# **Torsional Stealth**

## Borja Diez

 $Universidad\ Arturo\ Prat$ 

 $E ext{-}mail: borjadiez1014@gmail.com}$ 

Abstract...

### Contents

		<b>2</b>
1.1	Curvatura de Lorentz	2
		_
2.1	Campos auxiliares	7
2.2	Invariantes en $2+1$	10
3 Ecuaciones de movimiento		12
Bichos en el dual del grupo		13
	1.1 Tra 2.1 2.2 Ecu	

#### Conexión de Lorentz 1

La parte sin torsión de la conexión se obtiene como sigue. Dado que  $de^a$  es una 2-forma, deben existir coeficientes  $\Omega^a_{\ bc}(x)$  tales que podamos escribir

$$de^a = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega^a_{\ bc}e^b \wedge e^c \tag{1.1}$$

en donde claramente  $\Omega^a_{\ bc}$  es antisimétrico en los índices contraídos con los vielbeins

$$\Omega^a_{\ bc} = -\Omega^a_{\ cb} \tag{1.2}$$

La condición de torsión nula

$$T^a = de^a + \omega^a_b \wedge e^b = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

puede reescribirse como

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega^{a}_{\phantom{a}bc}e^{b}\wedge e^{c}+\omega^{a}_{\phantom{a}b}\wedge e^{b}=0 \tag{1.4}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega^{a}_{bc}e^{b} \wedge e^{c} + \omega^{a}_{bc}e^{c} \wedge e^{b} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega^{a}_{bc}e^{b} \wedge e^{c} - \omega^{a}_{bc}e^{b} \wedge e^{c} = 0$$
(1.5)

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega^a_{bc}e^b \wedge e^c - \omega^a_{bc}e^b \wedge e^c = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

es decir,

$$\omega^a_{bc} e^b \wedge e^c = \frac{1}{2} \Omega^a_{bc} e^b \wedge e^c \tag{1.7}$$

Usando (1.2), y expandiendo el producto cuña, se tiene

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega^{a}_{bc}(e^{b}\otimes e^{c} - e^{c}\otimes e^{b}) = \frac{1}{4}\Omega^{a}_{bc}(e^{b}\otimes e^{c} - e^{c}\otimes e^{b})$$

$$\tag{1.8}$$

$$(\omega^a_{bc} - \omega^a_{cb})e^b \otimes e^c = \Omega^a_{bc}e^b \wedge e^c \tag{1.9}$$

luego

$$\omega_{abc} - \omega_{acb} = \Omega_{abc} \tag{1.10}$$

Considerando permutaciones cíclicas de esta última ecuación,

$$\omega_{abc} - \omega_{acb} = \Omega_{abc} \tag{1.11}$$

$$\omega_{bca} - \omega_{bac} = \Omega_{bca} \tag{1.12}$$

$$\omega_{cab} - \omega_{cba} = \Omega_{cab} \tag{1.13}$$

Sumando estas 3 ecuaciones en la forma (++-), obtenemos

$$\omega_{abc} - \omega_{acb} + \omega_{bca} - \omega_{bac} - \omega_{cab} + \omega_{cba} = \Omega_{abc} + \Omega_{bca} - \Omega_{cab}$$
 (1.14)

Usando el hecho de que escritas de esta manera,  $\omega_{abc}$  son antisimétricas en dos primeros 2 índices, i.e,  $\omega_{abc}=-\omega_{bac}$ , se tiene

$$2\omega_{abc} = \Omega_{abc} + \Omega_{bca} - \Omega_{cab} \tag{1.15}$$

Despejando,

$$\omega_{abc} = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{abc} + \Omega_{bca} - \Omega_{cab}) \tag{1.16}$$

Finalmente, se tiene

$$\omega_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{C}_{bac} + \mathcal{C}_{acb} - \mathcal{C}_{cba}) e^c$$
(1.17)

#### 1.1 Curvatura de Lorentz

Notemos que al separar la conexión como  $\omega^{ab}=\mathring{\omega}^{ab}+\kappa^{ab}$  induce tambien una separación en la curvatura. En efecto

$$R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a_c \wedge \omega^{cb} \tag{1.18}$$

$$= d(\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \kappa^{ab}) + (\mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} + \kappa^{a}_{c}) \wedge (\mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \kappa^{cb})$$

$$(1.19)$$

$$= \mathrm{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathrm{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \kappa^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \kappa^{a}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb}$$

$$(1.20)$$

$$= \mathrm{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathrm{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa^{a}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb}$$
 (1.21)

$$= \mathring{R}^{ab} + \mathring{\mathbf{D}}\kappa^{ab} + \kappa^a_{\ c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \tag{1.22}$$

Así

$$R^{ab} = \mathring{R}^{ab} + \mathring{D}\kappa^{ab} + \kappa^a_{\ c} \wedge \kappa^{cb}$$
(1.23)

#### 2 Transformación conforme

Consideremos una transformación conforme de la forma

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma(x)} g_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.1}$$

donde  $\sigma(x)$  es una función arbitraria de las coordenadas del espacio-tiempo. De aquí es fácil ver que bajo esta transformación, el vielbein transforma como

$$e^a \to \bar{e}^a = e^{\sigma(x)}e^a \tag{2.2}$$

De (1.1) podemos escribir

$$de^a = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^a_{\ bc} e^b \wedge e^c \tag{2.3}$$

donde los coeficientes  $C_{abc}$  son los parámetros de estructura y se relacionan con la parte sin torsión de la conexión de Lorentz según (1.17), i.e.,

$$\omega_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{C}_{bac} + \mathcal{C}_{acb} - \mathcal{C}_{cba}) e^c \tag{2.4}$$

Se define el operador  $\mathcal{I}_a:\Omega^p\to\Omega^{p-1}$  según  $[\ref{1}]$ 

$$I_a = -*(e_a \wedge * \tag{2.5})$$

Calculemos  $d\bar{e}^a$ ,

$$d\bar{e}^a = d(e^\sigma e^a) \tag{2.6}$$

$$= e^{\sigma} (d\sigma e^a + de^a) \tag{2.7}$$

Notemos que podemos escribir la 1-forma d $\sigma$  como

$$d\sigma = \xi_a e^a, \quad \text{donde } \xi_a = I_a d\sigma$$
 (2.8)

En efecto, si escribimos  $d\sigma = \alpha_f e^f$ , se tiene

$$I_a d\sigma = - * (e_a \wedge * d\sigma) \tag{2.9}$$

$$= - * \left( e_a \wedge \alpha_f \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{fbcd} e^b \wedge e^c \wedge e^d \right)$$
 (2.10)

$$= - * \left( \alpha_f \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{fbcd} e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c \wedge e^d \right)$$
 (2.11)

$$= -\alpha_f \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{fbcd} \epsilon_{abcd} \tag{2.12}$$

$$= \alpha_f \frac{1}{3!} 3! \tag{2.13}$$

$$=\alpha_f \tag{2.14}$$

luego,  $d\sigma = I_a d\sigma e^a = \xi_a e^a$ . Reemplazando en (2.7),

$$d\bar{e}^a = e^{\sigma}(d\sigma e^a + de^a) \tag{2.15}$$

$$= e^{\sigma} \left( \xi_m e^m \wedge e^a - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^a_{bc} e^b \wedge e^c \right) \tag{2.16}$$

$$= e^{-\sigma} \left( \xi_m \bar{e}^m \wedge \bar{e}^a - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^a_{bc} \bar{e}^b \wedge \bar{e}^c \right)$$
 (2.17)

$$= e^{-\sigma} \left( \xi_m \delta^m_{[b} \delta^a_{c]} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^a_{bc} \right) \bar{e}^b \wedge \bar{e}^c$$
 (2.18)

$$= e^{-\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi_m \delta_{bc}^{ma} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^a_{bc} \right) \bar{e}^b \wedge \bar{e}^c$$
 (2.19)

$$= e^{-\sigma} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \xi_m \delta^{am}_{bc} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^a_{bc} \right) \bar{e}^b \wedge \bar{e}^c$$
 (2.20)

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{C}}^a_{\ bc}\bar{e}^b \wedge \bar{e}^c \tag{2.21}$$

Así,

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{a}_{bc} = e^{-\sigma} \left( \mathcal{C}^{a}_{bc} + \xi_{m} \delta^{am}_{bc} \right) \tag{2.22}$$

Notemos que

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{abc} = e^{-\sigma} \left( \mathcal{C}_{abc} + \xi_m \eta_{ab} \delta_c^m - \xi_m \delta_b^m \eta_{ac} \right) \tag{2.23}$$

$$= e^{-\sigma} (\mathcal{C}_{abc} + \xi_c \eta_{ab} - \xi_b \eta_{ac}) \tag{2.24}$$

De manera que

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{bac} = e^{-\sigma} (\mathcal{C}_{bac} + \xi_c \eta_{ba} - \xi_a \eta_{bc})$$
(2.25a)

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{acb} = e^{-\sigma} (\mathcal{C}_{acb} + \xi_a \eta_{cb} - \xi_b \eta_{ca})$$
(2.25b)

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{cba} = e^{-\sigma} (\mathcal{C}_{cba} + \xi_a \eta_{cb} - \xi_b \eta_{ca})$$
(2.25c)

De (1.17) es fácil ver que

$$\bar{\omega}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{bac} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{acb} - \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{cba}) \bar{e}^c$$
 (2.26)

Reemplazando (2.25) en (2.26) se tiene

$$\bar{\omega}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\sigma} (\mathcal{C}_{bac} + \xi_c \eta_{ba} - \xi_a \eta_{bc} + \mathcal{C}_{acb} + \xi_a \eta_{cb} - \xi_b \eta_{ca} - \mathcal{C}_{cba} - \xi_a \eta_{cb} + \xi_b \eta_{ca}) \bar{e}^c$$
 (2.27)

$$= \frac{1}{2}e^{c}(\mathcal{C}_{bac} + \mathcal{C}_{acb} - \mathcal{C}_{cba}) + \frac{1}{2}e^{c}(\xi_{c}\eta_{ba} - \xi_{a}\eta_{bc} + \xi_{a}\eta_{cb} - \xi_{b}\eta_{ca} - \xi_{a}\eta_{cb} + \xi_{b}\eta_{ca})$$
(2.28)

$$=\omega_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_{e}\eta_{ba}e^{e} - \xi_{a}e_{b} + \xi_{b}e_{a} - \xi_{e}\eta_{ab}e^{e} - \xi_{a}e_{b} + \xi_{b}e_{a})$$

$$(2.29)$$

$$=\omega_{ab} + e_a \xi_b - e_b \xi_a \tag{2.30}$$

Así,

$$\bar{\omega}_{ab} = \omega_{ab} + \theta_{ab} \tag{2.31}$$

donde hemos definido  $\theta_{ab} = e_a \xi_b - e_b \xi_a$ .

Una importante pregunta que concierne a las transformaciones conforme en el formalismo de primer orden está relacionada con el tipo de transformación de la parte sin torsión de la conexión.

Primero veamos el el caso **canónico** en el cual la estructura torsional es invariante bajo las transformaciones conforme, es decir,

$$\kappa_{ab} \to \bar{\kappa}_{ab} = \kappa_{ab}$$
(2.32)

Esto implica que la conexión de spin transforma según (2.31),

$$\bar{\omega}_{ab} = \omega_{ab} + \theta_{ab} \tag{2.33}$$

Para ver cómo transforma la torsión en este caso, usamos el hecho que

$$T^a = \kappa^a_b \wedge e^b \tag{2.34}$$

luego,

$$\bar{T}^a = \bar{\kappa}^a_{\ b} \wedge \bar{e}^b \tag{2.35}$$

$$= \kappa^a_b \wedge (e^{\sigma} e^b) \tag{2.36}$$

$$= e^{\sigma} T^a \tag{2.37}$$

es decir,

$$\bar{T}^a = e^{\sigma} T^a \tag{2.38}$$

Veamos como transforma la curvatura  $R^{ab}$ . De (1.23),

$$\bar{R}^{ab} = \mathring{\bar{R}}^{ab} + \mathring{\bar{D}}\bar{\kappa}^{ab} + \bar{\kappa}^{a}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb}$$

$$(2.39)$$

$$= \mathrm{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathrm{d}\bar{\kappa}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{ac} + \bar{\kappa}^{a}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb}$$

$$(2.40)$$

$$= d(\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \theta^{ab}) + (\mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} + \theta^{a}_{c}) \wedge (\mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \theta^{cb}) + d\kappa^{ab} + (\mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} + \theta^{a}_{c}) \wedge \kappa^{cb}$$

$$(2.41)$$

$$+\left(\mathring{\omega}_{c}^{b}+\theta_{c}^{b}\right)\wedge\kappa^{ac}+\kappa_{c}^{a}\wedge\kappa^{cb}\tag{2.42}$$

$$= \mathrm{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathrm{d}\theta^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \theta^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \theta^{a}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \mathrm{d}\kappa^{ab}$$
 (2.43)

$$\mathring{\omega}_{c}^{a} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \theta_{c}^{a} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}_{c}^{b} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \theta_{c}^{b} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa_{c}^{a} \wedge \kappa^{cb}$$

$$(2.44)$$

$$= \mathrm{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathrm{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa^{a}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb}$$

$$(2.45)$$

$$+ d\theta^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \kappa^{a}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}_{c} \wedge \theta^{ac} + \kappa^{b}_{c} \wedge \theta^{ac} + \theta^{a}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb}$$
 (2.46)

$$= R^{ab} + \omega^a_c \wedge \theta^{cb} + \omega^b_c \wedge \theta^{ac} \tag{2.47}$$

$$=R^{ab} + D\theta^{ab} + \theta^a_c \wedge \theta^{cb} \tag{2.48}$$

es decir,

$$\bar{R}^{ab} = R^{ab} + D\theta^{ab} + \theta^a_{\ c} \wedge \theta^{cb}$$
(2.49)

Por otro lado tenemos el caso **exótico**, donde la conexión de spin queda invariante bajo las transformaciones conformes,

$$\omega_{ab} \to \bar{\omega}_{ab} = \omega_{ab} \tag{2.50}$$

Veamos como transforma la contorsión  $\kappa_{ab}$ ,

$$\bar{\omega}_{ab} = \omega_{ab} \tag{2.51}$$

$$\dot{\bar{\omega}}_{ab} + \bar{\kappa}_{ab} = \dot{\omega}_{ab} + \kappa_{ab} \tag{2.52}$$

$$\mathring{\omega}_{ab} + \theta_{ab} + \bar{\kappa}_{ab} = \mathring{\omega}_{ab} + \kappa_{ab} \tag{2.53}$$

$$\theta_{ab} + \bar{\kappa}_{ab} = \kappa_{ab} \tag{2.54}$$

luego,

$$\bar{\kappa}_{ab} = \kappa_{ab} - \theta_{ab} \tag{2.55}$$

Veamos como transforma la curvatura en este caso

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}^{ab} &= \mathring{\bar{R}}^{ab} + \mathring{\bar{D}}\bar{\kappa}^{ab} + \bar{\kappa}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} \\ &= \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{d}\bar{\kappa}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{ac} + \bar{\kappa}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} \\ &= \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{d}\theta^{ab} + (\mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \theta^{a}{}_{c}) \wedge (\mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \theta^{cb}) + \mathring{d}\kappa^{a} - \mathring{d}\theta^{ab} \\ &\quad + (\mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} + \theta^{a}{}_{c}) \wedge (\kappa^{cb} - \theta^{cb}) + (\mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} + \theta^{b}{}_{c}) \wedge (\kappa^{ac} - \theta^{ac}) + (\kappa^{a}{}_{c} - \theta^{a}{}_{c}) \wedge (\kappa^{cb} - \theta^{cb}) \\ &= \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \mathring{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} - \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} \\ &\quad + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} - \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} - \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{ac} + \theta^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} - \theta^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{ac} + \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad - \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} - \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{d}\kappa^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &\quad = \mathring{d}\mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{ab$$

donde es fácil ver que los demás términos se cancelan entre sí. Finalmente

$$\bar{R}^{ab} = R^{ab} \tag{2.56}$$

Veamos ahora como transforma la torsión,

$$\begin{split} \bar{T}^a &= \bar{\kappa}_b^a \wedge \bar{e}^b \\ &= (\kappa_b^a - \theta_b^a) \wedge e^{\sigma} e^b \\ &= e^{\sigma} (\kappa_b^a - \theta_b^a) \wedge e^b \\ &= e^{\sigma} (\kappa_b^a \wedge e^b - \theta_b^a \wedge e^b) \\ &= e^{\sigma} (T^a - \theta_b^a \wedge e^b) \end{split} \tag{2.57}$$

Notemos que

$$\theta^{a}_{b} \wedge e^{b} = (e^{a}\xi_{b} - e_{b}\xi^{a}) \wedge e^{b}$$

$$= e^{a}\xi_{b} \wedge e^{b}$$

$$= e^{a} \wedge d\sigma$$
(2.58)

Luego,

$$\boxed{\bar{T}^a = e^{\sigma} (T^a - e^a \wedge d\sigma)}$$
 (2.59)

Sin embargo, podemos considerar un rango más amplio de transformaciones interpolando entre ambos casos. Definimos el parámetro real  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , de manera que

$$\bar{e}^a = e^\sigma e^a \tag{2.60a}$$

$$\bar{\omega}^{ab} = \omega^{ab} + \lambda \theta^{ab} \tag{2.60b}$$

$$\bar{\kappa}^{ab} = \kappa^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)\theta^{ab} \tag{2.60c}$$

así, el límite  $\lambda = 1$  corresponde al caso canónico y  $\lambda = 0$  al exótico. Veamos como queda la torsión y la curvatura bajo las transformaciones (2.60). Para la torsión tenemos

$$\bar{T}^a = \bar{\kappa}^a_b \wedge \bar{e}^b \tag{2.61}$$

$$= e^{\sigma} \left[ \kappa^a_b \wedge e^b + (\lambda - 1)\theta^a_b \wedge e^b \right]$$
 (2.62)

$$= e^{\sigma} \left[ T^a + (\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\sigma \right] \tag{2.63}$$

donde para pasar a la última línea se usó (2.57). Expandiendo a primer orden la exponencial, tenemos

$$\bar{T}^a = T^a + (\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\sigma + \sigma T^a + \sigma(\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\sigma$$
 (2.64)

luego, la transformación infinitesimal de la torsión es

$$\delta T^a = \sigma T^a + (\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\sigma \tag{2.65}$$

ya que el último término es de segundo orden en  $\sigma$ .

Veamos ahora cómo queda la curvatura bajo (2.60),

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}^{ab} &= \mathring{\bar{R}}^{ab} + \mathring{\bar{D}}\bar{\kappa}^{ab} + \bar{\kappa}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} \\ &= d\mathring{\bar{\omega}}^{ab} + \mathring{\bar{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\bar{\omega}}^{cb} + d\bar{\kappa}^{ab} + \mathring{\bar{\omega}}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} + \mathring{\bar{\omega}}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{ac} + \bar{\kappa}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \bar{\kappa}^{cb} \\ &= d\mathring{\omega}^{ab} + d\theta^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathring{\omega}^{cb} + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + d\kappa^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)d\theta^{ab} + \mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} \\ &+ (\lambda - 1)\mathring{\omega}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + (\lambda - 1)\theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + \mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} + (\lambda - 1)\mathring{\omega}^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{ac} + \theta^{c}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{ac} \\ &+ (\lambda - 1)\theta^{b}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{ac} + \kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + (\lambda - 1)\kappa^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + (\lambda - 1)\theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \kappa^{cb} + (\lambda - 1)^{2}\theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} \\ &= R^{ab} + D\theta^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)D\theta^{ab} + (\lambda^{2} - 2\lambda + 1)\theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} + (2\lambda - 1)\theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} \\ &= R^{ab} + \lambda D\theta^{ab} + \lambda^{2}\theta^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \theta^{cb} \end{split}$$

donde se usó (2.31). Así

$$\delta R^{ab} = \lambda D\theta^{ab} + \lambda^2 \theta^a_c \wedge \theta^{cb}$$
 (2.66)

ya que el último término es de segundo orden en  $\sigma$ .

Además, el la transformación del campo escalar tal que sea invariante conforme en d-dimensones es [2]

$$\phi \to \bar{\phi} = \exp\left[\sigma\left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)\right]\phi$$
 (2.67)

En resúmen, tenemos

$$\delta e^a = \sigma e^a \tag{2.68a}$$

$$\delta\phi = \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)\sigma\phi\tag{2.68b}$$

$$\delta\omega^a = \lambda\theta^{ab} \tag{2.68c}$$

$$\delta R^{ab} = \lambda D\theta^{ab} \tag{2.68d}$$

$$\delta T^a = \sigma T^a + (\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\sigma \tag{2.68e}$$

#### 2.1 Campos auxiliares

Definimos los siguientes campos auxiliares

$$\tilde{e}^a = \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} e^a \tag{2.69}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab} = \omega^{ab} + \lambda \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.70}$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}^{ab} = \kappa^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)\Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.71}$$

donde

$$\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \chi^{ab} \tag{2.72}$$

у

$$\chi^{ab} \equiv e^a z^b - z^a e^b, \qquad z^a = I^a d\phi \tag{2.73}$$

Veamos cómo es su variacion infinitesimal bajo transformaciones conformes infinitesimales usando (2.68). Para  $\tilde{e}^a$ , tenemos

$$\delta \tilde{e}^a = \frac{2}{d-2} \phi^{\frac{4-d}{d-2}} \left( \frac{2-d}{2} \right) \sigma \phi e^a + \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \sigma e^a \tag{2.74}$$

$$= -\phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}}\sigma e^a + \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}}\sigma e^a \tag{2.75}$$

$$=0 (2.76)$$

Luego,  $\tilde{e}^a$  es invariante conforme.

Veamos ahora cómo varia  $\tilde{\omega}^{ab}$ ,

$$\delta \tilde{\omega}^{ab} = \delta \omega^{ab} + \lambda \delta \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.77}$$

$$= \lambda \theta^{ab} + \lambda \delta \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.78}$$

Calculemos  $\delta \Sigma^{ab}$ ,

$$\delta \Sigma^{ab} = -\frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi^2} \delta \phi \chi^{ab} + \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \delta \chi^{ab}$$

$$\tag{2.79}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi^2} \left( \frac{2-d}{d} \right) \sigma \phi \chi^{ab} + \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \delta \chi^{ab}$$
 (2.80)

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \chi^{ab} + \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \delta \chi^{ab} \tag{2.81}$$

Calculemos  $\delta \chi^{ab}$ ,

$$\delta \chi^{ab} = \delta e^a z^b + e^a \delta z^b - \delta z^a e^b - z^a \delta e^b \tag{2.82}$$

$$= \sigma(e^a z^b - z^a e^b) + e^a \delta z^b - \delta z^a e^b \tag{2.83}$$

$$= \sigma \chi^{ab} + e^a \delta z^b - \delta z^a e^b \tag{2.84}$$

Calculemos  $\delta z^a$ . Para ello, notemos que (Por demostrar!)

$$\delta_e z^a = -I^n (\delta e^a) z_n \tag{2.85}$$

luego,

$$\delta z^a = \delta_a z^a + \delta_\phi z^a \tag{2.86}$$

$$= -I^{n}(\delta e^{a})z_{n} + I^{a} d(\delta \phi)$$
(2.87)

$$= -I^{n}(\sigma e^{a})z_{n} + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)I^{a}d(\sigma\phi)$$
(2.88)

$$= -\sigma \mathbf{I}^{n}(e^{a})z_{n} + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)(\phi d\sigma + \sigma d\phi)$$
(2.89)

$$= -\sigma \eta^{na} z_n + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right) \left(\phi \xi^a + \sigma z^a\right) \tag{2.90}$$

$$= -\frac{d}{2}\sigma z^a + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)\phi\xi^a \tag{2.91}$$

Reemplazando en (2.84)

$$\delta \chi^{ab} = \sigma \chi^{ab} + e^a \left[ -\frac{d}{2}\sigma z^b + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)\phi \xi^b \right] - \left[ -\frac{d}{2}\sigma z^a + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right)\phi \xi^a \right] e^b \tag{2.92}$$

$$= \sigma \chi^{ab} - \sigma \frac{d}{2} \chi^{ab} + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right) \phi \theta^{ab} \tag{2.93}$$

$$= \sigma \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right) \chi^{ab} + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right) \phi \theta^{ab} \tag{2.94}$$

Reemplazando en (2.95),

$$\delta \Sigma^{ab} = \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \chi^{ab} + \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \delta \chi^{ab}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \chi^{ab} + \left(\frac{2}{d-2}\right) \frac{1}{\phi} \left[\sigma\left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right) \chi^{ab} + \left(\frac{2-d}{2}\right) \phi \theta^{ab}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \chi^{ab} - \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \chi^{ab} - \theta^{ab}$$

$$= -\theta^{ab}$$
(2.95)

Así, de (2.96),

$$\delta \tilde{\omega}^{ab} = \lambda \theta^{ab} + \lambda \delta \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.96}$$

$$= \lambda \theta^{ab} - \lambda \theta^{ab} \tag{2.97}$$

$$=0 (2.98)$$

es decir,  $\tilde{\omega}^{ab}$  es invariante conforme.

Finalmente calaculemos la variación de  $\tilde{\kappa}^{ab}$ ,

$$\delta \bar{\kappa}^{ab} = \delta \kappa^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)\delta \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.99}$$

$$= \delta \kappa^{ab} - (\lambda - 1)\theta^{ab} \tag{2.100}$$

$$= (\lambda - 1)\theta^{ab} - (\lambda - 1)\theta^{ab} \tag{2.101}$$

$$=0 (2.102)$$

donde usamos (2.95).

Veamos ahora cómo queda la torsión y la curvartura definidas a partir de estos campos auxiliares. Para la torsión tenemos

$$\tilde{T}^a = \tilde{\kappa}^a_b \wedge \tilde{e}^b \tag{2.103}$$

$$= \left[\kappa_b^a + (\lambda - 1)\Sigma_b^a\right] \wedge \left[\phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}}e^b\right] \tag{2.104}$$

$$=\phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}}\left[\kappa_b^a \wedge e^b + (\lambda - 1)\Sigma_b^a \wedge e^b\right] \tag{2.105}$$

$$= \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \left[ T^a + (\lambda - 1) \Sigma^a_b \wedge e^b \right]$$
 (2.106)

pero

$$\Sigma^a_b \wedge e^b = \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \chi^a_b \wedge e^b \tag{2.107}$$

$$= \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \left( e^a z_b - z^a e_b \right) \wedge e^b \tag{2.108}$$

$$= \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} e^a \wedge \mathrm{d}\phi \tag{2.109}$$

luego

$$\tilde{T}^a = \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \left[ T^a + (\lambda - 1) \Sigma^a_b \wedge e^b \right] \tag{2.110}$$

$$= \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \left[ T^a + (\lambda - 1) \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} e^a \wedge d\phi \right]$$
 (2.111)

$$= \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} T^a + \frac{2}{(d-2)} \phi^{\frac{4-d}{d-2}} (\lambda - 1) e^a \wedge d\phi$$
 (2.112)

Calculemos la curvatura construida a partir de los campos auxiliares

$$\tilde{R}^{ab} = d\tilde{\omega}^{ab} + \tilde{\omega}^{a}_{c} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{cb} \tag{2.113}$$

$$= d(\omega^{ab} + \lambda \Sigma^{ab}) + (\omega^a_c + \lambda \Sigma^a_c) \wedge (\omega^{cb} + \lambda \Sigma^{cb})$$
(2.114)

$$= d\omega^{ab} + \lambda d\Sigma^{ab} + \omega^{a}_{c} \wedge \omega^{cb} + \lambda \omega^{a}_{c} \wedge \Sigma^{cb} + \lambda \Sigma^{a}_{c} \wedge \omega^{cb} + \lambda^{2} \Sigma^{a}_{c} \wedge \Sigma^{cb}$$
(2.115)

$$= R^{ab} + \lambda (\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{ab} + \omega^a_{\ c} \wedge \Sigma^{cb} + \omega^b_{\ c} \wedge \Sigma^{ac}) + \lambda^2 \Sigma^a_{\ c} \wedge \Sigma^{cb} \tag{2.116}$$

$$= R^{ab} + \lambda D \Sigma^{ab} + \lambda^2 \Sigma^a_c \wedge \Sigma^{cb}$$
 (2.117)

Debido a que estas cantidades están construidas a partir de objetos que son conformalmente invariantes, ellas también lo son.

En resumen, tenemos los siguientes campos auxiliares conformalmente invariantes

$$\tilde{e}^a = \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} e^a \tag{2.118}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab} = \omega^{ab} + \lambda \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.119}$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}^{ab} = \kappa^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)\Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.120}$$

$$\tilde{T}^a = \phi^{\frac{2}{d-2}} T^a + \frac{2}{(d-2)} \phi^{\frac{4-d}{d-2}} (\lambda - 1) e^a \wedge d\phi$$
 (2.121)

$$\tilde{R}^{ab} = R^{ab} + \lambda D \Sigma^{ab} + \lambda^2 \Sigma^a_c \wedge \Sigma^{cb}$$
(2.122)

donde

$$\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{1}{\phi} \left( e^a z^b - z^a e^b \right), \qquad z^a = I^a d\phi$$
 (2.123)

#### 2.2 Invariantes en 2+1

En (2+1)-dimensiones estas cantidades se reducen a

$$\tilde{e}^a = \phi^2 e^a \tag{2.124}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab} = \omega^{ab} + \lambda \Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.125}$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}^{ab} = \kappa^{ab} + (\lambda - 1)\Sigma^{ab} \tag{2.126}$$

$$\tilde{T}^a = \phi^2 T^a + 2\phi(\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\phi \tag{2.127}$$

$$\tilde{R}^{ab} = R^{ab} + \lambda D \Sigma^{ab} + \lambda^2 \Sigma^a_c \wedge \Sigma^{cb}$$
(2.128)

donde

$$\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{2}{\phi} \left( e^a z^b - z^a e^b \right) = \frac{4}{\phi} e^{[a} z^{b]}, \qquad z^a = I^a d\phi$$
 (2.129)

Calculemos los invariantes que nos podemos construir a partir de estas cantidades en (2+1). Primero

$$\epsilon_{abc}\tilde{R}^{ab} \wedge \tilde{e}^{c} = \epsilon_{abc} \left( R^{ab} + \lambda D \Sigma^{ab} + \lambda^{2} \Sigma^{a}_{d} \wedge \Sigma^{db} \right) \wedge \left( \phi^{2} e^{c} \right) \tag{2.130}$$

$$= \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc} \left( R^{ab} \wedge e^c + \lambda D \Sigma^{ab} \wedge e^c + \lambda^2 \Sigma^a_d \wedge \Sigma^{db} \wedge e^c \right)$$
 (2.131)

Trabajemos un poco más los últimos dos términos<sup>1</sup>. Calculemos  $\epsilon_{abc} D\Sigma^{ab} e^c$ ,

$$\epsilon_{abc} D\Sigma^{ab} e^c = -\frac{4}{\phi^2} \epsilon_{abc} d\phi e^a z^b e^c + \frac{2}{\phi} \epsilon_{abc} D(2e^a z^b) e^c$$
(2.132)

$$= -\frac{4}{\phi^2} \epsilon_{abc} d\phi e^a z^b e^c + \frac{4}{\phi} \epsilon_{abc} T^a z^b e^c - \frac{4}{\phi} \epsilon_{abc} e^a D z^b e^c$$
 (2.133)

Luego,

$$\lambda \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc} D \Sigma^{ab} e^c = -4\lambda d \phi e^a z^b e^c + 4\lambda \phi \epsilon_{abc} T^a z^b e^c - 4\lambda \epsilon_{abc} e^a D z^b e^c$$
 (2.134)

Ahora calculemos  $\epsilon_{abc} \Sigma^a_{\phantom{a}d} \Sigma^{db} e^c$ 

$$\epsilon_{abc} \Sigma^a_{\ d} \Sigma^{db} e^c = \frac{4}{\phi} \epsilon_{abc} (e^a z_d - z^a e_d) (e^d z^b - z^d e^b) e^c$$
 (2.135)

$$= \frac{8}{\phi^2} \epsilon_{abc} e^a d\phi z^b e^c - \frac{4}{\phi^2} \epsilon_{abc} z^2 e^a e^b e^c$$
 (2.136)

Sin embargo, estos dos términos se pueden relacionar. Para evidenciar esto, escribámoslos en la base coordenada

$$\epsilon_{abc} z^2 e^a e^b e^c = \epsilon_{abc} z^2 e^a_\mu e^b_\nu e^c_\lambda dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\lambda$$
 (2.137)

$$= \epsilon_{abc} e^d_{\rho} \partial^{\rho} \phi e^{\tau}_{d} \partial_{t} \phi e^{a}_{\mu} e^{b}_{\nu} e^{c}_{\lambda} dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu} \wedge dx^{\lambda}$$
 (2.138)

$$= \det(e) \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \delta^{\tau}_{\rho} \partial^{\rho} \phi \partial_{\tau} \phi d^{3} x \qquad (2.139)$$

$$= 6 \det(e) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi d^{3} x \tag{2.140}$$

de manera similar,

$$\epsilon_{abc}e^a d\phi z^b e^c = \epsilon_{abc}e^a_\mu \partial_\nu \phi z^b e^c_\lambda dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\lambda$$
 (2.141)

$$= 2 \det(e) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi d^{3} x \tag{2.142}$$

$$=\frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{abc}z^2e^ae^be^c\tag{2.143}$$

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{De}$  aquí en adelante para simplificar notación, consideraremos el wedge~product implícito.

Así

$$\epsilon_{abc} \Sigma^a_{\ d} \Sigma^{db} e^c = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{\phi^2} \epsilon_{abc} z^2 e^a e^b e^c \tag{2.144}$$

De esta manera (2.131) queda

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{abc}\tilde{R}^{ab}\tilde{e}^c &= \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc} \left( R^{ab}e^c + \lambda \mathrm{D}\Sigma^{ab}e^c + \lambda^2 \Sigma^a_{\phantom{ad}d}\Sigma^{db}e^c \right) \\ &= \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc}R^{ab}e^c - 4\epsilon_{abc}\lambda \mathrm{d}\phi e^a z^b e^c + 4\lambda \phi \epsilon_{abc} (T^a z^b e^c - e^a \mathrm{D}z^b e^c) - \frac{4\lambda^2}{3}\epsilon_{abc}z^2 e^a e^b e^c \\ &= \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc}R^{ab}e^c + \frac{4\lambda}{3}\epsilon_{abc}z^2 e^a e^b e^c + 4\lambda \phi \epsilon_{abc} (T^a z^b e^c - e^a \mathrm{D}z^b e^c) - \frac{4\lambda^2}{3}\epsilon_{abc}z^2 e^a e^b e^c \\ &= \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc}R^{ab}e^c + \frac{4\lambda}{3}\epsilon_{abc}z^2 e^a e^b e^c (1-\lambda) + 4\lambda \phi \epsilon_{abc} (T^a z^b e^c - e^a \mathrm{D}z^b e^c) \end{split}$$

Notemos que dado que estas cantidades construiremos una acción, podemos manipular los términos de manera de ir armando términos de borde y hacer que la acción sea quasi-invariante bajo transformaciones conformes. En particular notemos que

$$\phi \epsilon_{abc} e^a D z^b e^c = 2 \det(e) \phi \nabla_\mu \partial^\mu \phi d^3 x \qquad (2.145)$$

$$= -2\det(e)\nabla_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi\mathrm{d}^{3}x + \mathrm{b.t.}$$
 (2.146)

$$= -\frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{abc}z^2e^ae^be^c + b.t. (2.147)$$

Luego,

$$\epsilon_{abc}\tilde{R}^{ab}\tilde{e}^{c} = \phi^{2}\epsilon_{abc}\left(R^{ab}e^{c} + \lambda D\Sigma^{ab}e^{c} + \lambda^{2}\Sigma^{a}_{d}\Sigma^{db}e^{c}\right)$$
(2.148)

$$= \phi^2 \epsilon_{abc} R^{ab} e^c + \frac{4\lambda}{3} (2 - \lambda) \epsilon_{abc} z^2 e^a e^b e^c + 4\lambda \phi \epsilon_{abc} T^a z^b e^c + \text{b.t.}$$
 (2.149)

$$=\phi^2 \epsilon_{abc} R^{ab} e^c + \frac{8\lambda}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \epsilon_{abc} z^2 e^a e^b e^c + 4\lambda \phi \epsilon_{abc} T^a z^b e^c + \text{b.t.}$$
 (2.150)

Este término es el análogo en (2+1) que el que aparecee en la acción de [3].

Otro invariante que nos podemos construir es

$$\tilde{T}^a \wedge \tilde{e}_a = (\phi^2 T^a + 2\phi(\lambda - 1)e^a \wedge d\phi) \wedge (\phi^2 e_a)$$
(2.151)

$$= \phi^4 T^a \wedge e_a \tag{2.152}$$

Otro más

$$\epsilon_{abc}\tilde{e}^a \wedge \tilde{e}^b \wedge \tilde{e}^c = \phi^6 \epsilon_{abc} e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c \tag{2.153}$$

El otro invariante que podemos construir en (2+1) es el Chern-Simons a partir de los campos auxiliares . Calculemos

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab} d\tilde{\omega}_{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\omega}^a_{\ b} \tilde{\omega}^b_{\ c} \tilde{\omega}^c_{\ a} \tag{2.154}$$

Veamos primero cómo queda  $\tilde{\omega}^{ab} d\tilde{\omega}_{ab}$ . Para ello, recordemos que

$$\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{4}{\phi} e^{[a} z^{b]}, \qquad d\Sigma^{ab} = -\frac{4}{\phi^2} d\phi e^{[a} z^{b]} + \frac{4}{\phi} de^{[a} z^{b]} - \frac{4}{\phi} e^{[a} dz^{b]}$$
(2.155)

Así,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\omega}_{ab} \mathrm{d} \tilde{\omega}^{ab} &= (\omega_{ab} + \lambda \Sigma_{ab}) \wedge \mathrm{d} \left( \omega^{ab} + \lambda \Sigma^{ab} \right) \\ &= \omega_{ab} \mathrm{d} \omega^{ab} + \lambda \omega_{ab} \left( -\frac{4}{\phi^2} \mathrm{d} \phi e^a z^b + \frac{4}{\phi} \mathrm{d} e^a z^b - \frac{4}{\phi} e^a \mathrm{d} z^b \right) + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi} e^a z^b \mathrm{d} \omega_{ab} + \frac{16\lambda^2}{\phi^2} z^2 e_a \mathrm{d} e^a \end{split}$$

Calculemos ahora  $\frac{2}{3}\tilde{\omega}^{ab}\tilde{\omega}_{bc}\tilde{\omega}^{cd}\eta_{ca}$ . Primero

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab}\tilde{\omega}_{bc} = \omega^{ab}\omega_{bc} + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi}\omega^{ab}e_{[b}z_{c]} + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi}e^{[a}z^{b]}\omega_{bc} + \frac{4\lambda^2}{\phi^2}(e^a\mathrm{d}\phi z_c - z^2e^ae_c + z^a\mathrm{d}\phi e_c)$$
(2.156)

Ahora  $\tilde{\omega}^{ab}\tilde{\omega}_{bc}\tilde{\omega}^{cd}$ ,

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab}\tilde{\omega}_{bc}\tilde{\omega}^{cd} = \omega^{ab}\omega_{bc}\omega^{cd} + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi}\omega^{ab}\omega_{bc}e^{[c}z^{d]} + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi}\omega^{ab}e_{[b}z_{c]}\omega^{cd} + \frac{16\lambda^2}{\phi^2}\omega^{ab}e_{[b}z_{c]}e^{[c}z^{d]}$$
(2.157)

$$+\frac{4\lambda}{\phi}e^{[a}z^{b]}\omega_{bc}\omega^{cd} + \frac{16\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}}e^{[a}z^{b]}\omega_{bc}e^{[c}z^{d]} - \frac{4\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}}z^{2}e^{a}e_{c}\omega^{cd} - \frac{16\lambda^{3}}{\phi^{3}}z^{2}eae_{c}e^{[c}z^{d]}$$
 (2.158)

$$+\frac{4\lambda^2}{\phi^2}z^a d\phi e_c \omega^{cd} + \frac{16\lambda^3}{\phi^3}z^a d\phi e_c e^{[c}z^{d]}$$

$$(2.159)$$

Bajando el último índice con una  $\eta_{da}$ ,

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab}\tilde{\omega}_{bc}\tilde{\omega}^{c}_{a} = \omega^{ab}\omega_{bc}\omega^{c}_{a} + \frac{2\lambda}{\phi}\omega^{ab}\omega_{bc}(e^{c}z_{a} - z^{c}e_{a}) + \frac{2\lambda}{\phi}\omega^{ab}(e_{b}z_{c} - z_{b}e_{c})\omega^{c}_{a} + \frac{2\lambda}{\phi}(e^{a}z^{b} - z^{a}e^{b})\omega_{bc}\omega^{c}_{a}$$
$$+ \frac{4\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}}\omega^{ab}(2z_{a}e_{b}d\phi + z^{2}e_{a}e_{b}) + \frac{4\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}}\omega_{bc}(2z^{b}e^{c}d\phi + z^{2}e^{b}e^{c}) + \frac{4\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}}\omega^{c}_{a}(2z_{c}e^{a}d\phi + z^{2}e_{c}e^{a})$$
$$= \omega^{ab}\omega_{bc}\omega^{c}_{a} + \frac{6\lambda}{\phi}\omega^{ab}\omega_{bc}(e^{c}z_{a} - z^{c}e_{a}) + \frac{12\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}}\omega^{ab}(2z_{a}e_{b}d\phi + z^{2}e_{a}e_{b})$$

Luego,

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ab} d\tilde{\omega}_{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\omega}^{a}_{b} \tilde{\omega}^{b}_{c} \tilde{\omega}^{c}_{a} = \omega_{ab} d\omega^{ab} + \lambda \omega_{ab} \left( -\frac{4}{\phi^{2}} d\phi e^{a} z^{b} + \frac{4}{\phi} de^{a} z^{b} - \frac{4}{\phi} e^{a} dz^{b} \right) + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi} e^{a} z^{b} d\omega_{ab} + \frac{16\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}} z^{2} e_{a} de^{a} dz^{b} + \frac{2}{3} \omega^{ab} \omega_{bc} \omega^{c}_{a} + \frac{4\lambda}{\phi} \omega^{ab} \omega_{bc} (e^{c} z_{a} - z^{c} e_{a}) + \frac{8\lambda^{2}}{\phi^{2}} \omega^{ab} (2z_{a} e_{b} d\phi + z^{2} e_{a} e_{b})$$

### 3 Ecuaciones de movimiento

Calculemos las ecuaciones de movimiento asociadas los invariantes usando que

$$\delta R^{ab} = \mathcal{D}\delta\omega^a \tag{3.1}$$

у

$$\delta T^a = D\delta e^a + \delta \omega^a_b \tag{3.2}$$

Consideremos el siguiente Lagrangeano

$$L = \alpha_0 \omega_a \left( d\omega^a + \frac{2}{3} \epsilon^{abc} \omega_b \omega_c \right) + \alpha_1 T^a e_a - \alpha_2 \phi^4 T^a e_a$$
 (3.3)

Variando con respecto al vielbein, tenemos

$$\delta_e L = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) (D\delta e^a e_a + T^a \delta e_a)$$
(3.4)

Integrando por partes,

$$d\left[(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) \delta e^a e_a\right] = -4\alpha_2 \phi^3 d\phi \delta e^a e_a + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) D\delta e^a e_a - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) \delta e^a e_a$$
(3.5)

Luego

$$\delta_e L = 4\alpha_2 \phi^3 d\phi \delta e^a e_a + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) \delta e^a T_a + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) T^a \delta e_a$$
(3.6)

$$= -4\alpha_2 \phi^3 d\phi e^a \delta e_a + 2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) T^a \delta e_a$$
(3.7)

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta e_a} = 2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \phi^4) T^a - 4\alpha_2 \phi^3 d\phi e^a = 0$$
(3.8)

De donde se puede despejar algebraícamente la torsión en términos de  $\phi$ ,

$$T^{a} = \frac{2\alpha_{2}\phi^{3}\mathrm{d}\phi e^{a}}{(\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2}\phi^{4})}$$
(3.9)

Variando con respecto a  $\phi$  se tiene

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} = -4\alpha_2 \phi^3 T^a e_a = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

esta ecuación se satisface automáticamente de (3.9).

### 4 Bichos en el dual del grupo

Una propiedad interesante que aparece en (2+1) es que podemos simplificar un poco lo cálculos trabajando con la conexión de Lorentz (y los demás objetos) en el dual del grupo.

La convención a seguir será

$$\epsilon_{012} = -\epsilon^{012} = 1 \tag{4.1}$$

$$\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon^{def} = -\delta_{abc}^{def} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\omega_{ab} = \epsilon_{abc}\omega^c \tag{4.3}$$

Multiplicando (4.3) por  $\epsilon^{abf}$ , tenemos

$$\epsilon^{abf}\omega_{ab} = \epsilon^{abf}\epsilon_{abc}\omega^c \tag{4.4}$$

$$\epsilon^{abf}\omega_{ab} = -\delta^{abf}_{abc}\omega^c \tag{4.5}$$

$$\epsilon^{abf}\omega_{ab} = -2!\delta^f_c\omega^c \tag{4.6}$$

$$\epsilon^{abf}\omega_{ab} = -2\omega^f \tag{4.7}$$

Así,

$$\omega^f = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abf} \omega_{ab} \tag{4.8}$$

o de manera equivalente

$$\omega_a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \omega^{bc} \tag{4.9}$$

Veamos como queda la torsión escrita en términos de estas conexiones duales,

$$T^a = de^a + \omega^a_b \wedge e^b \tag{4.10}$$

$$= de^a + \omega^{ab} \wedge e_b \tag{4.11}$$

$$= de^a - \epsilon^{abc}\omega_c \wedge e_b \tag{4.12}$$

$$= de^a - \epsilon^{acb}\omega_b \wedge e_c \tag{4.13}$$

$$= de^a + \epsilon^{abc}\omega_b \wedge e_c \tag{4.14}$$

Es decir,

$$T^a = de^a + \epsilon^{abc}\omega_b \wedge e_c$$
 (4.15)

Veamos ahora cómo queda la curvatura de Lorentz en el dual de grupo  $R_a=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abc}R^{bc}$ . Notemos que

$$R^{bc} = d\omega^{bc} + \omega^b_d \wedge \omega^{dc} \tag{4.16}$$

$$= d(-\epsilon^{bcf}\omega_f) + \eta^{bg}\omega_{qd} \wedge \omega^{bc}$$

$$(4.17)$$

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f - \eta^{bg} \epsilon_{qdm} \omega^m \wedge (-\epsilon^{dcl} \omega_l)$$
(4.18)

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f - \eta^{bg} \epsilon_{gdm} \epsilon^{dcl} \omega^m \wedge \omega_l$$
 (4.19)

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f + \eta^{bg} \epsilon_{dgm} \epsilon^{dcl} \omega^m \wedge \omega_l$$
 (4.20)

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f + \eta^{bg} \delta^{cl}_{qm} \omega^m \wedge \omega_l \tag{4.21}$$

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f + \underline{\eta^{bg} \delta_g^c \delta_m^l \omega^m \wedge \omega_l} - \eta^{bg} \delta_g^l \delta_m^c \omega^m \wedge \omega_l$$
 (4.22)

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f - \omega^c \wedge \omega^b \tag{4.23}$$

$$= -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f + \omega^b \wedge \omega^c \tag{4.24}$$

Luego,

$$\epsilon_{abc}R^{bc} = \epsilon_{abc} \left( -\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f + \omega^b \wedge \omega^c \right) \tag{4.25}$$

$$= -\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon^{bcf} d\omega_f + \epsilon_{abc}\omega^b \wedge \omega^c$$
 (4.26)

$$= -\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{fbc} d\omega_f + \epsilon_{abc} \omega^b \wedge \omega^c \tag{4.27}$$

$$= \delta_{abc}^{bfc} d\omega_f + \epsilon_{abc} \omega^b \wedge \omega^c \tag{4.28}$$

$$=2\mathrm{d}\omega_a + \epsilon_{abc}\omega^b \wedge \omega^c \tag{4.29}$$

Así,

$$R_a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} R^{bc} \tag{4.30}$$

$$= d\omega_a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \omega^b \wedge \omega^c \tag{4.31}$$

$$R^{a} = d\omega^{a} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \omega_{b} \wedge \omega_{c}$$

$$(4.32)$$

### References

- [1] F. Izaurieta, P. Medina, N. Merino, P. Salgado and O. Valdivia, *Mimetic Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble (ECSK) gravity*, *JHEP* **10** (2020) 150 [2007.07226].
- [2] C. Martinez and J. Zanelli, Conformally dressed black hole in (2+1)-dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 3830 [gr-qc/9604021].
- [3] L. Avilés, C. Corral, F. Izaurieta, O. Valdivia and C. Vera, Asymptotically AdS black hole with a conformally-coupled scalar field in the first-order formalism of gravity, 2402.04503.