# Stationary Distributions for Markov Chains

Sinho Chewi

Spring 2017

University of California, Berkeley

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

What about infinite chains?

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

What about infinite chains? Complicated.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

What about infinite chains? Complicated. We need more subtle notions of recurrence.

1

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

What about infinite chains? Complicated. We need more subtle notions of recurrence. **Not tested!** 

1

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

What about infinite chains? Complicated. We need more subtle notions of recurrence. **Not tested!** These slides are for your enrichment.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: A finite, irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain converges to its unique stationary distribution.

What about infinite chains? Complicated. We need more subtle notions of recurrence. **Not tested!**These slides are for your enrichment. (Also, to help you with the homework.)

1

x and y are states.

x and y are states.

Notation:

x and y are states.

**Notation**:  $\rho_{x,y}$  is the probability of eventually reaching y from x.

x and y are states.

**Notation**:  $\rho_{x,y}$  is the probability of eventually reaching y from x.

 $\mathbb{E}_{x}$  is the expectation starting from state x.

x and y are states.

x and y are states.

x and y are states.

**Notation**:  $\rho_{x,y}$  is the probability of eventually reaching y from x.  $\mathbb{E}_x$  is the expectation starting from state x. N(y) is the number of visits to y:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1\{X_n = y\}$ .

x is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$ 

x and y are states.

**Notation**:  $\rho_{x,y}$  is the probability of eventually reaching y from x.  $\mathbb{E}_x$  is the expectation starting from state x. N(y) is the number of visits to y:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1\{X_n = y\}$ .

x is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)

x and y are states.

$$x$$
 is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)  $\iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] = \infty$ 

x and y are states.

$$x$$
 is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)  $\iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] = \infty$  (visit infinitely often)

x and y are states.

$$x$$
 is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)  $\iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] = \infty$  (visit infinitely often)

x and y are states.

$$x$$
 is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)  $\iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] = \infty$  (visit infinitely often)

$$x$$
 is transient  $\iff \rho_{x,x} < 1 \iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] < \infty$ 

x and y are states.

**Notation**:  $\rho_{x,y}$  is the probability of eventually reaching y from x.  $\mathbb{E}_x$  is the expectation starting from state x. N(y) is the number of visits to y:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1\{X_n = y\}$ .

$$x$$
 is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)  $\iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] = \infty$  (visit infinitely often)

$$x$$
 is transient  $\iff \rho_{X,X} < 1 \iff \mathbb{E}_X[N(X)] < \infty$ 

In fact, in the transient case,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}[N(x)] = \frac{\rho_{x,x}}{1 - \rho_{x,x}}, \qquad \mathbb{E}_{x}[N(y)] = \frac{\rho_{x,y}}{1 - \rho_{y,y}}.$$

x and y are states.

**Notation**:  $\rho_{x,y}$  is the probability of eventually reaching y from x.  $\mathbb{E}_x$  is the expectation starting from state x. N(y) is the number of visits to y:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1\{X_n = y\}$ .

$$x$$
 is recurrent  $\iff \rho_{x,x} = 1$  (guaranteed to return)  $\iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] = \infty$  (visit infinitely often)

$$x$$
 is transient  $\iff \rho_{x,x} < 1 \iff \mathbb{E}_x[N(x)] < \infty$ 

In fact, in the transient case,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}[N(x)] = \frac{\rho_{x,x}}{1 - \rho_{x,x}}, \qquad \mathbb{E}_{x}[N(y)] = \frac{\rho_{x,y}}{1 - \rho_{y,y}}.$$

Observe that 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[N(\mathbf{x})] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{x}}(X_n = \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}).$$

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes.

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components.

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

Recurrence is a class property.

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

Recurrence is a class property. In a communicating class,

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

**Recurrence is a class property.** In a communicating class, all states are transient,

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

Recurrence is a class property. In a communicating class, all states are transient, all states are null-recurrent,

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

**Recurrence is a class property.** In a communicating class, all states are transient, all states are null-recurrent, or all states are positive-recurrent.

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

**Recurrence is a class property.** In a communicating class, all states are transient, all states are null-recurrent, or all states are positive-recurrent.

We defined "recurrence" for a particular state,

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

**Recurrence is a class property.** In a communicating class, all states are transient, all states are null-recurrent, or all states are positive-recurrent.

We defined "recurrence" for a particular state, but we will refer to an entire irreducible Markov chain as "recurrent".

Any Markov chain can be decomposed into communicating classes. Graph terminology: strongly connected components. Irreducible means only one communicating class.

**Recurrence is a class property.** In a communicating class, all states are transient, all states are null-recurrent, or all states are positive-recurrent.

We defined "recurrence" for a particular state, but we will refer to an entire irreducible Markov chain as "recurrent".

(Aside: The period of a state is a class property.)

### Null-Recurrence and Positive-Recurrence

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is an stationary measure.

 $\mu$  is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because  $\mu {\it P}=\mu.$  "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.)

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu
```

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling)
```

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space.
```

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space. T_X is the first passage time to X.
```

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) \mu is the state space. \mu is the first passage time to \mu.
```

• 
$$\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$$
.

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) \mu is the state space. \mu is the first passage time to \mu.
```

•  $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$ . Null-recurrent case.

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space. T_x is the first passage time to x.
```

- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$ . Null-recurrent case.  $\mathbb{E}_{x}[T_{x}] = \infty$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) < \infty$ .

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space. T_x is the first passage time to x.
```

- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$ . Null-recurrent case.  $\mathbb{E}_x[T_x] = \infty$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) < \infty$ . Positive-recurrent case.

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space. T_x is the first passage time to x.
```

- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$ . Null-recurrent case.  $\mathbb{E}_x[T_x] = \infty$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) < \infty$ . Positive-recurrent case. (Theorem: Positive-recurrent implies there exists a stationary distribution  $\pi$ .

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space. T_x is the first passage time to x.
```

- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$ . Null-recurrent case.  $\mathbb{E}_x[T_x] = \infty$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) < \infty$ . Positive-recurrent case. (Theorem: Positive-recurrent implies there exists a stationary distribution  $\pi$ . Scale  $\mu$ .)

```
\mu is an stationary measure. ("Stationary" because \mu P = \mu. "Measure" because the entries might not add to 1.) irreducible + recurrent \implies existence of \mu (and \mu is unique up to scaling) S is the state space. T_x is the first passage time to x.
```

- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) = \infty$ . Null-recurrent case.  $\mathbb{E}_x[T_x] = \infty$  for all  $x \in S$ .
- $\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) < \infty$ . Positive-recurrent case. (Theorem: Positive-recurrent implies there exists a stationary distribution  $\pi$ . Scale  $\mu$ .)  $\mathbb{E}_x[T_x] < \infty$  for all  $x \in S$ .

*Intuition*: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent.

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

**Markov Chain Convergence Theorem**: If the chain is irreducible,

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

**Markov Chain Convergence Theorem**: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic,

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent,

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent, then for any initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , the distribution of  $X_n$  will converge to  $\pi$ .

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent, then for any initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , the distribution of  $X_n$  will converge to  $\pi$ . Note: Finite irreducible chains are always positive-recurrent.

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent, then for any initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , the distribution of  $X_n$  will converge to  $\pi$ . Note: Finite irreducible chains are always positive-recurrent. Null-recurrent case?

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent, then for any initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , the distribution of  $X_n$  will converge to  $\pi$ . Note: Finite irreducible chains are always positive-recurrent. Null-recurrent case?  $\pi_n$  is the distribution at time n.

5

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent, then for any initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , the distribution of  $X_n$  will converge to  $\pi$ . Note: Finite irreducible chains are always positive-recurrent. Null-recurrent case?

 $\pi_n$  is the distribution at time n. If the chain is irreducible and not recurrent, then  $\pi_n(x) \to 0$  for every  $x \in S$ .

Intuition: Many interesting Markov chains have a regime in which the chain is transient, and a regime in which the chain is positive-recurrent. There is a narrow boundary of null-recurrence.

Markov Chain Convergence Theorem: If the chain is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive-recurrent, then for any initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , the distribution of  $X_n$  will converge to  $\pi$ . Note: Finite irreducible chains are always positive-recurrent. Null-recurrent case?

 $\pi_n$  is the distribution at time n. If the chain is irreducible and not recurrent, then  $\pi_n(x) \to 0$  for every  $x \in S$ .

Fact:  $\pi$  exists  $\iff$  positive-recurrent.

 $N_t(x)$  is the number of visits to x by time t.

 $N_t(x)$  is the number of visits to x by time t.  $N_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^t 1\{X_n = x\}.$ 

 $N_t(x)$  is the number of visits to x by time t.

$$N_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^t 1\{X_n = x\}.$$

Irreducible and positive-recurrent implies

$$rac{1}{t}N_t(x) o \pi(x)$$
 almost surely, as  $t o \infty$ .

 $N_t(x)$  is the number of visits to x by time t.

$$N_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^t 1\{X_n = x\}.$$

Irreducible and positive-recurrent implies

$$\frac{1}{t}N_t(x) \to \pi(x)$$
 almost surely, as  $t \to \infty$ .

Another way to view the stationary distribution:

 $N_t(x)$  is the number of visits to x by time t.

$$N_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^t 1\{X_n = x\}.$$

Irreducible and positive-recurrent implies

$$\frac{1}{t}N_t(x) \to \pi(x)$$
 almost surely, as  $t \to \infty$ .

Another way to view the stationary distribution:

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_x[T_x]} \quad \forall x \in S.$$

6

 $N_t(x)$  is the number of visits to x by time t.

$$N_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^t 1\{X_n = x\}.$$

Irreducible and positive-recurrent implies

$$\frac{1}{t}N_t(x) \to \pi(x)$$
 almost surely, as  $t \to \infty$ .

Another way to view the stationary distribution:

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_x[T_x]} \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Not immediately obvious!

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

The CTMC is irreducible if and only if the induced DTMC is irreducible.

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

The CTMC is irreducible if and only if the induced DTMC is irreducible. Induced DTMC is the CTMC when you ignore the transition time.

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

The CTMC is irreducible if and only if the induced DTMC is irreducible. Induced DTMC is the CTMC when you ignore the transition time.

Notable exception:

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

The CTMC is irreducible if and only if the induced DTMC is irreducible. Induced DTMC is the CTMC when you ignore the transition time.

Notable exception:

$$N(x) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t 1\{X(s) = x\} ds.$$

Analog of N(x) for DTMCs.

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

The CTMC is irreducible if and only if the induced DTMC is irreducible. Induced DTMC is the CTMC when you ignore the transition time.

Notable exception:

$$N(x) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t 1\{X(s) = x\} ds.$$

Analog of N(x) for DTMCs. Now, one has

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{Q(x, x)\mathbb{E}_x[T_x]}$$
 almost surely,

where Q(x,x) is the transition rate out of state x and  $T_x$  is the (continuous) time to return to x.

...are basically the same as discrete-time Markov chains, with regards to these concepts.

The CTMC is irreducible if and only if the induced DTMC is irreducible. Induced DTMC is the CTMC when you ignore the transition time.

Notable exception:

$$N(x) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t 1\{X(s) = x\} ds.$$

Analog of N(x) for DTMCs. Now, one has

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{Q(x, x)\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[T_{\mathbf{x}}]}$$
 almost surely,

where Q(x,x) is the transition rate out of state x and  $T_x$  is the (continuous) time to return to x. Not trivial to calculate  $P_t(x,y)$ .