

We'll define a recursive divide-and-conquer algorithm **ALG** which takes a sequence of distinct numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and returns  $N$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_n$  where

- $N$  is the number of significant inversions
- $a'_1, \dots, a'_n$  is same sequence sorted in the increasing order

**ALG** is similar to the algorithm from the chapter that computes the number of inversions. The difference is that in the 'conquer' step we merge twice: first we merge  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  with  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n$  just for sorting, and then we merge  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  with  $2b_{k+1}, \dots, 2b_n$  for counting significant inversions.

Let's define **ALG** formally. For  $n = 1$  **ALG** just returns  $N = 0$  and  $\{a_1\}$  for the sequence. For  $n > 1$  **ALG** does the following:

- let  $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .
- call **ALG**( $a'_1, \dots, a'_k$ ). Say it returns  $N_1$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k$ .
- call **ALG**( $a'_{k+1}, \dots, a'_n$ ). Say it returns  $N_2$  and  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n$ .
- compute the number  $N_3$  of significant inversions  $(a_i, a_j)$  where  $i \leq k < j$ .
- return  $N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_n = \text{MERGE}(b_1, \dots, b_k; b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n)$

**MERGE** can be implemented in  $O(n)$  time. According to the discussion in the book, it remains to find a way to compute  $N_3$  in  $O(n)$  time. We implement a variant of merge-count of  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  and  $2b_{k+1}, \dots, 2b_n$  as follows.

- Initialize counters:  $i \leftarrow k, j \leftarrow n, N_3 \leftarrow 0$ .
- If  $b_i \leq 2b_j$  then
  - if  $j > k + 1$  decrease  $j$  by 1.
  - if  $j = k + 1$  return  $N_3$ .
- If  $b_i > 2b_j$  then increase  $N_3$  by  $j - k$ . Then
  - if  $i > 1$  decrease  $i$  by 1.
  - if  $i = 1$  return  $N_3$ .

**Explanation** For every  $i$  we count the number of significant inversions between  $b_i$  and all  $b_j$ 's. If  $b_i \leq 2b_j$  then there are no significant inversions between  $b_i$  and any  $b_m$  s.t.  $m \geq j$ , so we decrease  $j$ . If  $b_i > 2b_j$  then  $b_i > 2b_m$  for all  $m$  s.t.  $k < m \leq j$ . In other words, we have detected  $j - k$  significant inversions involving  $b_i$ . So we increase  $N_3$  by  $j - k$ . Finally, when we are down to  $i = 1$  and have counted significant inversions involving  $b_1$ , there are no more significant inversions to be detected.

---

<sup>1</sup>ex499.218.598