

# Week 5 Written Assignment

1. To show  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(x) dx = 1$ , since  $x$  run all over  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

$dx = d(x-\mu)$ , we can replace

$x-\mu$  by  $x$ .  $\Sigma$  is positive definite  $\iff$

$\Sigma^{-1}$  is positive definite, so we can replace

$\Sigma^{-1}$  by  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, it's sufficient to show

$$\sqrt{|\Sigma|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^\top \Sigma x} dx = \sqrt{(2\pi)^k} \dots \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Moreover, suppose  $\Sigma$  is symmetric, then

$\exists Q \in M_k(\mathbb{R})$  s.t  $\Sigma = Q^T Q$ , and therefore

$$|Q| = |Q^T| = \sqrt{|\Sigma|}. \quad \text{Let } y = Qx,$$

$$\sqrt{|\Sigma|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^\top \Sigma x} dx = \sqrt{|\Sigma|} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^\top y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Sigma|}} dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^\top y} dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k y_i^2} dy_1 \cdots dy_k$$

$$= \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \right)^k = \sqrt{2\pi}^k$$

2(a). Let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \text{trace}(AB) = C$ , then

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n a_{km} b_{mk} = b_{ji}, \text{ hence } C = B^T$$

$$2.(b) \text{trace}(x x^\top A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (x_i x_j) a_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) = x^\top A x$$

$$2.(c) L(\mu, \Sigma) = \prod_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_m - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_m - \mu)}$$

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \ln \left( \frac{-nk}{2\pi} \right) + \sum_{m=1}^n -\frac{1}{2} (x_m - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_m - \mu)$$

Solve  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = 0$  : let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k)$

$$x_m = (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mk}) \text{ for } m=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

ij - element of  $\Sigma^{-1}$   
 ↓

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k [(x_{mj} - \mu_j)(x_{mi} - \mu_i) \Sigma_{ij}^{-1}]$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_\alpha} \left( 2(x_{m\alpha} - \mu_\alpha) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \alpha}}^k (x_{mj} - \mu_j) \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} + (x_{m\alpha} - \mu_\alpha) \sum_{\alpha \neq j} \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n \left( -2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \alpha}}^k (x_{mj} - \mu_j) \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} + 2\mu_\alpha \sum_{\alpha \neq j} \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} - 2x_{m\alpha} \sum_{\alpha \neq j} \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n \left( 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k x_{mj} \Sigma_{\alpha j}^{-1} \right)$$

$$= 2n \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j \sum_{\alpha_j}^{-1} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \sum_{m=1}^n x_m j \right) \sum_{\alpha_j}^{-1} = 0$$

for  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \mu_j - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n x_m j \right) \sum_{\alpha_j}^{-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \dots, k$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \left( \mu - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n x_m \right) = 0 \quad \text{and } \sum^{-1} \text{ is invertible}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n x_m = 0,$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n x_m$$

Solve  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Sigma} = 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Sigma} = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma} \ln |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma} ((x_m - \mu)^T \sum^{-1} (x_m - \mu))$$

$$\Rightarrow n \frac{|\Sigma| (\Sigma^{-1})^T}{|\Sigma|} - \sum_{m=1}^n (\Sigma^{-1})^T (x_m - \mu) (x_m - \mu)^T (\Sigma^{-1})^T = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n (x_m - \mu) (x_m - \mu)^T$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n (X_m - \mu)(X_m - \mu)^T$$

3. 我發現第 1 題好像必須假設  $\Sigma$  是對稱的才有辦法證明？如果只有 positive definite 很容易可以構造反例，因為  $(X - \mu)^T \Sigma (X - \mu) = (X - \mu)^T E (X - \mu)$ ， $E = \frac{\Sigma + \Sigma^T}{2}$ ，但是  $|\Sigma|$  通常不等於  $|E|$ ，因此不假設  $\Sigma$  對稱的話  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f dx$  的值通常就會因選取不同的  $\Sigma$  而不同。從統計的角度來看， $\Sigma$  是共變異矩陣， $\Sigma$  要對稱好像也蠻符合直覺的。

