

Exercise 1: Symmetries in LLE (30 P)

The Locally Linear Embedding (LLE) method takes as input a collection of data points  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and embeds them in some low-dimensional space. LLE operates in two steps, with the first step consisting of minimizing the objective

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right\|^2$$

where  $W$  is a collection of reconstruction weights subject to the constraint  $\forall i : \sum_j W_{ij} = 1$ , and where  $\sum_j$  sums over the  $K$  nearest neighbors of the data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$ . The solution that minimizes the LLE objective can be shown to be invariant to various transformations of the data.

Show that invariance holds in particular for the following transformations:

(a) Replacement of all  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with  $\alpha \mathbf{x}_i$ , for an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(W) &= \sum_i \left\| \alpha \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \alpha \mathbf{x}_j \right\|^2 = \sum_i \left\| \alpha \left( \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \cdot \sum_i \left\| \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right\|^2 = \alpha^2 \cdot \mathcal{E}(W) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Replacement of all  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with  $\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{v}$ , for a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}''(W) &= \sum_i \left\| \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{v} - \sum_j W_{ij} (\mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{v}) \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \left\| \mathbf{x}_i + \cancel{\mathbf{v}} - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j - \cancel{\mathbf{v} \cdot \sum_j W_{ij}} \right\|^2 \\ &= \mathcal{E}(W) \end{aligned}$$

(c) Replacement of all  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with  $U \mathbf{x}_i$ , where  $U$  is an orthogonal  $d \times d$  matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'''(W) &= \sum_i \left\| U \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} U \mathbf{x}_j \right\|^2 = \sum_i \left\| U \left( \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right) \right\|^2 \\ \|U \mathbf{z}\|^2 &= (U \mathbf{z})^T (U \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^T \underbrace{U^T U}_{=I} \mathbf{z} = \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \left\| \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right\|^2 = \mathcal{E}(W) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2: Closed form for LLE (30 P)

In the following, we would like to show that the optimal weights  $W$  have an explicit analytic solution. For this, we first observe that the objective function can be decomposed as a sum of as many subobjectives as there are data points:

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{E}_i(W) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{E}_i(W) = \left\| \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_j W_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right\|^2$$

Furthermore, because each subobjective depends on different parameters, they can be optimized independently. We consider one such subobjective and for simplicity of notation, we rewrite it as:

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{w}) = \left\| \mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=1}^K w_j \boldsymbol{\eta}_j \right\|^2$$

where  $\mathbf{x}$  is the current data point (we have dropped the index  $i$ ), where  $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_K)$  is a matrix of size  $K \times d$  containing the  $K$  nearest neighbors of  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}$  is the vector of size  $K$  containing the weights to optimize and subject to the constraint  $\sum_{j=1}^K w_j = 1$ .

(a) Prove that the optimal weights for  $\mathbf{x}$  are found by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T C \mathbf{w} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1} = 1.$$

where  $C = (\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta})(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T$  is the covariance matrix associated to the data point  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  is a vector of ones of size  $K$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{w}) &= \left\| \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{w} \right\|^2 = \left\| \mathbf{x} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{w} \right\|^2 = \left\| (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{1}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta}^T) \mathbf{w} \right\|^2 \\ &= ((\mathbf{x} \mathbf{1}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta}^T) \mathbf{w})^T ((\mathbf{x} \mathbf{1}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta}^T) \mathbf{w}) \\ &= \mathbf{w}^T \underbrace{(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta})(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x}^T - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T}_{=C} \mathbf{w} \\ &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T C \mathbf{w} + \lambda (1 - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show using the method of Lagrange multipliers that the minimum of the optimization problem found in (a) is given analytically as:

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{C^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbf{1}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T C \mathbf{w} + \lambda (1 - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1}) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= C \mathbf{w} - \lambda \mathbf{1} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{w}} = \lambda C^{-1} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1}^T \underline{\mathbf{w}} &= 1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \mathbf{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbf{1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{\mathbf{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}} \right\} \mathbf{w} = \frac{C^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbf{1}}$$