}^{B}A \times {}^{C}A$  when  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ .
- (iii)  ${}^{A}(B \times C) \approx {}^{A}B \times {}^{A}C$

#### Proof.

- (i). Define  $\Phi: {}^C({}^BA) \rightleftarrows {}^{C \times B}A$  by  $\Phi(f)(c,b) = (f(c))(b)$  (Note that  $f(c) \in {}^BA$ .)
- (ii). Define  $\Psi : {}^{B \cup C}A \rightleftharpoons {}^{B}A \times {}^{C}A$  by  $\Psi(f) = (f \upharpoonright B, f \upharpoonright C)$ .
- (iii). Define  $\Gamma: {}^A(B \times C) \rightleftarrows {}^AB \times {}^AC$  by  $\Gamma(f)(a) = (\text{first}(f(a)), \text{second}(f(a)))$ , where first(x, y) = x and second(x, y) = y.