

PROBABILISTIC ROBOTICS: MOBILE ROBOT LOCALIZATION: GRID AND MONTE CARLO

Pierre-Paul TACHER

1

Let d the dimension of state space. For simplicity we assume the dimension d_1 of the measurement space satisfy $d_1 = O(d)$.

The EKF algorithm implies the computation of Jacobians and evaluations of functions whose complexities depend on the particular model. Thus we will ignore it here (we assume complexity is $O(1)$). The time complexity of EKF localization is dominated by the constant number of order d matrix multiplications and inversions; it can be shown ¹ the time complexity of such operation is the same, and current state of the art ² guarantees complexity $O(d^\omega)$, where $\omega < 2.3728639$. Thus this is also the time complexity of EKF algorithm. The memory complexity is $O(d^2)$.

Let's consider the grid algorithm; for now we use a common discretization resolution h for all axes and not dependant on d so that the number of grid cells is $O(h^d)$. The loop over the grid cells contains $O(h^d)$ additions / multiplications, hence a time complexity of $O(h^{2d})$. The memory complexity is $O(h^d)$.

The time or memory complexity of MCL is $O(M)$, where M is the number of particles. This number have to be scaled with d to represent accurately the probability distribution. We will roughly estimate this dependency: Let M_d an estimate of the number of particles needed to rep-

resent gaussian r.v. $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_d \end{bmatrix} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$. In dimension $d + 1$, let's estimate how many particles are

needed to represent gaussian r.v. $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_d \\ X_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, I_{d+1})$; for a fixed $x_{d+1} \in \mathbb{R}$, the d dimensional

gaussian r.v. $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_d \\ x_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$ conditioned on $(X_{d+1} = x_{d+1})$ needs approximately M_d particles with $n+1^{\text{th}}$ coordinate x_{d+1} to be represented. And we need to choose at least M_1 values of x_{d+1} to hope

to represent the gaussian marginals $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \\ X_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$. So we have $M_{d+1} \approx M_d \times M_1$ so that we end in exponential dependency $M_d \approx M_1^d$.

¹Cormen, Thomas H.; Leiserson, Charles E.; Rivest, Ronald L.; Stein, Clifford: *Introduction to Algorithms (3rd ed.)*, MIT Press and McGraw-Hill, Theorems 28.1 & 28.2(2009)

²Le Gall, François: *Powers of tensors and fast matrix multiplication*, Proceedings of the 39th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (2014)

2

The key point is that the r.v. Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k conditioned on x_t are assumed to be independant. The loop over the k measurements in EKF localization algorithm can be interpreted as simple iterations of the basic EKF where there is no motion between the measurement updates. We have,

$$p(x_t | z_1) = \eta p(z_1 | x_t) \times p(x_t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \llbracket 2, k \rrbracket, \quad p(x_t | z_{1:i+1}) &= \eta p(z_{i+1} | x_t, z_{1:i}) \times p(x_t | z_{1:i}) \\ &= \eta p(z_{i+1} | x_t) \times p(x_t | z_{1:i}) \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward induction shows that $\forall i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket$ the law of x_t conditioned on $z_{1:i}$ is gaussian; since it is known that the law of Z_i conditioned on x_t is gaussian $\hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(h(\bar{\mu}, j(i)) + H_{j(i)}(x_t - \bar{\mu}), Q_t)$, the mean and covariance matrices are computed by the relations already derived in the original Kalmann filter

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket, \quad K_i &= \Sigma_{i-1} H_{j(i)}^T (H_{j(i)} \Sigma_{i-1} H_{j(i)}^T + Q)^{-1} \\ \mu_i &= \mu_{i-1} + K_i (z_i - h(\bar{\mu}, j(i))) \\ \Sigma_i &= (1 - K_i H_{j(i)}) \Sigma_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_0 = \bar{\mu}$, $\Sigma_0 = \bar{\Sigma}$, $\mu_k = \mu$ and $\Sigma_k = \Sigma$. These are precisely the updates in the loop over measurements in the EKF localization algorithm.

3

Each $w_t^{[m]} = p(z_t | x_t^{[m]})$ can be seen as independant realization of the random variable $p(z_t | X_t)$, where X_t is the random variable giving the position of a particule at time t , conditioned on past actions and observations $z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}$. This r.v. has a finite expectancy given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(p(z_t | X_t)) &= \int_{\Omega} p(z_t | X_t) dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} p(z_t | X_t, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{p(X_t | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})}{p(X_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})} dP \\ &= p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{p(x_t | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})}{p(x_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})} p(x_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) dx_t \\ &= p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x_t | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) dx_t}_{=1} \\ &= p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) \end{aligned}$$

where we assumed $p(X_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) > 0$ almost surely. The strong law of large numbers³ shows that, almost surely,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^M w_t^{[m]} = p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})$$

³Durrett, Rick: *Probability: Theory and Examples (4th ed.)*, Cambridge University Press, Theorems 2.4.1(2013)