

Lecture 10

Lecturer: Asst. Prof. M. Mert Ankarali

10.0.1 Reachability & Controllability of DT-LTI Systems

For LTI a discrete time state-space representation

$$\begin{aligned}x[k+1] &= Ax[k] + Bu[k] \\ y[k] &= Cx[k] + Du[k]\end{aligned}$$

- A state x_r is said to be m -step **reachable**, if there exist an input sequence, $u[k], k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, that transfers the state vector $x[k]$ from the origin (i.e. $x[0] = 0$) to the state x_r in m number of steps, i.e. $x[m] = x_r$.
- A state x_d is said to be m -step **controllable**, if there exist an input sequence, $u[k], k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, that transfers the state vector $x[k]$ from the initial state x_c (i.e. $x[0] = x_c$) to the origin in m number of steps, i.e. $x[m] = 0$.

Note that

- the set \mathcal{R}_m of all m -step reachable states is a linear (sub)space: $\mathcal{R}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- the set \mathcal{C}_m of all m -step controllable states is a linear (sub)space: $\mathcal{C}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

Let's characterize \mathcal{R}_m and then try to generalize the reachability concept. When $x[0] = 0$, the solution of $x[m]$ is given by

$$x[m] = \begin{bmatrix} A^{m-1}B & A^{m-2}B & \dots & AB & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u[0] \\ u[1] \\ \vdots \\ u[m-2] \\ u[m-1] \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}_m &= \begin{bmatrix} A^{m-1}B & A^{m-2}B & \dots & AB & B \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{U}_m &= \begin{bmatrix} u[0] \\ u[1] \\ \vdots \\ u[m-2] \\ u[m-1] \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

then if a state x_r is reachable at k steps, it should satisfy the following equation for some \mathbf{U}_m .

$$\mathbf{M}_m \mathbf{U}_m = x_m$$

In order this matrix equation to have a solution x_r should be in the range space of \mathbf{M}_m .

$$x_r \in \text{Ra}(\mathbf{M}_m)$$

Thus m -step reachable sub-space is simply equal to range space of \mathcal{R}_k

$$\text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_m) = \mathcal{R}_m$$

Theorem: For $k < n < l$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_k &\subset \mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{R}_l \\ \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_k) &\subset \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_n) = \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_l) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: It is fairly easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_i &\subset \mathcal{R}_{i+1} \\ \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_i) &\subset \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

since we add a new column (or columns for multi-input systems) to \mathbf{R}_i , thus it can only increase the dimension of the range-space. Thus we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_k &\subset \mathcal{R}_n \subset \mathcal{R}_l \\ \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_k) &\subset \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_n) \subset \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_l) \end{aligned}$$

In order prove $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{R}_l$, we simply use the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. Based on Cayley-Hamilton theorem

$$\begin{aligned} A^n &= -a_1 A^{n-1} - \dots - a_{n-1} A - a_n I \\ A^n B &= -a_1 A^{n-1} B - \dots - a_{n-1} A B - a_n B \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $A^n B$ is linearly dependent to previous columns and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n &= \mathcal{R}_l \\ \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_n) &= \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}_l) \end{aligned}$$

This theorem shows that if x_r is reachable in n steps then it is reachable for $l > n$ steps, similarly if it is not reachable in n steps then it is not reachable for $l > n$ steps. In this context, the sub-space of states reachable in n -steps, \mathcal{R}_n is referred as the reachable subspace of (A, N) , and will be denoted simply by \mathcal{R} and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_k$ will be system wide the reachability matrix. The system is termed a (fully) reachable system if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\mathbf{R}) &= n \\ \text{Ra}(\mathbf{R}) &= \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$