Lecture 13

Lecturer: Asst. Prof. M. Mert Ankarali

13.1 State Feedback & Stabilizability

The state-feedback based control-policies for LTI systems starts with the assumption that we have "access" to the all of the states of the systems either via direct measurement or through some observer/estimator/tracker. In that context a family of state-feedback controllers for CT- and DT-LTI systems can be constructed as

$$u(t) = \gamma r(t) - Kx(t) \& u[k] = \gamma r[k] - Kx[k]$$

where r(t) can be considered as the reference signal (most of the time it is), γ is a feed-forward scaling factor, and K is the state-feedback gain. Now let's find a state-space representation for dynamics of the closed-loop system for both CT- and DT-LTI systems under state-feedback rule proposed above

$$\dot{x} = Ax + B\left(\gamma r(t) - Kx(t)\right) \Rightarrow \dot{x} = (A - BK)x + \gamma Br$$

$$x[k+1] = Ax + B\left(\gamma r[k] - Kx[k]\right) \Rightarrow x[k+1] = (A - BK)x[k] + \gamma Br[k]$$

In both cases the closed loop system and input matrices takes the following form

$$A_c = A - BK$$
, $B_c = \gamma Br$

A key question in this domain is that can I find a K such that eigenvalues of A_c is located at arbitrary desired locations.

Theorem: (Eigenvalue/Pole Placement) Given (A, B), $\exists K$ s.t.

$$\det [\lambda I - (A - BK)] = \lambda^n + a_{n-1}^* \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_1^* \lambda + a_0^*$$
$$\forall \mathcal{A} = \{a_0^*, a_1^* \dots a_{n-1}^*\}, a_i^* \in \mathbb{R}$$

if and only if (A, B) is reachable.

Proof: For a general complete proof we need to show that reachability of (A, B) is necessary and sufficient.

Proof of necessity: Let's assume that (A, B) not reachable and $\exists (\lambda_u, w_u^T)$ pair such that $w_u^T A = w_u^T \lambda_u$ and $w_u^T = 0$. Now check weather w_u^T is a left eigenvector of A_c

$$w_{u}^{T} A_{c} = w_{u}^{T} (A - BK) = w_{u}^{T} A - w_{u}^{T} BK = w_{u}^{T} \lambda_{u} - 0 = w_{u}^{T} \lambda_{u}$$
$$w_{u}^{T} B_{c} = w_{u}^{T} B \gamma = 0$$

Here not only we showed that λ_u can not be moved hence it is not possible to locate the poles arbitrary locations, we also showed that state-feedback rules does not affect the reachability.

Proof of sufficiency: We will only show the sufficiency for a multi-input case, i.e. $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$, however the reader should not the fact that for a complete proof multi-input case also needs to be analyzed. Let's assume that (A, B) is reachable and we know that reachability is invariant under similarity transformations, i.e.

$$z = T^{-1}x , \det(T) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \dot{z} = \bar{A}z + \bar{B}u$$

$$\bar{A} = T^{-1}AT , T^{-1}B$$

13-2 Lecture 13

and (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) is reachable. Noe let's choose T such that

$$T = \mathbf{R} = \left[A^{n-1}B \mid A^{n-2}B \mid \dots \mid AB \mid B \right]$$

then \bar{B} can be derived as

$$B = T\bar{B} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A^{n-1}B & A^{n-2}B & \cdots & AB & B \end{array} \right] \bar{B} \Rightarrow B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and similarly \bar{A} can be expressed as

$$A\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}\bar{A}$$

$$A \begin{bmatrix} A^{n-1}B \mid A^{n-2}B \mid \cdots \mid AB \mid B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{n-1}B \mid A^{n-2}B \mid \cdots \mid AB \mid B \end{bmatrix} \bar{A}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{n}B \mid A^{n-1}B \mid \cdots \mid AB \mid B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{n-1}B \mid A^{n-2}B \mid \cdots \mid AB \mid B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_{11} & 1 \mid 0 \mid 0 \mid \cdots \mid 0 \\ \bar{a}_{12} & 0 \mid 1 \mid 0 \mid \cdots \mid 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{1(n-2)} & 0 \mid 0 \mid \cdots \mid 1 \mid 0 \\ \bar{a}_{1(n)} & 0 \mid 0 \mid \cdots \mid 0 \mid 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can find a_{1i} 's using Cayley-Hamilton theorem

$$A^{n}B = \bar{a}_{11}A^{n-1}B + \bar{a}_{12}A^{n-2}B + \dots + \bar{a}_{1(n-1)}AB + \bar{a}_{1(n)}B$$

$$A^{n} = -\left(a_{n-1}A^{n-1} + a_{n-1}A^{n-2} + \dots + a_{1}A + a_{I}\right) \text{ where}$$

$$\det[\lambda I - A] = \lambda^{n} + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{1}\lambda + a_{0}$$

then \bar{A} takes the form

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -a_{n-2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ -a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ -a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that this similarity transformation is in a companion form however we will transform this into (more useful) reachable canonical form

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \ \tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ be the reachability matrix of (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) then we know that

$$\bar{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} A \tilde{\mathbf{R}} , \ \bar{B} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} B$$

Lecture 13 13-3

where (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) are the matrices of the companion form derived above. Thus if we let $\hat{T} = \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}$, where \mathbf{R} is the reachability matrix of the original representation and $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ is the reachability matrix of the reachable canonical form and adopt T for similarity transformation we obtain

$$\begin{split} q &= \hat{T}^{-1}x \, \Rightarrow \, \dot{q} = \hat{A}q + \hat{B}u \\ \tilde{A} &= \hat{T}^{-1}A\hat{T} \;, \; \tilde{B} = \hat{T}^{-1}B \end{split}$$