


Bedding plane-embedded augmented virtual internal bonds for fracture propagation simulation in shale

Zihan Liu , Zhennan Zhang , Ahmad Ghassemi

PII: S2095-0349(21)00060-X
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2021.100253>
Reference: TAML 100253



To appear in: *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters*

Received date: 5 March 2021
Revised date: 1 April 2021
Accepted date: 25 April 2021

Please cite this article as: Zihan Liu , Zhennan Zhang , Ahmad Ghassemi , Bedding plane-embedded augmented virtual internal bonds for fracture propagation simulation in shale, *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2021.100253>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Chinese Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Highlights

- Bedding plane is embedded into the constitutive model of augmented virtual internal bond.
- The BP-embedded AVIB can represent various Poisson ratio of rock.
- The BP-embedded AVIB can simulate the fracture propagation in transverse isotropic rock.

Journal Pre-proof

Bedding plane-embedded augmented virtual internal bonds for fracture propagation simulation in shale

Zihan Liu ^a, Zhennan Zhang ^{a*}, Ahmad Ghassemi ^b

^a Department of Civil Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China

^b Mewbourne School of Petroleum and Geological Engineering, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73091, USA

Abstract: To effectively simulate the fracture propagation in shale, the bedding plane (BP) effect is incorporated into the augmented virtual internal bond (AVIB) constitutive relation through BP tensor. Comparing the BP-embedded AVIB with the theory of transverse isotropy, it is found the approach can represent the anisotropic properties induced by parallel BPs. Through the simulation example, it is found that this method can simulate the stiffness anisotropy of shale and can represent the effect of BPs on hydraulic fracture propagation direction. Compared with the BP-embedded VIB, this method can account for the various Poisson's ratio. It provides a feasible approach to simulate the fracture propagation in shale.

Key words: shale; bedding plane; constitutive model; hydraulic fracture; augmented virtual internal bond

* Corresponding author.

Email address: zhennanzhang@sjtu.edu.cn

The rock with parallel bedding planes (BP) is quite popular in practical engineering. These BPs make the rock transverse isotropic and significantly affect the fracture propagation in such rock. So far there are generally two approaches to consider the BP, namely the discrete and the continuum approach. In the discrete approach, the BP is individually considered in the numerical model. For instance, Zhao et al. [1] modeled BP by contact interfaces. Li and Zhang [2] considered the BP effect in cohesive finite element method by assigning the interfaces parallel to BP with different parameters. In the discrete approach, the BP can be individually accounted through a straightforward manner. However, it is hard to handle the massive BPs by the discrete approach since it usually involves a lot of degrees of freedom.

In the continuum approach, the BP is accounted through the constitutive relation. For instance, Li et al. [3] coupled a cylindrical microplane system with the classical spherical microplane one [4] together to reflect the transverse isotropy induced by BPs. This method is efficient to represent the high degree of anisotropy of shale. Nguyen and Le [5] developed a microstructure tensor-based method to formulate the anisotropic rock. Compared with the discrete approach, the continuum one has great advantage in reducing degree of freedom. Thus, it is easy to handle the massive BPs. But the continuum method has some limitations in fracture simulation in that it needs a separate fracture criterion to predict fracture propagation. It is hard to find a suitable fracture criterion for the anisotropic rock.

Recently, Zhang et al. [6] proposed a new approach to model the shale with consideration of BPs in the virtual internal bond (VIB) model [7]. In this method, a BP tensor is defined to quantify the BP distribution. To account for the BP effect, the bond distribution density is associated with the BP tensor. However, in this work, the Poisson's ratio is fixed. In this letter, we incorporate the BP tensor into the augmented virtual internal bond (AVIB) model [8] in order to improve model accuracy of VIB. The BP-embedded AVIB can handle the massive BPs through constitutive relation and can avoid the separate fracture criterion in fracture simulation.

The AVIB [8] is a micro-macro constitutive model that stems from the VIB [7]. The representative element volume (REV) of VIB consists of bond network on the micro scale (Fig. 1a). In AVIB, the Xu-Needleman potential [9] is adopted to quantify the strain energy of a bond, which reads

$$U(\Delta_n, \Delta_t) = \phi_n - \phi_n \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_n}{\delta_n}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\Delta_n}{\delta_n}\right] \left[1 - q + q \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_t^2}{\delta_t^2}\right)\right], \quad (1)$$

where Δ_n, Δ_t are the normal and tangent deformation of a bond, respectively; δ_n and δ_t are the characteristic lengths for the normal and tangential deformation, $\delta_n = \delta_t = \tilde{\varepsilon}_t l_0$; $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t$ is the strain of bond at the peak uniaxial tensile force, usually taking $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t \approx \varepsilon_t$ with ε_t being the strain value at the peak stress of the uniaxial tension stress-strain curve of material; ϕ_n stands for the energy related to the normal bond deformation and q the energy ratio of the normal bond deformation to the tangent one. Their relationship with the macro constants is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &= \frac{V \delta_n^2}{l_0^2} \cdot \frac{3E}{4\pi(1-2\nu)}, \\ q &= \frac{\delta_t^2}{\delta_n^2} \cdot \frac{(1-4\nu)}{2(1+\nu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where E is the Young's modulus, ν the Poisson's ratio, l_0 the undeformed bond length and V the volume of REV.

According to the Cauchy-Born rule [10], the bond deformations (shown in Fig. 1b) are associated with the macro strain tensor by

$$\Delta_n = \xi^T \varepsilon \xi l_0, \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta_t^2 = \left[\xi^T \varepsilon^T \varepsilon \xi - (\xi^T \varepsilon \xi)^2 \right] l_0^2,$$

where ε is the strain tensor of the REV and ξ the bond orientation vector, $\xi = [\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta]^T$ in the spherical coordinate system (Fig. 1b); the superscript ' T ' means the transpose.

With Eq.(1–3), the stress tensor of AVIB is derived as

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{V} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi U(\Delta_n, \Delta_t) \cdot D(\theta, \varphi) \cdot \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi, \quad (4)$$

and the tangent modulus tensor is

$$K_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{V} \cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij} \partial \varepsilon_{kl}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi U(\Delta_n, \Delta_t) \cdot D(\theta, \varphi) \cdot \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi, \quad (5)$$

where $D(\theta, \varphi)$ is the bond distribution density in terms of the spherical coordinates θ and φ .




Fig.1. AVIB model (a) representative element volume of AVIB; (b) a bond in the spherical coordinate system.

For a shale which contains numerous BPs, shown in Fig. 2, Zhang et al. [6] have established the BP tensor to quantify BP. That is

$$\Omega = \mathbf{Q}^T \bar{\Omega} \mathbf{Q}, \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{\Omega}$ is the local BP tensor in the local coordinate of BP, $\bar{\Omega} = \text{Diag}(0, 0, \omega)$. ω is a parameter varying from 0 to 1, which reflects the weakening degree of shale stiffness in the normal bedding direction. \mathbf{Q} is the global-to-local coordinate transformation matrix

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos H & -\sin H & 0 \\ \sin H \cos R & \cos H \cos R & -\sin R \\ \sin H \sin R & \cos H \sin R & \cos R \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where the H being the azimuth and R the dip angle of BP.

In Ref. [6], the bond distribution density in the direction ξ is associated with the BP tensor through

$$D(\xi) = 1 - \lambda \omega(\xi) = 1 - \lambda \xi^T \Omega \xi. \quad (8)$$

In this letter, the bond distribution density of AVIB is quantified by the same manner. Thus, the constitutive relation of BP-embedded AVIB is

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \left[\frac{1}{V} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} U(\Delta_n, \Delta_t) (1 - \lambda \xi^T \Omega \xi) \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi \right], \quad (9)$$

where λ is a coefficient to be calibrated in the following context.




Fig.2. Bedding planes of shale (a) shale sample; (b) BPs in local coordinate system.

To what degree that the BP-embedded AVIB can represent a transverse isotropic solid (TIS) is a key point. It will be discussed in the following.

In the linear elasticity theory, the elastic matrix \mathbf{C} of TIS is defined through $[\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \sigma_{33}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{23}, \sigma_{31}]^T = \mathbf{C} [\varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{22}, \varepsilon_{33}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{31}]^T$. The elastic matrix is expressed as

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & C_1 & C_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & C_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Symmetric} & & & (C_1 - C_2)/2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & C_5 & 0 \\ & & & & & C_5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

whose components are

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{(1 - \alpha \beta^2 \nu_p^2) E_p}{(1 + \nu_p)(1 - \nu_p - 2\alpha \beta^2 \nu_p^2)}, & C_2 &= \frac{\nu_p (1 + \alpha \beta^2 \nu_p) E_p}{(1 + \nu_p)(1 - \nu_p - 2\alpha \beta^2 \nu_p^2)}, \\ C_3 &= \frac{\alpha \beta \nu_p E_p}{1 - \nu_p - 2\alpha \beta^2 \nu_p^2}, & C_4 &= \frac{\alpha (1 - \nu_p) E_p}{1 - \nu_p - 2\alpha \beta^2 \nu_p^2}, & C_5 &= \frac{\kappa E_p}{2(1 + \nu_p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In these components, $\alpha = E_z/E_p$, $\beta = \nu_{pz}/\nu_p$ and $\kappa = G_{zp}/G_p$, where E_p and ν_p are the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio in the x-y symmetry plane, respectively; E_{pz} and ν_{pz} are those in the z-direction, respectively; G_{zp} is the shear modulus in the z-direction. For the TIS solid, the relationship $\nu_{zp}/E_z = \nu_{pz}/E_p$ holds, so $\nu_{zp} = \alpha \beta \nu_p$. Therefore, the TIS solid has five independent parameters, i.e., $\{E_p, \nu_p, \alpha, \beta, \kappa\}$.

According to Eq. (5), the tangent modulus of AVIB can be derived as $K_{ijkl} = \partial \sigma_{ij} / \partial \varepsilon_{kl}$. At the initial state, i.e., $\varepsilon = 0$, the tangent modulus is

$$K_{ijkl} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left[(A - B) \xi_i \xi_j \xi_k \xi_l + B \delta_{ik} \xi_j \xi_l \right] (1 - \lambda \xi^T \mathbf{\Omega} \xi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{3E}{4\pi(1 - 2\nu)}, \\ B &= \frac{3E(1 - 4\nu)}{4\pi(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By integrating Eq. (12), the elastic matrix \mathbf{D} of AVIB is obtained

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & D_1 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & D_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & \text{Symmetric} & & (D_1 - D_2)/2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & D_5 & 0 \\ & & & & & D_5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

whose components are

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \frac{4\pi(21A + 14B - 3A\lambda\omega - 4B\lambda\omega)}{105V}, & D_2 &= \frac{4\pi(B - A)(\lambda\omega - 7)}{105V}, \\ D_3 &= \frac{4\pi(B - A)(3\lambda\omega - 7)}{105V}, & D_4 &= \frac{4\pi(21A + 14B - 15A\lambda\omega - 6B\lambda\omega)}{105V}, \\ D_5 &= \frac{2\pi(14A + 21B - 6A\lambda\omega - 15B\lambda\omega)}{105V}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Due to the effect of BP, the weakening degree in the normal direction of BP can be characterized by D_4/D_1 . In the limit case of $\omega = 0$, $D_4/D_1 = 1$ holds while in the limit case of $\omega = 1$, $D_4/D_1 = 0$ holds. Therefore, the coefficient λ is derived as

$$\lambda = \frac{35(1 - \nu)}{3(7 - 3\nu)}. \quad (16)$$

In Eq. (16), when $\nu = 0.25$, AVIB is reduced to VIB. Correspondingly, $\lambda|_{\nu=0.25} = 1.4$, which is consistent with that of Ref. [6].

Through the comparison between the two elastic matrix, i.e., Eq. (10) and Eq. (14), we can recognize how the AVIB can represent a TIS. At first, the most important feature of the TIS matrix lies in its structure, i.e., $\bar{C}_{11} = \bar{C}_{22}$, $\bar{C}_{13} = \bar{C}_{23}$, $\bar{C}_{44} = (\bar{C}_{11} - \bar{C}_{12})/2$, $\bar{C}_{55} = \bar{C}_{66}$. From Eq. (14), it is seen that the AVIB possesses the same structural feature.

Next, the diagonal components will be compared. According to the physical meaning of α and ω , we have the following relationship

$$\alpha = 1 - \omega. \quad (17)$$

The stiffness deterioration in the normal direction of BP in AVIB can be expressed by

$$\frac{D_4}{D_1} = \frac{(9\nu - 21)(1 - \omega)}{9\nu + 7\omega - 13\nu\omega - 21} \quad (18)$$

while that in TIS is

$$\frac{C_4}{C_1} = \frac{(1 - \omega)(1 - \nu^2)}{\beta^2(1 - \omega)\nu^2 - 1}. \quad (19)$$

According to Eqs. (18) and (19), the stiffness deterioration is shown in Fig. 3. It is seen that although Eq. (18) is quite different from Eq. (19) in form, they almost linearly decreases from 1 to 0 with ω increasing. That is, the AVIB and TIS present the same trend.




Fig. 3. Stiffness deterioration in the normal direction of BP (a) AVIB; (b) TIS ($\beta=1.0$).

Let D_{i0} and C_{i0} denote the initial value of D_i and C_i corresponding to $\omega = 0$. The influence of BP on the stiffness in orthogonal direction, i.e., D_1 / D_{10} and C_1 / C_{10} , is shown in Fig. 4. It is found that the influence of BP on the orthogonal stiffness in AVIB is close to TIS.




Fig.4. Influence of BP on the orthogonal stiffness.

In TIS, κ is the ratio of shear modulus in the z-direction to the x-y symmetry plane, which reflects the deterioration degree of shear modulus with BP. Analogous, in AVIB, κ is defined as $\kappa = D_5 / G_{iso}$, where G_{iso} denotes the shear modulus of isotropic solid, i.e., $G_{iso} = E / [2(1 + \nu)]$. Figure 5 shows the variation of κ with ω . It is seen that κ decreases from 1.0 to about 0.52 with ω increasing from 0 to 0.5. Hou et al. [11] reported that the κ is about 0.58, which falls into the deterioration scope shown in Fig. 5.





Fig.5. Influence of BP on shear modulus.

Fig.6. Off-diagonal element variation with BP (a) D_2 / D_{20} and C_2 / C_{20} ; (b) D_3 / D_{30} and C_3 / C_{30} .

The D_2 , C_2 and D_3 , C_3 variation with BP are shown in Fig. 6. It is seen that the deterioration degrees of off-diagonal components in AVIB and TIS are close.

TIS theory has five independent parameters, i.e. $\{E, \nu, \omega, \beta, \kappa\}$, while AVIB has three, i.e. $\{E, \nu, \omega\}$. So, AVIB is simpler than the TIS theory although AVIB is not as accurate as TIS in shale description. Compared with the VIB [6], AVIB can account for the Poisson's ratio. Therefore, it is more accurate than VIB.

In order to examine whether the BP-embedded AVIB can reflect the shale anisotropy induced by BPs, the following simulation examples are conducted. The simulation object is a cubic body with inclined BPs. The simulation parameters are as follows: $\omega = 0.5$, $\rho = 2,400 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $E = 40.0 \text{ GPa}$, $\varepsilon_f = 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$.

The comparison between the simulated and the experimental results [12, 13] is shown in Fig. 7. It is seen that the simulation results are in agreement with the tested ones. So, the present model can capture the anisotropy of shale induced by BPs.




Fig.7. Comparison between the simulated and experimental results (a) the simulated and the tested stiffness reported in Ref. [12]; (b) the simulated and tested tensile strength reported in Ref.[13].

To examine how the BPs impact the hydraulic fracture, the following cases are simulated. Fig. 8 shows the simulation object, a cubic body with a preset penny-shaped crack. The size of the simulation object is $1.0 \text{ m} \times 1.0 \text{ m} \times 1.0 \text{ m}$ while the radius of the preset crack is 0.2 m . We set the strike of BP aligning with y-axis and the dip angle R . The principal BP value is $\omega = 0.5$. The simulation is conducted in three cases. In Case-1, there is no BP as the reference case. In Case-2 and Case-3, the dip angle of BP is respectively 30 and 60 degrees. To simulate the fracture propagation, the 3D-EPM [14] is employed here. The simulation parameters are: $\rho = 2,400 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $E = 40.0 \text{ GPa}$ and $\nu = 0.25$; flux $= 0.15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and $\mu = 0.05 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$. The fluid is injected into the preset crack at a constant flux. In addition, to exclude the impact of in-situ stress, the isotropic in-situ stress are set, which are $S_x = S_y = S_z = 5 \text{ MPa}$.




Fig.8. Simulation object of hydraulic fracture (The red plane represents a bedding plane in the rock).

The simulation results of the reference case are shown in Fig. 9a. It is seen that the hydraulic fracture propagates in the horizontal direction, which is reasonable in the isotropic in-situ stresses condition with no BPs. When we set BP at dip angle of 30° , as illustrated in Fig. 9b, the hydraulic fracture no longer propagates along the horizontal direction, instead, approaching to the bedding direction. As the BP angle further increased to 60° , shown in Fig. 9c, the hydraulic crack deflects upward more obviously. These suggest that the BP has significant impact on the hydraulic fracture growth direction. Such influence agrees with the observation in the experiment reported in [15]. Comparing the simulated wellbore pressures in the three cases (Fig. 10), it is found that the peak wellbore pressure with BP is much lower than that without BP. This is due to the weakening effect of BPs.

a




Fig.9. Simulation results of hydraulic fracture. (a) Case-1; (b) Case-2; (c) Case-3.




Fig.10. Simulated wellbore pressure versus time.

The bedding plane effect is incorporated into the AVIB through the bedding tensor. By comparison between the BP-embedded AVIB and the transverse isotropic theory, it suggests that such AVIB model can capture the transverse isotropy in theory. The simulation results suggest that this method can simulate the anisotropy of shale induced by BP and it can represent the effect of BP on hydraulic fracture propagation direction in shale. This study provides a new constitutive relation approach to simulate fracture propagation in rock with consideration of bedding plane effect.

Acknowledge

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11772190), which is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] J. Zhao, Y. Zhang, P.G. Ranjith, Numerical simulation of blasting-induced fracture expansion in coal masses, *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences* 100 (2017) 28-39.
- [2] C. Li, Z. Zhang, Modeling shale with consideration of bedding planes by cohesive finite element method, *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters* 9 (6) (2019) 397-402.
- [3] C. Li, F. C. Caner, V. T. Chau, et al., Spherocylindrical microplane constitutive model for shale and other anisotropic rocks, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 103 (2017) 155-178.
- [4] Z. P. Bažant, B. H. Oh, Microplane model for progressive fracture of concrete and rock, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics* 111 (1985) 559-582.
- [5] T. S. Nguyen, A. D. Le, Development of a constitutive model for a bedded argillaceous rock from triaxial and true triaxial tests, *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* 52 (2015) 1072-1086.
- [6] Z. Zhang, Z. Liu, A. Ghassemi, Constitutive modeling of shale with consideration of bedding plane effect by virtual internal bonds, (Submitted, under review 2021)
- [7] H. Gao, P. Klein, Numerical simulation of crack growth in an isotropic solid with randomized internal cohesive bonds, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 46 (1998) 187-218.
- [8] Z. Zhang, H. Gao, Simulating fracture propagation in rock and concrete by an augmented virtual internal bond method, *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics* 36 (2012) 459-482.
- [9] X. P. Xu, A. Needleman, Numerical simulations of fast crack growth in brittle solids, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 42 (1994) 111-132.
- [10] E. B. Tadmor, M. Ortiz, R. Phillips, Quasicontinuum analysis of defects in solids, *Philosophical Magazine A* 73 (1996) 1529-1563.
- [11] P. Hou, F. Gao, Z. Zhang, B et al., Mechanical property and bedding inclination effect on gas fracturing of black shale, *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering* 35 (2016) 670-681. (in Chinese)
- [12] C. Gao, L. Z. Xie, H. P. Xie, et al., Estimation of the equivalent elastic modulus in shale

- formation: theoretical model and experiment, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering* 151 (2017) 468-479.
- [13] X. Tan, H. Konietzky, T. Frühwirth, et al., Brazilian tests on transversely isotropic rocks: laboratory testing and numerical simulations, *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering* 48 (2015) 1341-1351.
- [14] Z. Zhang, D. Wang, X. Ge, et al., Three-dimensional element partition method for fracture simulation, *International Journal of Geomechanics* 16 (2016) 4015074.
- [15] C. Lin, J. He, X. Li, et al., An experimental investigation into the effects of the anisotropy of shale on hydraulic fracture propagation, *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering* 50 (2017) 543-554.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.