# Paper Review: Neural Tangent Kernel

Beomsu Kim\*

July 6, 2021

#### Paper Information.

• Arthur Jacot, Franck Gabriel, and Clément Hongler. Neural Tangent Kernel. In Neural Information Processing Systems, 2018.

# 1 Introduction

# 2 Neural Networks

- $\bullet$  We consider fully-connected ANNs with layers numbered from 0 (input) to L (output).
- $n_l$ : number of neurons in layer l.
- $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ : Lipschitz, twice differentiable nonlinearity function, with bounded second derivative.
- $\theta$ : weights  $W^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l \times n_{l+1}}$  and bias vectors  $b^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l+1}$ . Initialized as i.i.d. Gaussians  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- $P = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} (n_l + 1) n_{l+1}$ : number of parameters.
- $\mathcal{F} = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_L} \}$ : space of functions.
- $F^{(L)}: \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathcal{F}$ : ANN realization function, mapping parameters to the functions  $f_{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $p^{in} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{x_i}$ : input distribution.
- $\langle f,g \rangle_{p^{in}} = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^{in}}[f(x)^{\top}g(x)]$  : bilinear form defined on  $p^{in}$ .
- $||f||_{p^{in}} = \langle f, f \rangle_{p^{in}}$ : seminorm defined on  $p^{in}$ .
- Define the functions

$$\alpha^{(0)}(x;\theta) = x,$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(l+1)}(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_l}} W^{(l)} \alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b^{(l)},$$

$$\alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) = \sigma(\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}(x;\theta)),$$

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \tilde{\alpha}^{(L)}(x;\theta).$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST. Email beomsu.kim@kaist.ac.kr

#### 3 Kernel Gradient

- $C: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$ : functional cost.
- $K: \mathbb{R}^{n_0 \times n_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_L \times n_L}$ : multi-dimensional kernel which satisfies  $K(x, x') = K(x', x)^{\top}$ .
- $\langle f, g \rangle_K = \mathbb{E}_{x, x' \sim p^{in}} [f(x)^\top K(x, x') g(x')]$ : inner product w.r.t. kernel K.
- The kernel K is positive definite w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{p^{in}}$  if  $\|f\|_{p^{in}} > 0 \implies \|f\|_{K} > 0$ .
- $\mathcal{F}^* = \{ \mu = \langle d, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}} : d \in \mathcal{F} \}$ : the dual space of  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- $\Phi_K: \mathcal{F}^* \to \mathcal{F}$  is defined such that

$$\Phi_K: \langle d, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}} \mapsto \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N K(\cdot, x_i) d(x_i).$$

 $\Phi_K$  can be interpreted as a map which interpolates d using the kernel K.

- $\partial_f^{in}C|_{f_0} = \langle d|_{f_0}, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}}$ : functional derivative of C at a point  $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $\nabla_K C|_{f_0} = \Phi_K(\partial_f^{in} C|_{f_0})$  : kernel gradient.
- In contrast to  $\partial_f^{in}C$  which is only defined on the dataset, the kernel gradient generalizes to values x outside the dataset thanks to the kernel K.
- A time-dependent function f(t) follows the kernel gradient descent w.r.t. K if it satisfies

$$\partial_t f(t) = -\nabla_K C|_{f(t)} = -\Phi_K(\partial_f^{in} C|_{f(t)}) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N K(\cdot, x_i) d|_{f(t)}(x_i).$$

• During kernel gradient descent, the cost C(f(t)) evolves as

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t}C|_{f(t)} &= \partial_{t}C(f(t)) = \partial_{f}^{in}C|_{f(t)}(\partial_{t}f(t)) \\ &= \left\langle d|_{f(t)}, \partial_{t}f(t) \right\rangle_{p^{in}} \\ &= \left\langle d|_{f(t)}, -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K(\cdot, x_{i}) d|_{f(t)}(x_{i}) \right\rangle_{p^{in}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} d|_{f(t)}(x_{j})^{\top} \left( -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K(x_{j}, x_{i}) d|_{f(t)}(x_{i}) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} d|_{f(t)}(x_{j})^{\top} K(x_{j}, x_{i}) d|_{f(t)}(x_{i}) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{x, x' \sim p^{in}} [d|_{f(t)}(x)^{\top} K(x, x') d|_{f(t)}(x')] \\ &= -\|d|_{f(t)}\|_{K}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Convergence to a critical point of C is hence guaranteed if the kernel K is positive definite with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{p^{in}}$ : the cost is then strictly decreasing except at points such that  $\|d|_{f(t)}\|_{p^{in}} = 0$ . If the cost is convex and bounded from below, the function f(t) therefore converges to a global minimum as  $t \to \infty$ .

# 3.1 Random Functions Approximation

• A kernel K can be approximated by a choice of P random functions  $f^{(p)}$  sampled independently from any distribution on  $\mathcal{F}$  whose (non-centered) covariance is given by the kernel K:

$$\mathbb{E}[f^{(p)}(x)f^{(p)}(x')^{\top}] = K(x, x')$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}[f_k^{(p)}(x)f_{k'}^{(p)}(x')] = K_{kk'}(x,x').$$

• These functions define a random linear parametrization

$$F^{lin}: \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathcal{F}: \theta \mapsto f_{\theta}^{lin} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P}} \sum_{p=1}^P \theta_p f^{(p)}.$$

• The partial derivatives of the parametrization are given by  $(e_p)$  is the p-th standard basis vector)

$$\partial_{\theta_p} F^{lin}(\theta) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F^{lin}(\theta + he_p) - F^{lin}(\theta)}{h} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P}} f^{(p)}.$$

• Optimizing the cost  $C \circ F^{lin}$  through gradient descent, the parameters follow the ODE

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \theta_p(t) &= -\partial_{\theta_p} (C \circ F^{lin})(\theta(t)) = -\partial_{\theta_p} C(f^{lin}_{\theta(t)}) \\ &= -\partial^{in}_f C|_{f^{lin}_{\theta(t)}} (\partial_{\theta_p} f^{lin}_{\theta(t)}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{P}} \partial^{in}_f C|_{f^{lin}_{\theta(t)}} (f^{(p)}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{P}} \left\langle d|_{f^{lin}_{\theta(t)}}, f^{(p)} \right\rangle_{p^{in}}. \end{split}$$

The first equality holds since we are performing gradient descent, i.e., the instantaneous change of  $\theta_p$  at time t must equal the gradient of  $\theta_p$  w.r.t. the cost at time t.

• As a result, the function  $f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}$  evolves according to

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t}f_{\theta(t)}^{lin} &= \partial_{t}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{P}}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\theta_{p}(t)f^{(p)}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P}}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\partial_{t}\theta_{p}(t)f^{(p)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{P}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\left\langle d|_{f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}}, f^{(p)}\right\rangle_{p^{in}}f^{(p)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{P}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}d|_{f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}}(x_{i})^{\top}f^{(p)}(x_{i})f^{(p)}(\cdot) \\ &= -\frac{1}{P}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(f^{(p)}\otimes f^{(p)})(\cdot, x_{i})d|_{f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}}(x_{i}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{P}\sum_{p=1}^{P}f^{(p)}\otimes f^{(p)}\right)(\cdot, x_{i})d|_{f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}}(x_{i}) \\ &= -\Phi_{\tilde{K}}(\partial_{f}^{in}C|_{f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}}) \\ &= -\nabla_{\tilde{K}}C|_{f_{\theta(t)}^{lin}} \end{split}$$

where

$$\tilde{K} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \partial_{\theta_p} F^{lin}(\theta) \otimes \partial_{\theta_p} F^{lin}(\theta) = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{p=1}^{P} f^{(p)} \otimes f^{(p)}.$$

 $\bullet$  This is a random  $n_L$ -dimensional kernel with values

$$\tilde{K}_{ii'}(x,x') = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{p=1}^{P} f_i^{(p)}(x) f_{i'}^{(p)}(x').$$

- Performing gradient descent on the cost  $C \circ F^{lin}$  is therefore equivalent to performing kernel gradient descent with the tangent kernel  $\tilde{K}$  in the function space.
- With  $P \to \infty$ , by the law of large numbers, the random kernel  $\tilde{K}$  tends to the fixed kernel K.

$$\lim_{P \to \infty} \tilde{K}_{ii'}(x, x') = \lim_{P \to \infty} \frac{1}{P} \sum_{p=1}^{P} f_i^{(p)}(x) f_{i'}^{(p)}(x') = \mathbb{E}[f_i^{(p)}(x) f_{i'}^{(p)}(x')] = K_{ii'}(x, x').$$

Hence, this method approximates kernel gradient descent with respect to the limiting kernel K.

# 4 Neural Tangent Kernel

• During training, the network function  $f_{\theta}$  evolves along the negative kernel gradient

$$\partial_t f_{\theta(t)} = -\nabla_{\Theta^{(L)}} C|_{f_{\theta(t)}}$$

with respect to the neural tangent kernel (NTK)

$$\Theta^{(L)}(\theta) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta) \otimes \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta).$$

This can be derived by following the steps in Section 3.1 with  $F^{(L)}$  in place of  $F^{lin}$ .

- However, in contrast to  $F^{lin}$ , the realization function  $F^{(L)}$  of ANNs is not linear.
- As a consequence, the derivatives  $\partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta)$  and the NTK depend on the parameters  $\theta$ .

# 4.1 Initialization

• The first key result is that in the limit  $n_1, \ldots, n_{L-1} \to \infty$ , the NTK converges in probability to a deterministic limiting kernel.

## 4.2 Training

- The second key result is that the NTK stays asymptotically constant during training.
- In general, the parameters can be updated according to a training direction  $d_t \in \mathcal{F}$ .

$$\partial_t \theta_p(t) = \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta(t)), d_t \right\rangle_{p^{in}}$$

• In the case of gradient descent,

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \theta_p(t) &= -\partial_{\theta_p} (C \circ F^{(L)})(\theta(t)) = -\partial_{\theta_p} C(f_{\theta(t)}) \\ &= -\partial_f^{in} C|_{f_{\theta(t)}} (\partial_{\theta_p} f_{\theta(t)}) \\ &= \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} f_{\theta(t)}, -d|_{f_{\theta(t)}} \right\rangle_{p^{in}} \\ &= \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta(t)), -d|_{f_{\theta(t)}} \right\rangle_{p^{in}} \end{split}$$

and so

$$d_t = -d|_{f_{\theta(t)}}.$$

# A Appendix

## A.1 Asymptotics at Initialization

## A.2 Asymptotics During Training

Given a training direction  $t \mapsto d_t \in \mathcal{F}$ , a neural network is trained in the following manner: the parameters  $\theta_p$  are initialized as i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and follow the differential equation

$$\partial_t \theta_p(t) = \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta(t)), d_t \right\rangle_{p^{in}}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\sigma$  is a Lipschitz twice differentiable nonlinearity function, with bounded second derivative. For any T such that the integral  $\int_0^T \|d_t\|_{p^{in}} dt$  stays stochastically bounded, as  $n_1, \ldots, n_{L-1} \to \infty$  sequentially, we have, uniformly for  $t \in [0,T]$ ,

$$\Theta^{(L)}(t) \to \Theta^{(L)}_{\infty} \otimes Id_{n_L}.$$

As a consequence, in this limit, the dynamics of  $f_{\theta}$  is described by the differential equation

$$\partial_t f_{\theta(t)} = \Phi_{\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)} \otimes Id_{n_L}} \left( \langle d_t, \cdot \rangle_{p^{in}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\theta}$  be the parameters of the smaller network, and let  $\theta_p \in \tilde{\theta}$ . Then

$$\partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L+1)}(\theta) = \partial_{\theta_p} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)} \sigma(F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta})) + \beta b^{(L)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta})) \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta})$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \theta_p(t) &= \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta(t)), d_t \right\rangle_{p^{in}} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)}(t) \dot{\sigma}(F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))) \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)), d_t \right\rangle_{p^{in}} \\ &= \left\langle \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)), \dot{\sigma}(F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)}(t) \right)^\top d_t \right\rangle \end{split}$$

which implies that the smaller network follows the training direction

$$d'_t = \dot{\sigma}(F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)}(t)\right)^{\top} d_t.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is a c-Lipschitz function,  $|\dot{\sigma}| \leq c$  and so

$$||d'_t||_{p^{in}} \le |\dot{\sigma}(F^{(L)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)))| \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)}(t) \right\|_{op} ||d_t||_{p^{in}}$$

$$\le c \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)}(t) \right\|_{op} ||d_t||_{p^{in}}.$$

From the law of large numbers,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W_i^{(L)}(0) \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n_L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_L} W_{ij}^2(0) \to \mathbb{E}[W_{ij}^2(0)] = 1$$

since  $W_{ij}(0)$  are i.i.d. samples from  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . Hence,  $\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}}W^{(L)}(0)\|_{op}$  is bounded. Observe that by the triangle inequality,

$$\partial_t \|f(t)\| = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\|f(t+h)\| - \|f(t)\|}{h} \le \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\|f(t+h) - f(t)\|}{h} = \|\partial_t f(t)\|$$

and so  $\partial_t \| \cdot \| \leq \|\partial_t \cdot \|$ . It follows that

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \left\| W_i^{(L)}(t) - W_i^{(L)}(0) \right\|_2 &\leq \left\| \partial_t \left( W_i^{(L)}(t) - W_i^{(L)}(0) \right) \right\|_2 \\ &= \left\| \partial_t W_i^{(L)}(t) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} \|\alpha_i^{(L)}(t)\|_{p^{in}} \|d_t\|_{p^{in}}. \end{split}$$

$$\partial_t \left( c \left\| \tilde{\alpha}_i^{(L)}(t) - \tilde{\alpha}_i^{(L)}(0) \right\|_{p^{in}} + \left\| W_i^{(L)}(t) - W_i^{(L)}(0) \right\|_2 \right) = \partial_t (A(t) - A(0)) = \partial_t A(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}}\right).$$

$$\partial_{W_{ij}^{(L)}} f_{\theta(t),j'}(x) \otimes \partial_{W_{ij}^{(L)}} f_{\theta(t),j''}(x') = \frac{1}{n_L} \alpha_i^{(L)} (x; \theta(t))^2 \delta_{jj'} \delta_{jj''}$$

and so

$$\partial_t \left( \partial_{W_{ij}^{(L)}} f_{\theta(t),j'}(x) \otimes \partial_{W_{ij}^{(L)}} f_{\theta(t),j''}(x') \right) = \frac{2}{n_L} \partial_t \alpha_i^{(L)}(x;\theta(t)) \delta_{jj'} \delta_{jj''}$$

and since  $|\partial_t \alpha_i^{(L)}| = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}})$ , we see that the summands vary at the rate  $n_L^{-3/2}$ . Since the dimension of  $W^{(L)}$  is  $n_L \times n_{L+1}$  (recall that  $n_{L+1}$  is fixed), the sum induces a variation of the NTK of rate  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}}$ .

- A.3 A Priori Control During Training
- A.4 Positive-Definiteness of  $\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}$