Numerical solution for the propagation of light along a spatial direction

Juan David Salcedo Hernández

Abstract

In this short note, I introduce the equations pertaining to the numerical solution of the equations governing an electromagnetic wave propagating along one spatial direction.

1 The equations and their discretisation

We consider the system of partial differential equations:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}(t,z) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\frac{\partial H}{\partial z}(t,z),\\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(t,z) &= -\frac{1}{\mu_0}\frac{\partial E}{\partial z}(t,z). \end{split}$$

Our task is to solve for the fields E and H in these equations over a closed square in (t, z)-space defined by $[0, T] \times [0, z_{\text{max}}]$. Since the solution we seek is numerical, and for stability reasons, we will subdivide [0, T] in 2N equal parts, respectively $[0, z_{\text{max}}]$ in 2K equal parts, whose length we denote by Δt , respectively Δz . More specifically

$$\Delta t = \frac{T}{2N}, \quad \Delta z = \frac{z_{\text{max}}}{2K}$$

We then want to define the fields over an "intertwined grid", i.e.,

$$E: \{\Delta t, 3\Delta t, 5\Delta t, \dots, (2N-1)\Delta t\} \times \{0, 2\Delta z, 4\Delta z, \dots, 2K\Delta z\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$H: \{0, 2\Delta t, 4\Delta t, \dots, 2N\Delta t\} \times \{\Delta z, 3\Delta z, 5\Delta z, \dots, (2K-1)\Delta z\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

In more concrete notation, we will have values for these fields at the following points:

$$\begin{split} &E\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z\big), \quad n=0,1,\dots N-1, \quad k=0,1,\dots K, \\ &H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z\big), \quad n=0,1,\dots N, \quad k=0,1,\dots K-1. \end{split}$$

We're going to be interested in the finite differences:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z \big) &\approx \frac{E\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z \big) - E\big((2n-1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z \big)}{\Delta t}, \quad n=1, \ldots N-1, \quad k=0,1, \ldots K, \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} \big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z \big) &\approx \frac{E\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z \big) - E\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2(k-1)\Delta z \big)}{\Delta z}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots N-1, \quad k=1, \ldots K, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z \big) &\approx \frac{H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z \big) - H\big(2(n-1)\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z \big)}{\Delta t}, \quad n=1, \ldots N, \quad k=0,1, \ldots K-1, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z \big) &\approx \frac{H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z \big) - H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k-1)\Delta z \big)}{\Delta z}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots N, \quad k=1, \ldots K-1. \end{split}$$

Inserting these approximations into the differential equation and simplifying, taking into account the domains of n and k, we get:

$$\begin{split} E\big((2n+1)\Delta t,2k\Delta z\big) &= E\big((2n-1)\Delta t,2k\Delta z\big) - \frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon_0\Delta z}\Big(H\big(2n\Delta t,(2k+1)\Delta z\big) - H\big(2n\Delta t,(2k-1)\Delta z\big)\Big), \\ H\big(2n\Delta t,(2k+1)\Delta z\big) &= H\big(2(n-1)\Delta t,(2k+1)\Delta z\big) - \frac{\Delta t}{\mu_0\Delta z}\Big(E\big((2n+1)\Delta t,2k\Delta z\big) - E\big((2n+1)\Delta t,2(k-1)\Delta z\big)\Big), \end{split}$$

both of which hold for $n=1,\ldots,N-1$ and $k=1,\ldots,K-1$. Renormalising $\widetilde{E}:=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\mu_0}}E$, we get

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{E}\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z\big) &= \widetilde{E}\big((2n-1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z\big) - c\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta z}\Big(H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z\big) - H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k-1)\Delta z\big)\Big), \\ H\big(2n\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z\big) &= H\big(2(n-1)\Delta t, (2k+1)\Delta z\big) - c\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta z}\Big(\widetilde{E}\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2k\Delta z\big) - \widetilde{E}\big((2n+1)\Delta t, 2(k-1)\Delta z\big)\Big), \end{split}$$

We take into account the stability conditions

$$\Delta z \le \frac{\lambda}{10}$$
, , e.g., $\Delta z = \frac{\lambda}{10} - \varepsilon$,

and then the Courant condition

$$c\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta z} \le \frac{1}{2} \implies \Delta t \le \frac{1}{2c}\Delta z$$
, e.g., $\Delta t = \frac{1}{2c}\Delta z - \varepsilon'$