CoronaNet: A Dyadic Dataset of Government Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic

Cindy Cheng[1,]*

Joan Barceló[2]

Allison Spencer Hartnett[3]

Robert Kubinec[2]

Luca Messerschmidt[1]

April 10th, 2020

Abstract

As the COVID-19 pandemic spreads around the world, governments have implemented a broad set of policies to limit the spread of the pandemic. In this paper we present an initial release of a large hand-coded dataset of more than 4,500 separate policy announcements from governments around the world. This data is being made publicly available, in combination with other data that we have collected (including COVID-19 tests, cases, and deaths) as well as a number of country-level covariates. Due to the speed of the COVID-19 outbreak, we will be releasing this data on a daily basis with a 5-day lag for record validity checking. In a truly global effort, our team is comprised of more than 190 research assistants across 18 time zones and makes use of cloud-based managerial and data collection technology in addition to machine learning coding of news sources. We analyze the dataset with a Bayesian time-varying ideal point model showing the quick acceleration of more harsh policies across countries beginning in mid-March and continuing to the present. While some relatively low-cost policies like task forces and health monitoring began early, countries generally adopted more harsh measures within a narrow time window, suggesting strong policy diffusion effects.[1]

[1] Hochschule für Politik at the Technical University of Munich (TUM) and TUM School of Governance

[2] New York University Abu Dhabi

[3] Yale University

[*] Correspondence: Cindy Cheng <cindy.cheng@hfp.tum.de>

---

[1]We thank the very large number of research assistants who coded this data. Their names and affiliations are listed in the appendix. For the most current, up to date version of the dataset, please visit http://coronanet-project.org and also our Github page at https://github.com/saudiwin/corona_tscs. For more information on the exact variables collected, please see our publicly available codebook at this link.

1   Introduction

Governments all around the world have implemented an astonishing variety of policies in reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic. Policy makers and researchers however, have to date lacked access to the quality, up-to-date data they need for conducting rigorous analyses of whether, how, or to what degree these fast changing policies have worked in brunting the health, political and economic effects of the coronavirus. To address this concern, we present in this paper the CoronaNet COVID-19 Government Response Database, which provides fine-grained, dyadic data on policy actions taken by governments across the world since the Chinese government reported the COVID-19 outbreak on December 31, 2019. The dataset presented here covers all policy actions for 193 of countries[2] up until 2020-04-12, for a total of 6782 events.

With the help of a team of over 190 research assistants in 18 time zones, we are releasing the data on a daily basis with a five-day lag between data collection and release to provide validation. We have further implemented ongoing random evaluation of coding efforts to ensure the best possible quality given the considerable time constraints. We believe that this data will permit crucial inference on both the determinants of these policies and their effects on societies, economies and the disease's spread.

More specifically, the CoronaNet database collects data on government policy actions taken against the coronavirus across the following dimensions on a daily basis:

- The type of government policy implemented (e.g. quarantine, closure of schools [16 total])
- The level of government initiating the action (e.g. national, provincial)
- The geographical target of the policy action, if applicable (e.g. national, provincial, municipal)
- The human or material target of the policy action, if applicable (e.g. travelers, health staff)
- The directionality of the policy action, if applicable (e.g. inbound, outbound, both)
- The mechanism of travel that the policy action targets, if applicable (e.g. flights, trains)
- The compliance with the policy action (e.g. mandatory, voluntary)
- The timing of the policy action (e.g. date announced, date implemented)

Data on government reactions the COVID-19 pandemic can not only help us understand which policies are more effective in addressing the spread and health outcomes of COVID-19, it can also forward our knowledge of, inter alia, the relative responsiveness of different political regime types (Przeworski, Stokes, and Manin 1999), the politics of crisis management (Boin et al. 2016), the development of financial crises (Kindleberger and Aliber 2011) and, the sociology of natural disasters (Tierney 2007). Moreover, given the exogenous timing of the initial COVID-19 outbreak in China, government policies made in reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic constitute the single largest natural experiment in recent memory, allowing researchers to improve

---

[2]Note, we will include additional countries in future versions of the dataset.

causal inference in any number of fields. Indeed, how governments react to the COVID-19 epidemic will have long-lasting implications on a wide-range of social phenomena, from political institutions, (Pierson 2000) economic development (Nunn 2009) to environmental outcomes (Dasgupta et al. 2002). While scholars have always sought to understand how large-scale historical events have shaped contemporary phenomena, modern technological tools allow us to document such events more quickly and more precisely than ever before.

In what follows, we provide a description of the data, as well the application of our data in modeling the level of government policy activity over time. Using a Bayesian dynamic item-response theory model, we produce a statistically valid index that ranks countries in terms of their response to the pandemic, and also shows how quickly policy responses have changed over time. We document clear evidence of rapid policy diffusion of harsh measures opposing the virus, indicating some of the most extensive evidence of this type of diffusion ever documented. We then outline the methodology we used to collect the data.

2   Dataset Overview

Here we describe the variables that the CoronaNet project is able to provide and then present some descriptive statistics to illustrate the distribution of some key variables in the dataset. Each policy records at the minimum, the following monadic information : the policy type (`type`), the name of the country from which a policy orginiates (`country`)[3], the degree to which a policy must be complied with (`compliance`), the entity enforcing the policy (`enforcer`), and the date a policy is announced (`date_annouced`), implemented (`date_start`) and ends (`date_end`).[4]   When a policy is dyadic in nature, the database further documents information about the geographic target of the policy (`target_geog_level`; `target_region`, `target_country`)[5], the human or material target of a policy (`target_who_what`), the directional flow of the policy (`target_direction`), and the mechanism of travel (`target_mechanism`). Where applicable, all of the information documented above is also provided qualitatively in the `event_description` variable and each record is identified by a unique `record_id`. Table 1 provides an overview of the different variables in our dataset, a brief description of what underyling concept each variable aims to capture, and the values that each variable can take on.[6]

---

[3]If the policy originates from a subnational unit of government, e.g. a province or city, that information is also documented.

[4]Note that sometimes policies are announced without a pre-determined end date. In those cases, this field is left blank

[5]Future versions of the dataset will also include information about if the target was a province or state (`target_province`), a city or municipality (`target_city`), or another subnational unit (e.g. county, university)

[6]Note that while Table 1 provides an overview of the version of the dataset we have currently made available, future versions of the dataset will also include more detailed information for some policy categories. For example, among other things, we are also collecting information on the types of 'health resources' (e.g. masks, hospitals, doctors) and types of 'restrictions of non-essential business activities' (e.g. retail businesses, restaurants/bars). Where applicable, we are also collecting information on the volume of a certain policy (e.g. the number of masks, hospitals and doctors.)

Table 1: Description of Variables in CoronaNet Government Response Dataset

Variable Name	Description	Values
record_id	A unique identifier for each policy record	This variable takes on a numeric value. A unique record ID is given for the following unit of analysis: country-type-date_announced
policy_id	A unique identifier for each policy record as it is updated over time	This variable takes on a numeric value. A unique policy ID encompasses different record IDs that change over time with regards to either the strength or time duration of the underlying policy.
event_description	This variable provides a qualtiative summary of the documented policy	All qualitative descriptions document at a minimum the following information: the policy type (type), the name of the country from which a policy originates (country); the date a policy is implemented (date_start) and if applicable: the country or region that a policy is targeted towards (target_country), the type of people or resources a policy is targeted towards (target_who_what), when a policy is slated to end (date_end)
Monadic Variables		
type	This variable documents the policy action initiated. It can take on only one of the following values:	Declaraction of Emergency, Quarantine, External Border Restrictions, Internal Border Restrictions, Restrictions of Mass Gatherings, Social Distancing, Curfew, Closure of Schools, Restriction of Non-Essential Government Services, Restriction of Non-Essential Businesses, Health Monitoring, Health Testing, Health Resource, Public Awareness Campaigns, New Task Force or Bureau, Other

country	This variable documents the country from which a policy initiates, where applicable, and can take on only one of the following values:	National, Provincial/State, Municipality/City, Other governmental unit
compliance	This variable documents degree to which a policy must be complied with and can take on one or more of the following values:	Mandatory with Legal Penalties, Mandatory with Fines, Mandatory with Excpetions, Recommended/Voluntary
enforcer	This variable documents the entity enforcing a policy and can take on one or more of the following values:	National Government, Ministry/Department of Health, Military, Provincial/State Government, Municipal/City Government, Police, Other
date_announced	This variable documents the date when a policy was announced, it takes on the following format:	Month-Day-Year
date_start	This variable documents the date when a policy is implemented, it takes on the following format:	Month-Day-Year
date_end	This variable documents the date when a policy is slated to end, where applicable, it takes on the following format:	Month-Day-Year
Dyadic Variables		

target_geog_level	This variable documents the geographical target of the policy. It can take on of the following variables (The exact geographical targets are also documented in other variables* in the dataset):	All countries, One or more countries and one or more regional groupings, One or more countries, but not all countries, One or more regional groupings, A geographical or administrative unit within a country
target_who_what	This variable documents the human or material targets of a policy, where applicable, and can take on only one of the following values:	All (Travelers + Residents), All Travelers (Citizen Travelers + Foreign Travelers), Citizen Travelers, Foreign Travelers, All Residents (Citizen Residents + Foreign Residents), Citizen Residents, Foreign Residents, All Foreign Nationals, All Citizens, Health Staff, Health-related Supplies
target_direction	This variable documents the direction of travel a policy targets, where applicable, and can take on only one of the following values:	Inbound, Outbound, Inbound/Outbound
travel_mechanism	This variable documents the mode of travel a policy targets, where applicable, and can take on one or more of the following values:	All Mechansims (except visa restrictions), Flights, Land Border, Trains, Buses, Seaports, Ferries, Cruises, Visas

Note: Variables in the dataset not listed above include: record_date (when the record entered into our data), link (a link to at least one source for the policy), init_country_level (whether a policy came from a national level or sub-national unit)

* The variables which document the exact geographical targets in the dataset are as follows: target_region (documents targeted regional grouping, e.g. Schengen region), target_country (documents targeted country), target_province (documents targeted province/state)

There is a unique `record_id` for the following unit of analysis: `country` - `date_announced` - `type`.[7] Of the 6782 such events in the dataset, we have identified 5777 unique events. That is, some events in the database are updates or changes to existing policies. We link such events overtime using a unique ID (`policy_id`).

---

[7]Note, this is the unit of analysis provided in the wide version of our dataset. The long verision of the dataset, labeled as file name: XXX, is organized by `country` - `target_country`- `date_announced` - `type`.

An event counts as an update if it deals with a change in either the:

1. Time duration or[8]

2. Strength of an existing policy in terms of either:

    a. the nature of the policy[9]

    b. compliance rules for the policy[10]

    c. who the policy applies towards[11]

A policy counts as a new entry and not an update if it deals with a change in any other dimension, e.g. policy type, targeted country. Table 2 shows the number of records for each policy type, the number of unique countries for each policy type, and also how many countries are targeted in total by each policy type. We note that these are cumulative totals for these different categories in the data.

In addition, we can look at the cumulative incidence of different types of policies in our data over time, as we show in Figure 1. The figure shows that relatively easy to implement policies like the forming of task forces, public awareness campaigns, and efforts to increase health resources came relatively early. More restrictive policies like curfews, closures of schools and mass gatherings arrived later in the course of the pandemic.

We can also explore the extent to which other countries are affected by policies that can have a geographic target outside the policy initiator (e.g. 'external border restrictions', 'quarantine'). For example, in Figure @ref(fig:biofabric_europe), we map a network of bans on inbound flights to European countries initiated by European countries[12] as of March 15, 2020. In the plot, each horizontal line represents a potential geographical target of a flight ban. The vertical lines denote whether there was such a flight ban and the arrow of the vertical line indicates the direction in which the ban is applied.[13] The figure shows that by March 15, 2020, the governments of Poland and San Marino had banned all flights into Poland and San Marino respectively while the government of the autonomous region of Madeira, Portugal had banned flights from Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Spain, and Switzerland. Meanwhile, according to our data, no other countries in Europe had banned inbound flights from other countries.

---

[8]E.g. A country lengthens its quarantine to 28 days from 14 days.

[9]E.g. People can no longer leave their houses to go to work whereas before they could

[10]E.g The quarantine used to be voluntary but now its mandatory

[11]E.g. The quarantine used to apply to people of all ages and now it only applies to the elderly.

[12]In this paper, the following countries are defined as being in Europe: Albania, Andorra, Armenia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Kosovo, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Macedonia, Malta, Moldova, Monaco, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, San Marino, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom, and the Vatican

[13](See Longabaugh 2012 for more information on how to interpret this plot.)

Figure 1: Cumulative Incidence of Policy Event Types Over Time

Figure 2: (#fig:biofabric_europe)Network Map of Bans on Inbound Flights by European Countries as of March 15, 2020

Table 2: Descriptive Information about the CoronaNet Government Response Dataset

Type	Total Number of Policies	Number of Countries	Number of Targeted Countries	% With Mandatory Enforcement
Closure of Schools	1250	157	3	86
Curfew	175	87	21	97
Declaration of Emergency	318	109	1	82
External Border Restrictions	4061	178	201	90
Health Monitoring	607	99	198	75
Health Resources	1342	128	125	54
Health Testing	251	76	100	78
Internal Border Restrictions	255	104	94	87
New Task Force or Bureau	218	90	1	49
Other Policy Not Listed Above	556	119	1	61
Public Awareness Campaigns	394	115	1	24
Quarantine/Lockdown	2841	145	202	80
Restriction of Non-Essential Businesses	1241	125	1	92
Restriction of Non-Essential Government Services	206	84	1	83
Restrictions of Mass Gatherings	521	148	2	86
Social Distancing	382	113	2	72

3   Government Policy Activity Index

In this section we briefly present our new index for tracking how relatively active governments are in addressing COVID-19 across countries and over time. The model is a version of item-response theory that incorporates over-time trends (Kubinec 2019), permitting inference on how a latent construct, in this case policy stringency, is responding to changes in the pandemic. To fit the model, the different policy types shown in Table 2 were coded dichotomously, with a value of 1 if enforcement of the policy was mandatory, and 0 otherwise. As a result, the model estimates whether mandatory policies for each category exist for each country on each day. The country-level stringency score is allowed to vary over time in a random-walk process with a country-specific variance parameter (i.e., to incorporate heteroskedasticity).

The advantage of employing a statistical model, rather than simply summing across policies, is that the index ends up as a weighted average, where the weights are derived from the probability that a certain policy is enforced. In other words, while many countries set up task forces, relatively few imposed curfews at an early stage. As a result, the model adjusts for these distinctions, producing a score that aggregates across the patterns in the data. Because over-time trends are explicitly included and jointly estimated with the latent parameters, the model will implicitly up-weight countries that took harsher measures earlier.

Furthermore, because the model is stochastic, it is robust to coding errors of the kind that often occur in these types of datasets. As we discuss in our validation section, while we are continuing to validate the data on a daily basis, the massive speed and scope of data collection means that we cannot identify all issues with the data in real time. However, the measurement model employed only requires us to assume that on average the policy codings are correct, not that they are correct for each instance. Coding error, such as incorrectly selecting a policy type, will propagate through the model as higher uncertainty intervals, but will not affect average posterior estimates. As our data quality improves, and we are able to collect more data over time, the model will produce more variegated estimates with smaller uncertainty intervals.

Figure 3 shows the estimated index scores for the 0 countries in our dataset at present. Of course, a caveat with the index is that we may be missing some possible policy measures that have occurred due to the difficulty in finding them in published sources. However, there is still clear differentiation within the index in terms of when policies were imposed, with some countries starting to impose policies much earlier than others. Furthermore, there is a clear break about March 1st when countries began to impose more stringent policies across the world.

Figure 3 shows the estimated index scores for the 0 countries in our dataset at present. Of course, a caveat with the index is that we may be missing some possible policy measures that have occurred due to the difficulty in finding them in published sources. However, there is still clear differentiation within the index

Estimates are derived from Stan, a Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampler.
The intervals represent the median and 5% – 95% posterior density region.
Plot shows one estimate per country per day.

Figure 3: CoronaNet Time-Varying Index of National Policy Activity of Measures Opposing COVID-19 Pandemic

in terms of when policies were imposed, with some countries starting to impose policies much earlier than others. Furthermore, there is a clear break about March 1st when countries began to impose more stringent policies across the world.

Table 3 shows the rank of countries for the index at present. An important note about these results is that the rank only measures the posterior median, or most likely estimate, but the 5% - 95% uncertainty interval shows that substantial uncertainty exists in comparing neighboring countries in the index. More certain comparisons can be made between the top, middle and bottom third of countries, while within these categories the estimates are not precise enough to make finer-grained distinctions with confidence.

With this caveat in mind, San Marino occupies the highest position, likely because of harsh lockdowns imposed as a result of the outbreak in northern Italy that occurred relatively early. Slovenia has had a nationwide lockdown in place for several weeks, while Azerbaijan took early action to close its borders with Iran in February after the outbreak started. It is important to note the uncertainty in the index measures, as the top 10 countries cannot be distinguished from each other in severity except for San Marino. We believe

these uncertainty intervals are important to capture the difficulty in using published policies to compare countries. However, we also see substantial value in this index, particularly in its ability to show change over time.

Table 3: Rank of Countries by National Policy Activity Index as of April 3rd, 2020

Country	Rank	5% Low Score	Median Score	95% High Score
Gabon	1	61.3	63.6	66.4
Croatia	2	59.3	62.8	66.1
United Arab Emirates	3	58.6	62.4	66.9
Guatemala	4	58.8	61.8	65.0
Slovenia	5	58.2	61.5	64.7
San Marino	6	57.2	61.5	66.0
Eswatini	7	57.1	61.3	65.6
Antigua and Barbuda	8	58.0	61.2	64.1
Hungary	9	58.2	61.2	65.2
Poland	10	56.5	61.1	66.3
Latvia	11	59.5	61.1	62.8
Grenada	12	55.8	61.1	66.4
Iraq	13	58.4	60.9	63.6
Mali	14	59.2	60.9	63.1
Albania	15	57.8	60.7	63.8
Romania	16	58.0	60.7	63.4
Israel	17	58.8	60.7	62.5
Saudi Arabia	18	57.3	60.7	64.1
Peru	19	59.2	60.6	62.3
Democratic Republic of the Congo	20	58.2	60.6	63.0
Costa Rica	21	59.8	60.6	61.7
Botswana	22	57.0	60.5	64.4
Bulgaria	23	58.3	60.5	62.6
Saint Kitts and Nevis	24	57.9	60.5	62.8

Netherlands	25	55.5	60.5	65.8
Madagascar	26	57.6	60.4	63.0
Austria	27	60.0	60.3	60.9
Belgium	28	58.5	60.2	62.7
Russia	29	58.5	60.2	62.0
Kuwait	30	59.7	60.2	61.0
Switzerland	31	57.4	60.1	62.4
Ukraine	32	56.9	60.1	63.6
Malta	33	53.3	60.1	67.0
Lesotho	34	56.2	60.1	63.7
Cyprus	35	58.7	60.1	61.5
Uruguay	36	51.8	60.0	67.6
Angola	37	57.2	60.0	62.7
Djibouti	38	51.3	60.0	71.0
Monaco	39	57.6	60.0	62.6
Luxembourg	40	55.0	59.9	64.9
Finland	41	58.9	59.9	61.0
Spain	42	58.6	59.9	61.0
Uganda	43	56.5	59.9	63.6
Italy	44	57.6	59.9	62.3
Eritrea	45	56.4	59.9	63.3
Chile	46	56.7	59.8	63.0
Colombia	47	59.0	59.8	60.5
Kenya	48	58.9	59.7	60.6
Jordan	49	47.1	59.7	70.8
Denmark	50	53.2	59.7	66.5
Ireland	51	58.2	59.7	61.1
North Macedonia	52	59.3	59.7	60.1
United Kingdom	53	55.5	59.7	64.3
Kazakhstan	54	57.5	59.7	61.9
Gambia	55	59.1	59.7	60.4
Azerbaijan	56	59.5	59.7	59.9

Morocco	57	57.7	59.7	61.7
Moldova	58	55.4	59.6	63.3
Qatar	59	57.2	59.6	62.4
South Africa	60	58.6	59.6	60.7
Jamaica	61	58.8	59.6	60.4
Mauritania	62	56.7	59.6	63.0
Hong Kong	63	59.0	59.6	60.1
Lithuania	64	58.9	59.6	60.4
Tonga	65	53.7	59.5	64.9
Germany	66	53.9	59.5	65.1
Samoa	67	59.1	59.5	60.0
Ivory Coast	68	57.2	59.5	61.8
Portugal	69	59.2	59.5	59.8
Ethiopia	70	57.7	59.5	60.9
Niger	71	56.8	59.5	61.9
Slovakia	72	56.8	59.5	62.4
China	73	58.1	59.5	60.9
Liechtenstein	74	57.6	59.5	61.6
Ecuador	75	57.1	59.5	61.9
Cameroon	76	55.3	59.4	63.2
Kyrgyzstan	77	58.1	59.4	60.7
Dominica	78	58.3	59.4	60.8
El Salvador	79	56.9	59.4	62.1
Cambodia	80	57.8	59.4	61.0
Bolivia	81	52.9	59.4	65.2
Mexico	82	57.6	59.4	61.0
Sudan	83	55.8	59.4	62.9
Turkey	84	57.8	59.3	61.2
Somalia	85	56.3	59.3	62.5
Togo	86	57.7	59.3	61.1
Honduras	87	59.0	59.3	59.6
Iran	88	59.0	59.3	59.7

Mongolia	89	58.6	59.3	60.0
Serbia	90	52.6	59.3	66.3
Paraguay	91	58.5	59.3	59.9
Australia	92	53.6	59.3	64.9
Burkina Faso	93	58.4	59.3	60.1
Tanzania	94	58.4	59.3	60.2
Egypt	95	58.9	59.3	59.6
Bhutan	96	57.6	59.2	60.9
Palestine	97	58.2	59.2	60.2
France	98	57.6	59.2	60.9
Pakistan	99	58.7	59.2	59.7
Benin	100	55.4	59.2	62.8
Brazil	101	48.5	59.2	71.0
Papua New Guinea	102	57.4	59.2	61.1
Algeria	103	57.5	59.2	61.0
Mozambique	104	58.9	59.2	59.5
Philippines	105	51.4	59.2	67.5
Senegal	106	56.6	59.2	62.4
Estonia	107	55.2	59.2	63.9
Oman	108	58.7	59.2	59.6
Cuba	109	56.6	59.2	61.7
Suriname	110	58.7	59.2	59.7
Tunisia	111	57.5	59.2	61.0
Argentina	112	57.2	59.1	61.1
Taiwan	113	58.6	59.1	59.7
Sierra Leone	114	55.5	59.1	62.5
Thailand	115	55.8	59.1	62.3
Equatorial Guinea	116	56.6	59.1	61.9
Rwanda	117	56.1	59.1	62.9
Kiribati	118	51.6	59.1	66.7
Trinidad and Tobago	119	56.4	59.1	61.8
Guyana	120	55.9	59.1	62.7

Vanuatu	121	58.2	59.1	59.9
Namibia	122	53.8	59.1	64.8
Solomon Islands	123	56.9	59.1	62.1
Republic of the Congo	124	57.5	59.0	60.6
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	125	57.9	59.0	60.4
Sao Tome and Principe	126	56.9	59.0	61.2
Brunei	127	54.5	59.0	63.7
Bahamas	128	53.6	59.0	64.4
South Sudan	129	53.9	59.0	64.1
Zambia	130	53.8	59.0	64.9
Iceland	131	58.5	59.0	59.4
Panama	132	58.0	59.0	60.0
Sri Lanka	133	55.0	58.8	62.7
Sweden	134	55.9	58.8	61.2
Belarus	135	57.7	58.8	60.1
Burundi	136	54.3	58.8	62.6
Myanmar	137	58.0	58.7	59.5
Central African Republic	138	56.3	58.6	61.7
Guinea	139	54.5	58.5	61.9
Uzbekistan	140	53.2	58.5	64.6
Barbados	141	57.3	58.5	59.7
Malawi	142	52.5	58.4	63.3
Indonesia	143	57.5	58.3	59.0
Turkmenistan	144	54.6	58.3	62.9
Czechia	145	57.6	58.2	59.0
Ghana	146	55.9	58.2	61.2
Dominican Republic	147	56.7	58.1	60.1
Japan	148	57.5	58.1	58.7
Georgia	149	54.5	58.1	61.6
Timor Leste	150	54.7	58.1	61.7
Lebanon	151	56.1	58.0	60.5

Fiji	152	54.8	57.9	61.4
Vietnam	153	54.2	57.8	61.0
Nepal	154	56.8	57.7	58.5
Libya	155	54.0	57.7	63.5
Singapore	156	52.8	57.6	62.0
Norway	157	54.9	57.6	60.3
Canada	158	52.9	57.6	63.4
Maldives	159	52.1	57.6	62.6
Tajikistan	160	50.4	57.5	63.5
Zimbabwe	161	52.8	57.5	61.8
Venezuela	162	52.9	57.5	61.7
Nigeria	163	54.3	57.5	60.4
Montenegro	164	53.4	57.4	60.5
Andorra	165	54.6	57.4	60.1
New Zealand	166	50.3	57.3	63.3
United States	167	56.3	57.3	58.1
Afghanistan	168	54.0	57.3	61.2
Comoros	169	51.8	57.3	62.6
Syria	170	53.3	57.3	60.4
Bangladesh	171	55.1	57.0	59.4
South Korea	172	51.8	56.5	60.4
Seychelles	173	52.4	56.4	63.1
Bosnia and Herzegovina	174	48.1	56.3	61.9
Micronesia	175	45.5	56.3	67.6
Nauru	176	49.8	56.3	61.3
Liberia	177	48.4	56.1	61.4
North Korea	178	48.5	56.0	62.4
Tuvalu	179	48.4	55.9	61.5
Armenia	180	50.7	55.8	59.9
Cabo Verde	182	48.3	55.3	60.0
India	183	45.0	54.0	59.5
Macau	184	40.8	52.3	58.4

Saint Lucia	185	36.6	51.1	59.4
Yemen	186	34.3	48.9	56.4

Finally, we note in Figure 3 the strong evidence of policy diffusion effects. While information about COVID-19 existed at least as early as January, we do not see large-scale changes occurring in severity scores until March. Furthermore, the trajectories are highly non-linear, with a large number of countries quickly transitioning from relatively low to relatively high scores. This tandem movement is a strong indication of policy diffusion as countries adopted similar policies across time and space as opposed to a more linear learning process.

4 Methodology

To collect the data, we recruited more than 190 research assistants (RAs) from colleges and universities around the world, representing 18 out of the 24 time zones.[14] Data collection started on March 28, 2020 and has proceeded very rapidly, reaching 6782 records as of the date of this article. Each RA is responsible for tracking government policy actions for at least one country. RAs were allocated depending on their background, language skills and expressed interest in certain countries.[15]

We have also partnered with the machine learning company Jataware to automate the collection of more than 200,000 news articles from around the world related to COVID-19.[16] Jataware employs a natural language processing (NLP) classifier using Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) to detect whether a given article is indicative of a governmental policy intervention related to COVID-19. They then apply a secondary NLP classifier to categorize the type of policy intervention (e.g. "state of emergency", "shelter-in-place", "quarantine", "travel restrictions", etc). Next, Jataware extracts the geospatial and temporal extent of the policy intervention (e.g. "Washington DC" and "March 15, 2020") whenever possible. The resulting list of news sources is then provided to our RAs for manual coding and further data validation.

As researchers learn more about the various health, economic, and social effects of the coronavirus pandemic, it is crucial that they have access to data that is reliable, valid, and timely (to the greatest extent possible). We have adopted the following data collection methodology that we believe optimizes over all three of these constraints.

---

[14]For more information on the individual RAs, please visit http://coronanet-project.org/

[15]Note depending on the level of policy coordination at the national level, certain countries were assigned multiple RAs, e.g. the United States, Germany, or France.

[16]We thank Brandon Rose and Jataware for making the news database available to this project.

4.1 Data Collection Software Instrument

We designed a Qualtrics survey with survey questions about different aspects of a government policy action to streamline the CoronaNet data collection effort. With this tool, RAs can easily and efficiently document different policy actions by answering the relevant questions posed in the survey. For example, instead of entering the country that initiated a policy action into a spreadsheet, RAs answer the following question in the survey: "From what country does this policy originate from?" and choose from the available options given in the survey.

By using a survey instrument to collect data, we are able to systematize the collection of very fine-grained data while avoiding coding errors common to tools like shared spreadsheets. The value of this approach of course, depends on the comprehensiveness of the questions posed in the survey, especially in terms of the universe of policy actions that countries have implemented against COVID-19. For example, if the survey only allowed RAs to select 'quarantines' as a government policy, it would not capture any data on 'external border restrictions', which would seriously reduce the value of the resulting data.

As such, to ensure the comprehensiveness of the data, before designing the survey, we collected in depth, over-time data on policy actions taken by one country, Taiwan, since the beginning of the outbreak as well as cross-national data on travel bans implemented by most countries for a total of 245 events.[17] We chose to focus on Taiwan on because of its relative success, as of March 28, 2020, in limiting the negative health consequences of the coronavirus within its borders.[18] As such, it seems likely that other countries may choose to emulate some of the policy measures that Taiwan had implemented, which helps increase the comprehensiveness of the questions we ask in our survey. Meanwhile, by also investigating variation in how different countries around the world have implemented travel restrictions, we have also helped ensure that our survey is able to comprehensively document variation in how an important and commonly used policy tool is applied, e.g. restrictions of different methods of travel (e.g. flights, cruises), restrictions across borders and within borders, restrictions targeted toward people of different status (e.g. citizens, travelers).

There are many additional benefits of using a survey instrument for data collection, especially in terms of ensuring the reliability and validity of the resulting the data:

1. *Preventing unforced measurement error.* RAs are prevented from entering data into incorrect fields or unknowingly overwriting existing data—as would be possible with manual data entry into a

---

[17]The specific data source the PI cross referenced for this effort was the March 20, 2020 version of the following New York Times article Salcedo, Andrea and Gina Cherelus, "Coronavirus Travel Restrictions, Across the Globe" *New York Times*, 20 March 2020, https://www.nytimes.com/article/coronavirus-travel-restrictions.html

[18]Beech, Hannah. "Tracking the Coronavirus: How Crowded Asian Cities Tackled an Epidemic." *New York Times* 18 March 2020 https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/17/world/asia/coronavirus-singapore-hong-kong-taiwan.html

spreadsheet—because RAs can only document one policy action at a time in a given iteration of a survey and do not have access to the full spreadsheet when they are entering in the data.

2. *Standardizing responses.* We are able to ensure that RAs can only choose among standardized responses to the survey questions, which increases the reliability of the data and also reduces the likelihood of measurement error. For example, when RAs choose different dates that we would like them to document (e.g., the date a policy was announced) they are forced to choose from a calendar embedded into the survey which systemizes the day, month and year format that the date is recorded in.

3. *Minimizing measurement error.* A survey instrument allows coding different conditional logics for when certain survey questions are posed. This technique obviates the occurence of logical fallacies in our data. For example, we are able to avoid a situations where an RA might accidentally code the United States as having closed all schools in another country.

4. *Reduction of missing data.* We are able to reduce the amount of missing data in the dataset by using the forced response option in Qualtrics. Where there is truly missing data due, there is a text entry at the end of the survey where RAs can describe what difficulties they encountered in collecting information for a particular policy event.

5. *Reliability of the responses.* We increase the reliability of the documentation for each policy by embedding descriptions of different possible responses within the survey. For example, in the survey question where RAs are asked to identify the policy type ('type' variable, see Codebook), the survey question includes pop-up buttons which allow RAs to easily get descriptions and examples of each possible policy type. Such pop-up buttons were also made available for the survey questions which code for the people or materials a policy was targed at ('target_who_what') and whether the policy was inbound, outbound or both ('target_direction'). Embedding such information in the dataset both clarifies the distinction between different answer choices and increases the efficiency of the policy documentation process (as RAs are not obliged to refer back and forth from the survey to the codebook).

6. *Linking observations.* The use of a survey instrument allows us to easily link policy events together over time should there be updates to existing policies. Once coded, each policy is given a unique Record ID, which RAs can easily look up, reference and link to if they need to update a particular policy.

4.2   RA Training

All RAs watch a mandatory 50 minute video training of the survey instrument which explains how to use the survey instrument. RAs are also provided with written guidelines on how to collect data and a comprehensive codebook. To briefly describe it here, the written guidelines provide a definition of what counts as a new

or updated policy (see Data section for more details) and provides a checklist for RAs to follow in order to identify and document different policies. In the checklist, RAs are instructed to find policies by checking the sources in the order given in the guidelines to identify policies, to document the relevant information into the survey and to save and upload a document of the source they found for each policy into Qualtrics. The codebook meanwhile provides descriptions and examples of the different possible response options in the survey. Using a training video and the written codebook also has the added benefit of helping us efficiently disseminate the information RAs need to use the survey experiment consistently.

In order to participate as an RA in this project, RAs must fill out a form[19] in which:

- They identify themselves.
- They certify that they have viewed the training video in which we explain how to use the survey instrument.
- They certify they have joined the CoronaNet Slack Channel (see section below for more information).
- They certify that they understand that RA responsibilities entail
  - gathering historical data on COVID-19 government policy actions for their country, and;
  - providing daily updates for new government policy actions.
- They certify that they understand they can access the data collection guidelines and codebook or pose their questions on the Slack Channel.
- They certify that they are expected to upload .pdfs of the sources they access to the survey instrument.

Once the RA submits the form, they are sent a personalized link to access the survey. With the customized link, we are also able to keep track of which RA coded what entries.

4.3 Real-Time Communication and Feedback

Once an RA joins the project, they can pose their questions on a CoronaNet Slack channel, which they must join in order to participate in the project. The channel allows any RA to pose a question or issue they may have in using the survey instrument to any of the PIs and allows all other RAs to learn from the exchange at the same time. As such, RAs are able to receive feedback and learn from each other's questions in a timely and centralized manner. Since the data collection effort was launched on March 28, 2020 until April 6, 2020, both RAs and PIs have actively used Slack to communicate with one another. On the Slack channel devoted to asking questions about the Qualtrics data survey in particular, there were 1,091 messages posted by 108 project members.

---

[19]See this link

4.4 Post-Data Collection Validation Checks

Lastly, we take the following steps in order to validate the quality of the resulting data collected:

1. Double-coding. We randomly sample 10% of the dataset using the source of the data (e.g. newspaper article, government press release) as our unit of randomization. We use the source as our unit of randomization because one source may detail many different policy types. We then provide this source to a fully independent RA and ask her to code for the government policy based on ranomdly selected sources in a separate, but virtually identical, survey instrument. If the source is in a language the RA cannot read, then a new source is drawn. Following this strategy of double-coding, we are able to provide a direct assessment of the reliability of our measures and report cross-coder reliability scores.

2. Evaluation. We then check for discrepancies between the originally coded data and the second coding of the data in terms of the content of what is coded. If there are no discrepancies, then we consider the data valid. If an RA was found to have made a mistake, then we sample 3 entries which correspond to the type of mistake made (e.g. if the RA incorrectly codes an 'External Border Restriction' as a 'Quarantine', we sample 3 entries where the RA has coded a policy as being about a 'Quarantine') and randomly sample 3 more entries, to ascertain whether the mistake was systematic in nature or not.

5 Conclusion

As policymakers, researchers and the broader public debate and compare how to succeed against the novel threats posed by COVID-19, they need real-time, traceable data on government policies in order to understand which of these policies are effective, and under what conditions. This requires specific knowledge of the variation in policies and their implementation. The goal of the dataset and severity index presented here is to provide this information.

We have tried to match our data collection efforts to keep up with the exponential speed with which the coronavirus has already upended global public health and the international economy while also maintaining high levels of quality. However, we will inevitably be refining, revising and updating our data to reflect new knowledge and trends as the pandemic unfolds. The data that we present in this first version of the dataset represents only the initial release of the data, and we will continue to validate and release data so long as governments continue to develop policies in response to the coronavirus.

In future work, we intend to analyze the policy combinations that are best able to stymie the epidemic so as to contribute to the social science research community and provide urgently needed knowledge for policymakers and the wider global community.

Appendix

Table 4: Contributing Researchers and their Responsible Countries

Name	Affiliation	Country	Vita
Abhyudaya Tyagi	NYU Abu Dhabi	Romania	I am a second-year student at NYU Abu Dhabi, majoring in Political Science and Economics.
Adriana Poppe	University of Cologne	Colombia, Spain	Master Student of Sociology and Social Research at the Universtiy of Cologne
Alette Mengerink	Teacher (German and children's righs) to people with a migration background	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Teacher (German and children's rights).
Amadeus Albrecht	TU München HfP	Georgia	

Amanda Panella	Hertie School of Governance, Berlin, Germany	Cyprus	Amanda Panella is a MIA student specialising in international security studies at the Hertie School of Governance, where she graduates in June 2020.
Ana Acero	Sciences Po Paris	Equatorial Guinea	
Anabella McElroy	Dual BA Sciences Po Paris/Universi of British Columbia	United States	Anabella is studying political science at Sciences Po Paris and the University of British Columbia.
Anastasia Steinbrunner	Willy Brandt School of Public Policy/ University of Erfurt	Samoa	
Andreas Duncan	University of Applied Forest Scienes Rottenburg	Vanuatu	Andy is an undergraduate student in Sustainable Regional Management.

Andres Lopez Schrader	NYU Abu Dhabi	Morocco	I am a marine genetics researcher with an interest in education policy and language learning.
Angad Johar	NYU Abu Dhabi	India	Sophomore at New York University Abu Dhabi
Angela Herz	Heidelberg University	Spain: sub-national	Political Science Student from Germany
Anke Horn	Pharmacist	Switzerland: sub-national	Pharmacist
Anna Ludwig	Maastricht University, University of Vienna	Brazil	Recent graduate MA Global Studies, interested in Biosecurity and Governmental response to pandemics
Anna Sophia Körner	SciencesPo Paris/FU Berlin	Mexico	I am currently doing my dual degree at Sciences Po Paris and FU Berlin with a focus on European Affairs and Public Policy.

Anoushka Thakre	Dual BA Columbia University and Sciences Po Paris	Kuwait	A student currently enrolled in the Dual BA program between Columbia University and Sciences Po Paris interested in economics, healthcare and public policy.
Antonia Pérez	Dual BA Program Sciences Po Paris/ Columbia University	Venezuela	
Ariana Barrenechea	Willy Brandt School of Public Policy	Spain	Master of Public Policy candidate at the Willy Brandt School

Arianna Schouten	Research Assistant	Canada	I am Canadian with an interdisciplinary Bachelor in Politics, Psychology, Law & Economics from the University of Amsterdam, and I have a specific interest in law, health policy and pharmaceutical regulation.
Aysina Maria	Technische Universität München	Greece	Grew up in Russia. I am a student at the Technical University of Munich and currently Erasmus Student an University of Pavia, Italy.

Babrik Kushwaha	University of Lille	Nepal	Babrik Kushwaha, BA, Graduate student of European and International Studies, Management of European Affairs Program at University of Lille / Trainee at the Institute for the Danube Region and Central (IDM).
Barbora Bromová	University of Amsterdam	Czechia, Slovakia	
Beatrice Di Giulio	Technical University of Munich	San Marino	
Beatrice von Braunschweig	Leuphana University Lüneburg / Université Paris-Est Créteil	Mali	BA student of political science at Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany, and Paris XII, France

Borja Arrue-Astrain	Project and Policy Officer at AGE Platform Europe	Equatorinal Guinea	Graduate in Political Science from the University of the Basque Country (Spain) and Masters in European Affairs from Sciences Po Paris, specialised in social policy advocacy.
Brahim Ouerghi		Lebanon	I am a 22 years old student at the technical university of munich where i study technology and management
Brian Chesney Quartey	NYU Abu Dhabi	Ghana, Togo	
Bruno Ciccarini	Communication Manager	Italy: sub-national, Italy: sub-national	
Calvin Kaleel	Yale University	Oman	A sophomore at Yale University, Calvin majors in Modern Middle Eastern Studies and is extremely excited about this project!

Cara Kim	Technical University of Munich	Myanmar	Medical student from Germany
Caress Schenk	Nazarbayev University	Russia	Associate Professor of Political Science
Carl Philip Dybwad	Sciences Po Paris	Sweden	Circularity Advocate with a passion for the future of electioneering.
Carlos Velez	Yale University	Liberia	Yale Undergraduate, Class of 2020, B.A. Political Science
Carly Kimmett	University of Western Ontario	Republic of the Congo	Canadian. UWO Kin Grad and current BScN Nursing Student
Charlotte Vorbauer	TUM Munich	Namibia	student of political science at TUM
Cheng-Hao SHEN	Sciences Po Paris	Belize, Palau, Philippines, Saint Lucia	A political science student interested in comparative government, British politics, and cross-strait relations from the Republic of China

Name	Program	Countries	Bio
Chloë Fraser	Dual BA Sciences Po Paris/Universi of British Columbia	Guatemala	Having grown up near Montreal and close to Brussels, I am now completing my second year in a Dual BA in social sciences between Sciences Po and UBC, and with an interest in human rights work and sustainable development.
Cornelia Marie Dybwad	ESPOL Lille	Armenia, Estonia	Norwegian International Security Policy student, interested in hybrid security threats.
Csilla Horvath	Customer Support Specialist	Bolivia	
Dan Downes	TUM Munich	Brazil	Structural Engineer. Currently studying a Masters in Political Science.
Dan Wu	Sciences Po Paris	Finland, Finland	Native Chinese studying Political Science in France and living in Austria

Daniel Boey	Hertie School & Columbia University	Thailand	Columbia-Hertie MPA-MPP Dual Degree Candidate working in the intersection of environmental engineering and public policy.
Daniel Martínek	Institute for the Danube Region and Central Europe (IDM) Vienna	Czechia, Slovakia	Research Fellow at the Institute for the Danube Region and Central Europe (IDM), Vienna, Austria
Dariga Abilova	Georgia State U	Barbados, Lesotho	PhD Student
Davit Jintcharadzé	NYU Abu Dhabi	Italy: sub-national	NYU Abu Dhabi Psychology and Philosophy student.
Deborah Agboola	New York University Abu Dhabi	United Kingdom	I am a British-Nigerian undergraduate student at New York University Abu Dhabi
DICK PAUL OUKO	SciencesPo Paris	Burundi, Rwanda	A student at SciencesPo Paris University who considers himself to be a global citizen.

Dominik Juling	Technical University of Munich	Antigua and Barbuda	Currently studying political science at the Technical University Munich and working as a free journalist.
Donia Kamel	Paris School of Economics	Comoros, Djibouti	I am currently in my first year of my Masters in Analysis and Policy in Economics at the Paris School of Economics
Dorian Quelle	Zeppelin University	Nicaragua, Panama	
Dotrus Wilstic	IOM- Johannesburg ZA	Tanzania	A doctor of philosophy (Ph. D)in Education
Dylan Ollivier	Columbia College of Columbia University in the City of New York	Gabon	
Eduardo Landaeta	Old Dominion University	Costa Rica	Doctoral Student in the Graduate Program in International Studies at Old Dominion University

Elisa Seith	Officer, NATO	Luxembourg	Master Graduate from Heidelberg University, Political Science
Elizabeth (Lizzie) Jones	LSE/Sciences Po Paris/NYU	Cameroon	
Ella Pettersen	Kenyon College	Norway	I am a first year student at Kenyon College, and an intended Political Science major.
Elliot Weir	Otago University	Testing Data	I am an undergraduate student in my second year at Otago University in New Zealand, with a broad interest in statistical research.
Emma Hutchinson	Sciences Po Paris	Australia, Japan	Sciences Po Paris Masters in International Security Student

Esther Ollivier	SciencesPo Paris	Mali	Esther Ollivier is a French-American student studying in the Columbia-SciencesPo Dual BA program, where she is double majoring in Economics and Music, with a Finance minor.
Eugene Kwizera	African Leadership University - Kigali	Central African Republic	
Fabienne Lind	Univesity of Vienna	Austria	I am a PhD student and work as research associate at the Computational Communication Science Lab at the University of Vienna.

Fabio Kadner	University Bonn	Palastine	I'm currently writing my master thesis in the programme 'Society, Globalization, Development' at the university of Bonn, Germany. My main research topics include migration, religion and international relations.
Fadhilah Fitri Primandari	Universitas Indonesia	Indonesia	Final year political science student at Universitas Indonesia, with a concentration in comparative politics. Her views on Indonesian politics have previously appeared on several notable platforms, such as East Asia Forum, New Mandala, and The Diplomat.

Farah Sadek	NYU Abu Dhabi	Qatar	I am an undergraduate student pursuing a degree in Social Research and Public Policy with a minor in Economics and Peace Studies at New York University Abu Dhabi.
Felix Willuweit	London School of Economics and Political Science / Sciences Po Paris	Ethiopia	I am a student from Germany in my 3rd year of a BSc in International Relations at the London School of Economics and Sciences Po Paris with interest in Global Governance and International Development.

Fernanda Werneck	Leipzig University	Sao Tome and Principe	I'm a researcher on International Relations and Environmental Studies and I'm currently studying the last semester of MA. Global Studies
Francis Yoon	FU Berlin	Malaysia, Malaysia, South Korea, South Korea	
Frank Yuxuan Sun	Technische Universität München	Malta	Active social commentator, interested in political science.

Frederic Denker	I followed the outbreak of the Corona-Crisis in Israel, where I completed an internship and also had to deal with some Corona regulations. I could also work on any spanish-speaking country.	Niger, Nigeria	Undergraduate student interested in innovation and develepment economics.
Gloria Mutheu	The University of Nairobi, Kenya	Uganda	LLB 1st year student who has a great passion for research and helping people access information.

Ha-Neul Yu	NYU Abu Dhabi	Testing Data	I am an undergraduate student at New York University Abu Dhabi. I am majoring in biology with a minor in psychology and I have an interest in statistical research.
Hafsa Ahmed	NYU Abu Dhabi	Singapore	A senior undergraduate social research, public policy, and public health student from New York university in Abu Dhabi, driven to tackle global policy challenges in the development field.
Helene Paul	TU Darmstadt / Policylead	Germany, Netherlands	Graduate student in governance and public policy, working on political monitoring as a working student for Policylead.

Helwan Felappi	Sciences Po Paris	Moldova, Moldova, Montenegro, Montenegro	I'm a second year Economics and Political Science student at Sciences Po Paris, on exchange at the University of Pennsylvania. I am passionate about studying, describing and better understanding our societies and the challenges they face.
Heman Asibuo	Cornell University	Sierra Leone	
Henry Okwatch	Advocate of the High Court of Kenya	South Africa	
Imogen Rickert	Policy Advisor in non-profit sector	United States: sub-national, Trinidad and Tobago	Social researcher with M.A. in Sociology from Freie Universität Berlin, B.A. from the University of Sydney and experience in providing policy analysis in the non-profit sector.

Ines Böhret	University of Manchester, University of Passau	Kiribati	Ines has a B.A. in International Emergency and Disaster Relief and currently writes her theses for a M.Sc. in Global Health and a M.A. in Caritas Science and Value-based Management.
Isabela Russo	TU München HfP	Mozambique	Born and raised in Brazil - currently studying Political Science in Germany.
Isabelle Smith	Colorado College, SciencesPo Paris	Madagascar	Hello, my name is Isabelle Smith and I am a third year bachelors student in Political Science at Colorado College and have recently completed a year abroad with SciencesPo Paris.
Ismail Jamai Ait Hmitti	Yale University	Ivory Coast	Modern Middle Eastern Studies and History major at Yale University.

Jack Kubinec	Cornell University	Hungary	Jack is a freshman at Cornell University studying Government.
Jakob Berg	Universität Regensburg	Bulgaria	I am a third-year student in the field of political science at the University of Regensburg
Jane Murutu	Project Management Consultant	Uganda	I am a project Management Specialist Consultant
Janice Klaiber	ESB Business School / Rollins College	Tonga, Tuvalu	
Janne Luise Piper	Zeppelin University	Israel	I am a student of Sociology, Politics and Economics at Zeppelin University in Germany where I work as a student assistant for the Chair of International Relations.
Jasmina Sowa	University of Bochum, Germany	Solomon Islands	I am Psychology student from Germany in the fourth year of my bachelors degree.

Jessica Johansson	CIESAS	United Kingdom	M.Sc. graduate in Politics, Economics and Philosophy from University of Hamburg, with research experience from political science research at the German Institute of Global and Area Studies (Hamburg) as well as economics research at CIESAS (Guadalajara, Mexico).
Jiho Yoo	Sciences Po Paris	South Korea	Undergraduate student in Sciences Po Paris Campus de Reims, studying Political Humanities
Joana Lencastre Morais	Technische Universität München & Hochschule für Philosophie München	Angola	Politics & Technology student at the TU München.

Joel Gräff	Technical Product Designer	South Africa	German and South African Technical Product Design trainee in the final year
Josef Montag	Charles University	Testing Data	I am an Assistant Professor at the Department of Economics, Faculty of Law, Charles University in Prague, the Czech Republic. I do empirical research in fields related to law and economics.
Jule Scholten	Ruhr-Universität Bochum	Jamaica	Student of Political Science and student assistant, working on a project of interest groups influence on Government decision in Germany
Julia Nassl	University of Munich	Bolivia, Peru	I am a 4th year law student at Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich with a specialization in Public International Law.

Julia Smakman	University of Amsterdam (currently interning with Amnesty International)	Poland	Dutch, BSc Graduate, Law major, Main interest in international law
Julia Wießmann	University of Heidelberg	Latvia	
Kadriye Nisa Başkan	Yıldız Technical University	Turkey	Economics Graduate from Yıldız Technical University/ Istanbul
Karina Lisboa Båsund	NYU Abu Dhabi	Norway, Senegal	Research Assistant at NYU Abu Dhabi's Department of Social Science
Katharina Klaunig	NYU Abu Dhabi	Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan	Katharina is a third year B.A. student studying Social Research and Public Policy at New York University Abu Dhabi.

Kayla Schwoerer	Rutgers University-Newark	United States: sub-national	PhD student at Rutgers University-Newark in the School of Public Affairs studying government transparency with a focus on ICT-enabled interactions between government and its stakeholders.
Khoa Tran	NYU Abu Dhabi	Vietnam	Khoa Tran is a legal studies student at New York University Abu Dhabi and a youth social entrepreneur.
Kojo Vandyck	NYU Abu Dhabi	Guinea	A Ghanaian STEM enthusiast keen on battling COVID-19!

Konstanze Schönfeld	Universität Leipzig / Fudan University	Japan	Global Studies student at Uni Leipzig / Fudan University, focusing on visa policy; BA in Japanese Studies from Uni Heidelberg
Laura Cadena	Rosario University of Colombia	Andorra	I have a degree in International Relations of University of Rosario of Colombia
Laura Williamson	Colorado Christian University	United States: sub-national	
Laureen Hannig	Universität Erfurt	Chad	Student of International Relations and Communication Science
Laurent Frick	Social Worker	Eswatini	Graduated Sociology Student and Social Worker
Lea Clara Frömchen-Zwick	Christian-Albrechts Universität zu Kiel	Grenada, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	

Lena Kolb	Technische Universität München (TUM)	Cabo Verde, Malawi	I study in 4th Semester of political science at TUM
Leonie Imberger	TU Dresden	Australia	3rd year Med Student from Germany; interested in Global Health and Public Health Policy
Li Cheng	NYU Abu Dhabi	Testing Data	I am an undergraduate student at NYU Abu Dhabi majoring in Interactive Media.
Lilli Tabea Albrecht	Institute of Human Rights and Peace Studies, Mahidol University, Thailand	Cambodia	Grad student in Human Rights at the IHRP at Mahidol University, focusing on democracy and global health governance.

| Lily Zandstra | Project Support Officer | Syria | Recent MA graduate from Leiden University in International Relations: European Union Studies. A dynamic thinker with cross-cultural and international experience and a keen interest in project development. Experience working on research projects to bridge the gap between policy and practice. |
| Linlin Chen | TU München HfP | Sri Lanka | Final year M.Sc student in the Politics and Technology program at Technical University of Munich |

Luise Modrakowski	Copenhagen University	Norway	Master student of security risk management at Copenhagen University, originally from Dresden (DE), focusing on risk governance, poltical risk analysis, and sustainability.
Lya Cuéllar	FU Berlin	Costa Rica, El Salvador	
Magdalena Strebling	Management	Marshall Islands	
Maheen Zahra	Lecturer, Social Policy specialist	Afghanistan, Iran	Lecturer at the Department of Development Studies, National University of Science and Technology (NUST), Pakistan
Maisa Nasirova	Technical University of Munich (TUM)	Pakistan, Tanzania	Political Science Student at Technical University of Munich

Maite Spel	University of Amsterdam	Suriname	I'm a graduate in Interdisciplinary Social Sciences from the University of Amsterdam
Malina Winking	University of Amsterdam	Botswana	
Mamle Akosua Kwao	New York University Abu Dhabi	Mauritania	
Mara Förster	Sciences Po Paris	Trinidad and Tobago	I am currently a first-year student at the Reims Campus of Sciences Po Paris, particularly focusing on North America and Europe.
Marianne Sievers	Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany	Yemen	I'm a freelance researcher, holding a BA in Sociology and Islamic Science, currently a MA student in Berlin.
Marius Deierl	LMU Munich	Ecuador	Student of cultural anthropology, 22, Germany

Marlies Hofmann	University of Amsterdam	United States	Currently completing my BSc in PPLE (Politics, Psychology, Law and Economics) at the University of Amsterdam and looking forward to subsequently continuing my studies of law at the University of Oxford.
Mascha Hotopp	Sciences Po Paris	United States	I am a Master 1 journalism and human rights and humanitarian action student at the Sciences Po Paris.
Mats Jensen	Sciences Po Paris	Iceland	
Matthew Cottrell	University of Cologne	United States	
Matthew Hargreaves	University of Amsterdam	Switzerland	A graduate in psychology, politics, law and economics from the university of Amsterdam.

Maximilian Dirks	University of Bochum, Germany	New Zealand	I am studying Economic Policy Consulting M.Sc. at the University of Bochum.
Maya Rollberg	University of Freiburg	Germany: sub-national	I am a Liberal Arts and Sciences student, currently writing my Bachelor's thesis in Germany.
Mehdi Bhouri	Technische Universität München	Algeria	I am a Business/Political science student at The Technical University of Munich
Michaela Balluff	Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH	Eritrea	
Milan Chen	HfP (Munich)	Taiwan	Doctoral researcher at the Technical University of Munich
Milos Moskovljevic	City University of Hong Kong	Maldives, Serbia	PhD student at City University of Hong Kong

Miranda Tessore Janowski	University of Amsterdam	Argentina	I am a graduate of Politics, Psychology, Law and Economics (PPLE) with a specialisation in International Law from the University of Amsterdam, where I graduated with an Upper 2:1. I currently live in London and will start a Master's in International Peace and Security at King's College London in September 2020.
Miriam Witte	University of Regensburg, Germany	Ireland	Psychology student BSc at the University of Regensburg, scholarship holder of the Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation, lived and worked in L'Arche Ireland for 1 1/2 years.

Mirjam Muller	European Parliament	European Union, Latvia, Lithuania	BSc law graduate working for the Greens in the European Parliament and hoping to contribute to some good on this earth!
Mona Horn	University of Freiburg, Germany	Costa Rica	I am a student of geosciences at the University of Freiburg.
Muhannad Alramlawi	NYU Abu Dhabi	Jordan	I am senior student studying Economics at New York University Abu Dhabi (NYUAD).
Museera Moghis	NYU Abu Dhabi	United Arab Emirates	Museera is an undergraduate student at New York University Abu Dhabi, double majoring in Political Science and Social Research & Public Policy.

Nadja Grossenbacher	Utrecht University / University of Vienna	Gambia	Nadja Grossenbacher holds a MA degree in Conflict Studies & Human Rights as well as a BA degree in Cultural & Social Anthropology and set her regional focus on Sub-Saharan Africa.
Natalia Filkina-Spreizer	HfP (Munich)	Belarus, Russia	M.Sc. student of Politics and Technology at Technical University of Munich
Nicolas Göller	Zeppelin University	Germany	Undergraduate student of Sociology, Politics & Economics with an interest in interdisciplinary research and Data Science.

Nicole Oubre	Willy Brandt School of Public Policy	Honduras	I am a Master of Public Policy student at the Willy Brandt School of Public Policy in Erfurt, Germany.
Nida Hasan	Dual BA Sciences Po Paris/Columbia Universiity	Saudi Arabia	I am an undergraduate student in the Dual BA program with Sciences Po Paris and Columbia University, passionate about working in the fields of Medicine and Public Health.
Niklas Illenseer	SciencesPo Paris/FU Berlin	Austria, France, Liechten-stein	Dual Degree Master's student in Environmental Policy at Sciences Po Paris and Political Science & International Relations at FU Berlin.
Nikolina Klatt	Fernuniversität Hagen	Croatia, United States	Political Science student based in New York City

Noelle Kubinec	English teacher	Albania, North Macedonia	I am a Language and Orientation Coordinator for a non-profit and have been living in the Balkan region of Europe for 8.5 years.
Oliver Pollex	TUM Munich	Brunei	B.Sc. student politics and technology TU Munich
Oliver Weber	University of Regensburg, Germany	Denmark, Germany, Italy, Monaco	Graduate Student at the University of Regensburg, Bachelor's Degree from the University of Mannheim
Ongun Durhan	University of Amsterdam	Turkey	Graduate student of Political Economy at the University of Amsterdam (expected to graduate this year).
Pablo Robles	Hochschule Fresenius	Paraguay	Ecuadorian Architect pursuing an International Business Masters degree

Paula Germana	Willy Brandt School of Public Policy/ University of Erfurt	El Salvador	Peruvian Sociologist. Master in Public Policy Student at the Willy Brandt School of Public Policy.
Philipp Weber	Motio Gmbh & Co. KG	Fiji	
Pia Bansagi	University of Vienna	Nauru, Timor Leste	Erasmus Mundus Masters of Global Studies student at the University of Leipzig and University of Vienna.
Racha Hanine	University of Oslo	Tunisia	First year BA student in Political Science at the University of Oslo
Raquel Karl	Zeppelin University	Cuba, Dominican Republic	Undergraduate student in Sociology, Politics & Economics.
Rebecca Beigel	Stiftung Neue Verantwortung, Project Manager International Cybersecurity Policy	Syria	

Ricardo Buitrago	Universidad de La Salle Colombia	Honduras	Head of the B.A. in International Business & Relations
Richmond Silvanus Baye	University of Tuebingen	Mauritius	I am into environmental and food economics research
Robin Fischer	University of Braun-schweig	Dominica	I study Mathematics and Philosophy at the University in Braunschweig.
Rosana Fayazzadh	University of Oslo	Iran	Oslo-based student majoring in law and economics at the University of Oslo
Saif Khan	Technical University of Munich	Seychelles	M.Sc. Politics and Technology student.
Salma Soliman	NYU Abu Dhabi	Egypt	I am a third year student studying Economics with a Data Science Track at NYUAD.
Samantha Reinard	San Francisco State University/On Exchange Sciences Po Reims	Bhutan, Mongolia	

Sana Moghis	Shifa College of Medicine	Bangladesh, Nepal, Testing Data	I am a young doctor who has just graduated from Shifa College of Medicine. Passionate about developing a career in Critical Care and exploring methods that revolutionalize modern healthcare.
Sarah Edmonds	TUM Munich	Papua New Guinea, United States: sub-national	
Sau Kan Chan	HfP (Munich)	China, Hong Kong, Macau	PhD student at HfP (Munich). My research focuses on transparency in Chinese governance.
Saw Eh Doh Soe	Institute of Human Rights and Peace Studies, Mahidol University, Thailand	Zimbabwe	

Sean-Michael Pigeon	Yale University	United States: sub-national	I'm Sean-Michael, I am a Junior at Yale University working on a double major in History and Political Science
Shruti Shukla	Consultant, C4ED	Guyana	I am a qualitative research with a global health background.
Simon Hüttemann	TUM Munich	Nigeria	I am a Student for political science at Technical University Munich.
Sophia Tomany	Willy Brandt School of Public Policy	Iraq, South Sudan	Sophia is a Master's student in Public Policy at the Willy Brandt School, specializing in Conflict Studies and Management.
Stefanie Mallow	Sustainable Development Consultant	Portugal	I have a master's degree in Cultural Anthropology from Uppsala University and I am interested in inequalities in knowledge production.

Stella Dold	Fernuniversität Hagen & Universität des Saarlandes	Bahamas	Student of Political Sience
Su Ülkenli		Democratic Republic of the Congo	Second-year student at SciencesPo Paris, pursuing a BA in Political Humanities.
Surendra Belbase	Georg August University of Göttingen	United States: sub-national	I am a Business and Social Science graduate and interest in Social entrepreneurship, Media Anthropology, Censorship and Marginalisation issues.
Tanja Matheis	University of Kassel	Benin, Indonesia	PhD candidate, Friedrich Ebert Foundation Fellow, writer and consultant with a background in economics, passionate about decent work in supply chains.

Tasia Wagner	Institute for Islamic Strategic Affairs (IISA), programme advisor & advisor to Executive Director	Finland: sub-national	A passionate researcher with a strong background in international relations.
Tess de Rooij	University of Amsterdam	Belgium	I hold a BSc in Politics, Psychology, Law & Economics (politics major, cum laude) from the University of Amsterdam. I've worked as a guest teacher and campaigner, and I'm currently deciding where to pursue my master's next year - next to assisting in the CoronaNet Research Project!

Name	University	Country	Bio
Tess Martin	Sciences Po Paris	Micronesia	Tess Martin is an American undergraduate student currently pursuing her degree in Politics & Government at Sciences Po Paris.
Tilda Nilsson Gige	Sciences Po Paris	Libya	
Tom Seiler	University of Bremen	Denmark	
Tristan Brömsen	Zeppelin University	Ukraine	
Ursela Barteczko	Fernuniversität Hagen & Universität des Saarlandes	Chile, Uruguay	Enthusiastic student of Political Science, Sociology, Data Science and Artificial Intelligence.
Vellah Kedogo Kigwiru	Technical University of Munich	Kenya	A Doctoral Research Fellow at the Technical University of Munich, Hochschule für Politik and Guest Researcher at Marx Planck Institute für Innovation and Competition, Munich Germany.

Veronika Bartáková	London School of Economics and Political Science	Slovenia, United Kingdom	I am a student at the London School of Economics and Political Science, pursuing an MSc in Theory & History of International Relations. I am passionate about research, data, public policy and I am very excited to be a part of this project.
Victor Abuor	Kenyatta University	Zambia	A data-driven young professional passionate in research, data analysis and presentation.
Victoria Atanasov	Humboldt University Berlin, Rikkyo University Tokyo	Japan: sub-national	MA Gender Studies, Rikkyo University Tokyo/Humboldt University Berlin

Vida Han	Dual BA SciencesPo Paris / Columbia University	Burkina Faso	I am a student in the Dual BA program between SciencesPo and Columbia University who strongly believes in the power of kindness, and is interested in sustainability, development and management.
Vinayak Rajesekhar	Independent Researcher and Communications Professional	India	Vinayak is an independent communications professional based in New Delhi, India. He holds a masters degree in international security from the Paris School of International Affairs, SciencesPo in Paris, France.
Winrose Njuguna	Practising Advocate, Commercial & Corporate Law	Somalia, Sudan	
Xian Jin	Technical University of Munich	China	

| Yifei Zhu | FU Berlin | North Korea | I am a PhD student on political science and East Asia Studies at FU Berlin |

References

Boin, Arjen, Eric Stern, Bengt Sundelius, and others. 2016. *The Politics of Crisis Management: Public Leadership Under Pressure.* Cambridge University Press.

Dasgupta, Susmita, Benoit Laplante, Hua Wang, and David Wheeler. 2002. "Confronting the Environmental Kuznets Curve." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 16 (1): 147–68.

Kindleberger, Charles P, and Robert Z Aliber. 2011. *Manias, Panics and Crashes: A History of Financial Crises.* Palgrave Macmillan.

Kubinec, Robert. 2019. "Generalized Ideal Point Models for Time-Varying and Missing-Data Inference." *Open Science Foundation Preprints.* https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/8j2bt.

Longabaugh, William JR. 2012. "Combing the Hairball with Biofabric: A New Approach for Visualization of Large Networks." *BMC Bioinformatics* 13 (1): 275.

Nunn, Nathan. 2009. "The Importance of History for Economic Development." *Annu. Rev. Econ.* 1 (1): 65–92.

Pierson, Paul. 2000. "Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics." *American Political Science Review* 94 (2): 251–67.

Przeworski, Adam, Susan C Stokes, and Bernard Manin. 1999. *Democracy, Accountability, and Representation.* Vol. 2. Cambridge University Press.

Tierney, Kathleen J. 2007. "From the Margins to the Mainstream? Disaster Research at the Crossroads." *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 33: 503–25.