Ridge Regression

Problem:

Generate a predictor vector \mathbf{X} of length $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{100}$ (random vector \mathbf{X}), as well as a noise vector $\mathbf{\varepsilon}$ of length $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{100}$. Generate a response vector \mathbf{Y} of length $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{100}$ according to the following model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 X_i^2 + \beta_3 X_i^3 + \epsilon_i$$

where:

- $\beta_0 = 50$
- $\beta_1 = 10$
- $\beta_2 = -20$
- $\beta_3 = 0.1$

Perform ridge regression using X, X^2 , X^3 , and X^4 as predictors. Choose any two different values of λ (different from 0 and ∞). With each λ , perform ridge regression both with and without standardizing the predictors. Then, compare the results.

Note: No built-in functions are allowed.

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
In [2]: # set random seed for reproducibility
        np.random.seed(6)
        # define parameters
        n = 100
        x = np.random.rand(n)
        epsilon = np.random.normal(0, 1, n)
        b0 = 50
        b1 = 10
        b2 = -20
        b3 = 0.1
In [3]: # standardizing the data
        x_mean = np.mean(x)
        x_std = np.std(x)
        x_standardized = (x - x_mean) / x_std
In [4]: # generate Y
        y = b0 + b1*x + b2*x**2 + b3*x**3 + epsilon
In [5]: def ridge_regression(X, y, lmd):
            n, p = X.shape
            I = np.eye(p)
            beta_hat = np.linalg.inv(X.T @ X + lmd * I) @ X.T @ y
            return beta hat
```

```
In [6]: # create X matrix
        X = np.column_stack((np.ones(n), x, x**2, x**3, x**4))
        X_standardized = np.column_stack((np.ones(n), x_standardized, x_standardized**2, x_standardized)
In [7]: lmd0, lmd1 = 0.1, 0.01
In [8]: # fit the model
        beta hat = ridge regression(X, y, lmd0)
        # predict and calculate the mean squared error
        y_hat = X @ beta_hat
        mse = np.mean((y - y_hat)**2)
        print(f"Not standardized & lambda = {lmd0}, MSE = {mse}")
        beta_hat1 = ridge_regression(X, y, lmd1)
        y_hat1 = X @ beta_hat1
        mse1 = np.mean((y - y_hat1)**2)
         print(f"Not standardized & lambda = {lmd1}, MSE = {mse1}")
        beta_hat_ = ridge_regression(X_standardized, y, lmd0)
        y_hat_ = X_standardized @ beta_hat_
        mse_ = np.mean((y - y_hat_)**2)
         print(f"Standardized & lambda = {lmd0}, MSE = {mse_}")
        beta_hat1_ = ridge_regression(X_standardized, y, lmd1)
        y_hat1_ = X_standardized @ beta_hat1_
        mse1_ = np.mean((y - y_hat1_)**2)
        print(f"Standardized & lambda = {lmd1}, MSE = {mse1_}")
        Not standardized & lambda = 0.1, MSE = 0.794941936608605
        Not standardized & lambda = 0.01, MSE = 0.7387468119546439
        Standardized & lambda = 0.1, MSE = 0.7420973167905006
        Standardized & lambda = 0.01, MSE = 0.7329252504766618
```

Conclusion

- 1. With both λ 0.1 and 0.01, the mse after standardizing X has dropped, indicating an improved perforance of ridge regression with standarization.
- 2. By dropping λ from 0.1 to 0.01, the mse decreased, indicating a lower penalty on coefficients with less regularization, introducing smaller bias.

Lasso Regression

Problem:

Use the dataset you generated in Problem 1 and fit the model for the same set of predictors using **Lasso regression**.

Choose any two different values of λ (different from 0 and ∞).

With each λ , perform **Lasso regression** without standardizing the predictors. Then perform **Lasso regression** standardizing the predictors.

Questions:

What can you conclude from these experiments?

Note: No built-in functions are allowed.

```
In [9]: X.shape, y.shape, X_standardized.shape
         ((100, 5), (100,), (100, 5))
Out[9]:
In [10]:
         class lasso_reg:
              def __init__(self, lmd, tol=1e-6, max_iter=1000):
                  self.lmd = lmd
                  self.tol = tol
                  self.max_iter = max_iter
                  self.coef_ = None
              def fit(self, X, Y):
                  n, p = X.shape
                  b = np.zeros(p)
                  b_old = np.zeros(p)
                  X_t_X = X_T @ X
                  for _ in range(self.max_iter):
                      for j in range(p):
                          # compute the partial residual
                          residual = Y - X @ b + X[:, j] * b[j]
                          # update coefficient using soft-thresholding
                          rho = X[:, j].T @ residual
                          b[j] = self._soft_threshold(rho / X_t_X[j, j], self.lmd)
                      # early stop if converge
                      if np.linalg.norm(b - b_old, ord=2) < self.tol:</pre>
                          break
                      b_old = b.copy()
                  self.coef_ = b
              def _soft_threshold(self, rho, lmd):
                  if rho > lmd:
                      return rho - 1md
                  elif rho < -lmd:</pre>
                      return rho + 1md
                  else:
                      return 0
              def predict(self, X):
                  return X @ self.coef_
              def cal_mse(self, X, Y):
                  Y_hat = self.predict(X)
```

return np.mean((Y - Y hat)**2)

```
In [11]: lmd0, lmd1 = 0.1, 0.01
In [13]: # train-test split
         x_{train} = x[:80]
         x_{test} = x[80:]
         y_{train} = y[:80]
         y_{\text{test}} = y[80:]
          # standardizing the data
          x_train_standardized = (x_train - np.mean(x_train)) / np.std(x_train)
          x_test_standardized = (x_test - np.mean(x_train)) / np.std(x_train)
In [14]: X_train = np.column_stack((np.ones(80), x_train, x_train**2, x_train**3, x_train**4))
         X_train_standardized = np.column_stack((np.ones(80), x_train_standardized, x_train_sta
         X_{\text{test}} = \text{np.column\_stack}((\text{np.ones}(20), x_{\text{test}}, x_{\text{test**2}}, x_{\text{test**3}}, x_{\text{test**4}}))
          X_test_standardized = np.column_stack((np.ones(20), x_test_standardized, x_test_standardized)
In [15]: # case without standardizing the data
         lasso0 = lasso_reg(lmd0)
          lasso0.fit(X_train, y_train)
          # calcualte train and test MSE
         mse_train0 = lasso0.cal_mse(X_train, y_train)
          mse test0 = lasso0.cal_mse(X_test, y_test)
          print(f"Not standardized & lambda = {lmd0}, Train MSE = {mse_train0}, Test MSE = {mse_
          lasso1 = lasso reg(lmd1)
          lasso1.fit(X_train, y_train)
          # calcualte train and test MSE
          mse_train1 = lasso1.cal_mse(X_train, y_train)
          mse_test1 = lasso1.cal_mse(X_test, y_test)
          print(f"Not standardized & lambda = {lmd1}, Train MSE = {mse_train1}, Test MSE = {mse
          # case with standardizing the data
          lasso0 = lasso reg(1md0)
          lasso0_.fit(X_train_standardized, y_train)
          # calcualte train and test MSE
          mse_train0_ = lasso0_.cal_mse(X_train_standardized, y_train)
          mse_test0_ = lasso0_.cal_mse(X_test_standardized, y_test)
          print(f"Standardized & lambda = {lmd0}, Train MSE = {mse_train0_}, Test MSE = {mse_tes
          lasso1_ = lasso_reg(lmd1)
          lasso1_.fit(X_train_standardized, y_train)
          # calcualte train and test MSE
          mse_train1_ = lasso1_.cal_mse(X_train_standardized, y_train)
          mse_test1_ = lasso1_.cal_mse(X_test_standardized, y_test)
          print(f"Standardized & lambda = {lmd1}, Train MSE = {mse_train1_}, Test MSE = {mse_tes
         Not standardized & lambda = 0.1, Train MSE = 0.9795324278919608, Test MSE = 0.3642848
         4774834435
         Not standardized & lambda = 0.01, Train MSE = 0.8430831966174044, Test MSE = 0.420033
         Standardized & lambda = 0.1, Train MSE = 0.9319593820315231, Test MSE = 0.41624378101
         Standardized & lambda = 0.01, Train MSE = 0.7911449728278032, Test MSE = 0.5121604035
         42953
```

Conclusion

1. With both λ 0.1 and 0.01, either test and train set, the mse after standardizing X has dropped, indicating an improved perforance of lasso regression with standarization.

2. By dropping λ from 0.1 to 0.01, the mse deviate differently in tain and test sets. MSE would decrease in train set and increase in test set when λ drops from 0.1 to 0.01. Indicating decrease λ would increase the overfitting problem.