The one-class SVM is given by the minimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, \rho, \xi} \ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \rho + \frac{1}{N\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_i$$

s.t.  $\forall_{i=1}^{N}: \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}_i), \mathbf{w} \rangle \geq \rho - \xi_i$  and  $\xi_i \geq 0$ 

where  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$  are the training data and  $\phi(x_i)\in\mathbb{R}^d$  is a feature space representation.

We can always increase d'i until the constraints

are satisfied with strict inequalities,

(b) Write the Lagrange function associated to this optimization problem.

(a) Show that strong duality holds (i.e. verify the Slater's conditions)

$$\mathcal{L}(u, p, 3, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - p + \frac{1}{100} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{s}_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} (p - 3_{i} - \langle \Phi(x_{i}), u \rangle)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \hat{s}_{i}$$

(c) Show the dual program for the one-class SVM is given by:

$$\max_{\alpha} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_i \alpha_j k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

s.t. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i = 1$$
 and  $\forall_{i=1}^{N}: 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{N\nu}$ 

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = w - \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Phi(x_{i})$$

(d) Show that the problem can be equivalently rewritten in canonical matrix form as:

$$\min_{\alpha} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} K \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

s.t. 
$$1^{\top} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = 1$$
 and  $\begin{pmatrix} -I \\ I \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \nu \end{pmatrix}$ 

where K is the Gram matrix whose elements are defined as  $K_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$ .

$$\max_{\alpha} - \alpha^{T} k_{\alpha} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{i} = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha_{i} \\ -\alpha_{i} \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1/N_{\nu} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{i} \leq 1/N_{\nu}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha_{i} \\ -\alpha_{i} \end{bmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{N_{\nu}} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{i} \leq 1/N_{\nu}$$

(e) The decision rule in the primal for classifying a point as an outlier is given by:

$$\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{w} 
angle < 
ho$$

Also, one can verify that for any data point  $x_i$  whose associated dual variable satisfies the strict inequalities  $0 < \alpha_i < \frac{1}{N\nu}$ , and calling one such point a support vector  $x_{SV}$ , the following equality holds:

$$\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{SV}}), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \rho$$

Show that the outlier detection rule can be expressed as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) < \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i k(\mathbf{x}_{SV}, \mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$\langle \phi(x), u \rangle \langle \rho$$
  
 $(\phi(x), u) \langle \langle \phi(x_{sv}), u \rangle$   
 $(\phi(x), \Sigma_{\alpha_i} \phi(x_i)) \langle \langle \phi(x_{sv}), \Sigma_{i} \kappa_{i} \phi(x_i) \rangle$   
 $(\varphi(x), \Sigma_{\alpha_i} \phi(x_i)) \langle \langle \phi(x_{sv}), \Sigma_{i} \kappa_{i} \phi(x_{sv}), x_{i} \rangle$