Zhixin Ling, 516021910495 Yifeng Gao,00000000000000

#### I. MAIN IDEAS

In this project we use deep methods to perform classification work on Fer2013 dataset. We classify people's face images into seven categories: Angry, Disgust, Fear, Happy, Sad, Surprise and Neutral. We try different network architectures and select network parameters that result in the best accuracy on the given public test set. Finally, we test our networks and parameters on the given private test set to investigate the performance. Also, we try cropping the face segment of the images first to eliminate some unnecessary noises to see whether the classification can improve.

We find networks of ResNet18 and VGG19 achieve the best performance on the given private test dataset with the selected parameters without face cropping. The best resulted accuracy reaches 0.73, reaching the state-of-art achievement. With face cropping, the overall resulted accuracies reduce by about 0.03. However, on several classes, the resulted classification accuracies improve greater than 0.02.

#### II. METHODS

#### A. LeNet

LeNet is a classical neural network. It is the first complete proposed neural network architecture, including convolution layers, pooling layers, fully connected layers and neuron activation mechanism. A typical version of LeNet is illustrated in 1. The network is simple but can be very effective for some requirements. LeNet's training needs far less computation compared with some later complicate networks. So, LeNet can always worth a try in our classification work.

Compared with the first proposed version of LeNet, we use RELU instead of sigmoid function for neuron activation and also apply batch normalization to ensure a fast and stable convergence.

#### B. VGG

VGG, short for Visual Geometry Group Network, is far deeper than LeNet. More convolution kernels are applied; methods of neuron dropout and batch normalization are introduced; larger fully connected layers are used. A typical version of VGG(VGG16) is illustrated in 2. We try several architectures of VGG of different depths to explore whether a deeper network brings a better classification performance.

#### C. ResNet

ResNet, short for residual neural network, won the first prize in ILSRVC2015. The special feature of ResNet is that it creatively proposed shortcut connections that allow a former layer to have additional connections, bypassing adjacent layers, leading to the layers behind, as illustrated in 3. The idea greatly ease decreasing of accuracies caused by increasing of network depths, thus allowing the network as deep as possible. As a result, ResNet have far more convolution layers than VGG and also bigger convolution kernels.

#### D. Face Detection And Cropping

The samples of our dataset are not all well collected. Faces in some images may be misplaced in a corner and the main contents of the image can be something uncorrelated to the classification work. So we try to detect the area in a image where the face exists and crop the area as a sample. The old image is discarded, of course. For the work of face detection, we use traditional methods. We use a trained classifier cascaded from strong subclassifiers trained with extracted Haar features of images using Adaboost algorithms.




Fig. 1: Typical Lenet Architecture

1




Fig. 2: VGG16 Architecture




Fig. 3: Residual Connections

## E. Data Augmentation

Data augmentation is used to enlarge the training dataset, creating more training samples, to make the trained model more robust and ease overfitting. Symmetry and random cropping are used for data augmentation.

#### III. ALGORITHMS

### A. Preprocessing

Before training, we need to preprocess our data. This includes face detection and cropping, if required, and data augmentation. The algorithm is elaborated in 1.

## B. Network Training

Because of hardware limitations, we train our model in batches. And learning rate annealing is also applied to stabilize the convergence. After each epoch, we estimate the classification accuracy on the public test set and decide whether or not to save the current network parameters. The algorithm is elaborated in 2.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETTINGS

## A. Convention of Notations

Before going on, we present our common notations to avoid confusion in table I. The middle column Fixed Value indicates we have a fixed value for the parameter and we use - to indicate the value is not fixed.

#### B. Detailed Network Structures

We conduct eight experiments with different settings on six different networks. We use LetNet5, VGG11, VGG13, VGG16, VGG19 and ResNet18, as shown in table II and figure 4. In table II, we denote a convolution layer as "convikernel size¿-¡kernel numbers¿" and a fully connected layer as "FC-¡neuron numbers¿". RELU is used for neuron activation. Padding is applied with every convolution layers and batch normalization is used after convolution.

## **Algorithm 1:** Preprocess

#### **Input:**

An  $b \times m$  matrix B, a batch of raw data of size b.

 $F_{DC}$ , boolean, whether or not to detect and crop the face part of the image.

l', side length of output image.

## **Output:**

```
A b \times l' \times l' matrix X
```

```
1 for Every row R in B do
```

```
/* Reshape R to be an image.
                                                                                                                         */
     Reshape R to be an l \times l matrix, where l = \sqrt{m};
2
     if F_{DC} is true then
3
         Detect the area where the face exists in R;
4
         Discard the rest area of R;
5
         Resize R to be l \times l;
6
     /* Perform data augmentation.
                                                                                                                         */
     Randomly select a l' \times l' area in R;
7
     R \leftarrow the selected part;
     Randomly decide whether or not to flip the image horizontally with a chance of 0.5;
```

10  $X \leftarrow B$ ;

### 11 return X;

## Algorithm 2: Network Training

```
Input:
```

```
(X^{tr}, Y^{tr}), the training set.
```

 $(X_{pub}^{te}, Y_{pub}^{te})$ , the public test set.

 $F_{DC}$ , boolean, whether or not to detect and crop the face part of the image.

 $\theta$ , parameters of the given network.

 $Ep_m$ , the maximum epoch.

l', side length of image for training.

## **Output:**

 $\theta*$ , trained parameters of the given network.

```
1 Initialize the \theta and \theta^* \leftarrow \theta;
 2 Initialize learning rate l_r;
acc_{pub}^{te*} \leftarrow 0.0;
 4 for e=1 \rightarrow Ep_m do
              for Each batch i in (X^{tr}, Y^{tr}) do
 5
                      Select a batch (X_i^{tr}, Y_i^{tr}) from (X^{tr}, Y^{tr});
  6
                      Preprocess(X_i^{tr}, F_{DC}, l');
 7
                      Calculate array q with q_j \leftarrow f(\theta, X_{i,j}^{tr}), where j = 1, 2, \dots, b and f denotes the function corresponding to the
  8
                        given network;
                      q \leftarrow softmax(q);
 9
                    \begin{array}{l} loss \leftarrow -\frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^{b} Y_{i,j}^{tr} \log q_j; \\ \theta \leftarrow -l_r \times \frac{\partial loss}{\partial \theta}; \end{array}
10
11
             Calculate q for all batches of (X^{te}_{pub}, Y^{te}_{pub}); acc^{te}_{pub} \leftarrow \frac{1}{s^{te}_{pub}} \sum_{j} argmax(q_j) == Y^{te}_{pub,j}, where s^{te}_{pub} is total size of public training set; if acc^{te}_{pub} > acc^{te*}_{pub} then \begin{vmatrix} acc^{te*}_{pub} \leftarrow acc^{te*}_{pub}; \\ acc^{te*}_{pub} \leftarrow acc^{te*}_{pub}; \\ acc^{te*}_{pub} \leftarrow acc^{te*}_{pub}; \end{vmatrix}
12
13
14
15
                     \theta^* \leftarrow \theta:
16
              Anneal l_r;
17
```

18 return  $\theta^*$ ;

TABLE I: Convention of Notations

Notation	Fixed Value	Description			
l	48	Side length of raw input images.			
l'	44	Cropped side length of input images.			
$F_{DC}$	-	Whether or not to detect and crop the face part of the image.			
$D_o$	0.5	Neurons' drop out rate when training(if a neuron is allowed so).			
$Ep_m$	250	Maximum number of epochs.			
$l_r(e)$	$0.01 \times 0.9^{e/5}$	Learning rate in a given epoch $e$ .			
$\dot{b}$	-	Batch size.			
$S_{tr} = (X^{tr}, Y^{tr})$	$\checkmark$	Training set.			
$S_{pub} = (X_{pub}^{te}, Y_{pub}^{te})$ $S_{pri} = (X_{pri}^{te}, Y_{pri}^{te})$	$\checkmark$	Public test set.			
$S_{pri} = (X_{pri}^{te}, Y_{pri}^{te})$	$\checkmark$	Private test set.			
$acc^{tr}(e)$	-	Training accuracy in a given epoch $e$ .			
$egin{array}{c} acc_{pub}^{te}(e) \ acc_{nri}^{te}(e) \end{array}$	-	Private test accuracy in a given epoch $e$ .			
$acc_{pri}^{te}(e)$	-	Public test accuracy in a given epoch $e$ .			

TABLE II: Structures of LeNet5 and VGG

LeNet5	VGG11	VGG13	VGG13 VGG16 VGG		
input	input	input	input	input	
conv5-6	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	
maxpool	maxpool	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	
conv5-16	conv3-128	maxpool	maxpool	maxpool	
maxpool	maxpool	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	
FC-144	conv3-256	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	
FC-84	conv3-256	maxpool	maxpool	maxpool	
FC-7	maxpool	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	
soft-max	conv3-512	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	
	conv3-512	maxpool	conv3-256	conv3-256	
	maxpool	conv3-512	maxpool	conv3-256	
	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	maxpool	
	conv3-512	maxpool	conv3-512	conv3-512	
	maxpool	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
	FC-512	conv3-512	maxpool	conv3-512	
	FC-7	maxpool	conv3-512	conv3-512	
	soft-max	FC-512	conv3-512	maxpool	
		FC-7	conv3-512	conv3-512	
		soft-max	maxpool	conv3-512	
			FC-512	conv3-512	
			FC-7	conv3-512	
			soft-max	maxpool	
				FC-512	
				FC-7	
				soft-max	

Compared with the LeNet5 structure used for mnist digits classification, we adjust the fully connected layers to adapt to our dataset and apply batch normalization.

Compared with standard VGG structures proposed by Karen Simonyan, et al., we, use only one fully connected layer("FC-512") after convolution layers except the output layer while Karen Simonyan, et al. use two 4096-neuron layers. We do not need such complicated fully connected layers because we have only seven classes while they have one thousand.

Since ResNet is more difficult to describe, we turn to tensorboard for visualization. The detailed parameters are elaborated in III. We denote a convolution layer using n s-sized kernels as (s, n) and a sequenced building blocks composed of k convolution layer as  $[(s_1, n_1), (s_2, n_2), \cdots, (s_k, n_k)] \times a$ . Within every building block, there is a residual connection from block input to block output, bypassing the contained convolution layers, as illustrated in the right block of figure 4.

For every fully connected layer, we drop out the neurons with a rate of  $D_o = 0.5$ .

TABLE III: Structures of ResNet18

Layer Name	Blocks		
conv1	(3,64)		
conv2.x	$[(3,128),(3,128)] \times 2$		
conv3.x	$[(3, 256), (3, 256)] \times 2$		
conv4.x	$[(3,512),(3,512)] \times 2$		
FC1	FC-512		
output	soft-max		




Fig. 4: Structures of ResNet18

TABLE IV: Hyper-Parameters of Experiments

Experiment Name	ExLenet5	ExLenet5-DC	ExVGG11	ExVGG13	ExVGG16	ExVGG19	ExVGG19-DC	ExRes18
$F_{DC}$	×	<b>√</b>	×	×	×	×	✓	×
b	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	32
Network	Lenet5	Lenet5	VGG11	VGG13	VGG16	VGG19	VGG19	ResNet18

# C. Hyper-Parameters of Experiments

We conduct seven experiments regard of different networks, whether or not crop faces in preprocessing and the batch size used for training. The details are illustrated in table IV.

V. RESULTS

Results.