

Model	VLM	Additional Backbone	Training Dataset	A-847	PC-459	A-150	PC-59	PAS-20	PAS-20 <sup>b</sup>
OpenSeg [Ghiasi <i>et al.</i> , 2022]	ALIGN	ResNet-101	COCO Panoptic	4.4	7.9	17.5	40.1	-	63.8
OpenSeg [Ghiasi <i>et al.</i> , 2022]	ALIGN	Eff-B7	COCO Panoptic	8.1	11.5	26.4	44.8	-	70.2
ZegFormer [Ding <i>et al.</i> , 2022]	CLIP ViT-B/16	ResNet-101	COCO-Stuff	5.6	10.4	18.0	45.5	89.5	<u>65.5</u>
ZSSeg [Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2022]	CLIP ViT-B/16	ResNet-101	COCO-Stuff	7.0	-	20.5	47.7	88.4	-
OVSeg [Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2023]	CLIP ViT-B/16	ResNet-101c	COCO-Stuff	7.1	11.0	24.8	53.3	92.6	-
SAN [Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2023b]	CLIP ViT-B/16	-	COCO-Stuff	10.1	12.6	27.5	53.8	94.0	-
CAT-Seg [Cho <i>et al.</i> , 2023]	CLIP ViT-B/16	ResNet-101	COCO-Stuff	8.9	16.6	27.2	57.5	93.7	77.3
SCAN [Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2024]	CLIP ViT-B/16	ResNet-101	COCO-Stuff	10.8	13.2	30.8	<b>58.4</b>	<b>97.0</b>	-
EBSeg [Shan <i>et al.</i> , 2024]	CLIP ViT-B/16	SAM	COCO-Stuff	11.1	17.3	30.0	56.7	94.6	-
SED [Xie <i>et al.</i> , 2024b]	ConvNeXt-B	-	COCO-Stuff	<u>11.4</u>	<u>18.6</u>	<u>31.6</u>	57.3	94.4	-
<b>PAGSeg(Ours)</b>	CLIP ViT-B/16	-	COCO-Stuff	<b>12.4</b>	<b>18.9</b>	<b>32.1</b>	<u>57.8</u>	<u>95.0</u>	<b>78.2</b>
OVSeg [Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2023]	CLIP ViT-L/14	Swin-B	COCO-Stuff	9.0	12.4	29.6	55.7	94.5	-
SAN [Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2023b]	CLIP ViT-L/14	-	COCO-Stuff	12.4	15.7	32.1	57.7	94.6	-
ODISE [Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2023a]	CLIP ViT-L/14	Stable Diffusion	COCO-Stuff	11.1	14.5	29.9	57.3	-	-
CAT-Seg [Cho <i>et al.</i> , 2023]	CLIP ViT-L/14	Swin-B	COCO-Stuff	11.4	20.4	31.5	62.0	96.6	81.8
FC-CLIP [Yu <i>et al.</i> , 2023]	ConvNeXt-L	-	COCO Panoptic	<u>14.8</u>	18.2	34.1	58.4	95.4	<u>81.8</u>
SCAN [Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2024]	CLIP ViT-L/14	Swin-B	COCO-Stuff	14.0	16.7	33.5	59.3	<b>97.2</b>	-
EBSeg [Shan <i>et al.</i> , 2024]	CLIP ViT-L/14	SAM	COCO-Stuff	13.7	21.0	32.8	60.2	96.4	-
SED [Xie <i>et al.</i> , 2024b]	ConvNeXt-L	-	COCO-Stuff	13.9	<u>22.6</u>	<u>35.2</u>	<u>60.6</u>	96.1	-
<b>PAGSeg(Ours)</b>	CLIP ViT-L/14	-	COCO-Stuff	<b>16.2</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>38.1</b>	<b>62.9</b>	<u>97.1</u>	<b>82.3</b>

Table 1: **Performance comparison with state-of-the-art methods.** We perform experiments on six well-established open-vocabulary semantic segmentation benchmarks and use mIoU as the evaluation metric. The best-performing results are presented in bold, while the second-best results are underlined.