
# **Training**

Edward Prize recent







Sentiment Classifier



#### **Test**

15 hours ago:


Edward Prizw replied:



You know, this isn't the first time that "States Rights" has been used as a cover for radist policies. In fact, the whole "States Rights' thing has become a sort of code for heavy-handed racist policies, hasn't it? And it does provide a sort of contextual link with those heroic days when evil was confronted in places like Selma and Little Rock, doesn't it? Thanks for making that

### **Electronics**





~ 84.6%



# **Training**

**Electronics** 

thours ace-

RECHHIETH & replay



The issue here is probable cause. A police officer can question If he has probable cause, and he can document it. This law can be abused if being Latino is probable cause. That is license to harass for the police. As long as the law is applied fairly there should not be a problem. As far as documentation, blost states have laws that officens must carry valid state ID, and no one cares. There is no reason the Executive branch needed to get involved in what the Court should decide







**Sentiment** Classifier



### **Test**

10 hours ego



You know, this isn't the first time that "States Rights" has been used as a cover for radist policies. In fact, the whole "States Rights' thing has become a sort of code for heavy-handed racist policies, hasn't it? And it does provide a sort of contextual link with those heroic days when evil was confronted in places like Selma and Little Rock, doesn't it? Thanks for making that











