- 1. Reflexive, symmetric, transitive.
- **2.** Not reflexive $(\neg(aRa))$, not symmetric $(aRb \land \neg(bRa))$, transitive.
- **3.** Not reflexive $(\neg(aRa))$, not symmetric $(aRb \land \neg(bRa))$, not transitive $(cRb \land bRc \land \neg(cRc))$.
- 4. Reflexive, symmetric, transitive.
- **5.** Not reflexive $(\neg(1R1))$, symmetric, transitive.
- **6.** Reflexive, symmetric, transitive. The relation R on \mathbb{Z} is =.

7.

	$R = \varnothing$	$R = A \times$	$A - \{\emptyset\}$					
Reflexive	F	Т						
Symmetric	Т	T						
Transitive	Т	Т	ı					
	$R = \{(b)$	$\overline{\{(b,a)\} \vee R = \{(a,b)\}}$		$R = \{(a, a)\}$	$(a)\} \vee R = \{(b)\}$	$,b)\}$		
Reflexive	F				Т			
Symmetric		F			T			
Transitive		T			${ m T}$			
	$R = \{(a$	(a,b),(b,a)	$R = {}$	$\overline{\{(a,a),(b,b)\}}$				
Reflexive		F		Т				
Symmetric		${ m T}$		T				
Transitive		${ m F}$		T				
	$R = \{(a$	(a, b), (b, a), (b, a)	$\{a,a\}$	$R = \{(a, b), (a, b),$	$\overline{(b,a),(b,b)}$	$R = \{$	$\{(a,a),(b,b),(a,b)\}$	$R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (b, a)\}$
Reflexive		F		F		Т		Т
Symmetric		Т		T		F		F
Transitive		\mathbf{F}		F		F		F
	$R = \{(b$	(a, a), (a, a)	$R = \{$	$\overline{(a,b),(a,a)}$	$R = \{(a, b)$	$\overline{(b,b)}$	$R = \{(b, a), (b, b)\}$	
Reflexive		F		F	F F		F	
Symmetric		F		F F			F	
Transitive		T		${ m T}$	$_{ m T}$		T	

- **8.** $R = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : |x-y| < 1\}$. Reflexive, symmetric, transitive. The relation R on \mathbb{Z} is =.
- **9.** $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv y \pmod{2}\}$. Reflexive, symmetric, transitive. The relation R on \mathbb{Z} is 2|(x y).
- **10.** Not reflexive $(\forall x \in A, (x, x) \notin \emptyset)$, symmetric, transitive.
- 11. Reflexive, symmetric, transitive.
- 12. Proposition The relation | (divides) on the set \mathbb{Z} is reflexive and transitive.

Proof.

First we show that the relation is reflexive. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and observe that x = x(1), so x|x by def. of divisibility. Thus | is reflexive.

Next we show that the relation is transitive. Suppose x|y and y|z for $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then y = xa for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that xa|z, so z = xab for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus x|z, which means | is transitive.

13. Proposition The relation $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : x - y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on \mathbb{R} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Proof.

First we show that the relation is reflexive. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that x - x = 0, so $x - x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consequently xRx. Thus R is reflexive.

Next we show that the relation is symmetric. Suppose xRy for some $y \in \mathbb{R}$, which means x - y = a for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying both sides by -1 gives y - x = -a. Then $y - x \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies yRx. Thus R is symmetric.

Finally we show that the relation is transitive. Suppose xRy and yRz for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then x-y=a and y-z=c for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Adding the two equations, we get (x-y)+(y-z)=a+b so x-z=a+b. Then $x-z \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies xRz. Thus R is transitive.

Therefore $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : x - y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on \mathbb{R} is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

14. Proposition Suppose R is a symmetric and transitive relation on a set A. If there exists an element $a \in A$ for which aRx for all $x \in A$, then R is reflexive.

Proof.

Suppose there exists $a \in A$ for which aRx for all $x \in A$. Since R is symmetric, xRa and aRx. Since R is transitive, xRx. Thus R is reflexive. Therefore R is reflexive on set A if R is symmetric and transitive, and there exists $a \in A$ for which aRx for all $x \in A$.

15. Conjecture If a relation is symmetric and transitive, then it is also reflexive.

Disroof.

This conjecture is false. We show this with a counterexample. Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $R = \{(a, b), (b, a), (a, a), (b, b)\}$ and $x, y \in A$. Observe that xRy implies yRx, thus R is symmetric. Also xRy and yRx implies xRx, thus R is transitive. But it is not the case that cRc, thus R is not reflexive.

16. Proposition Let R be a relation on \mathbb{Z} such that xRy if and only if $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{4}$. Then R is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Proof.

First we show that the relation is reflexive. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that 4|0 implies $4|(x^2 - x^2)$ by def. of divisiblity, so $x^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod{4}$ by def. of congruence modulo 4. Thus R is reflexive.

Next we show that the relation is symmetric. Suppose xRy for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{4}$. Then $x^2 = 4a + c$ and $y^2 = 4b + c$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $0 \le c < 4$ by def. of division algorithm. Solving for c, we get $x^2 - 4a = y^2 - 4b$, so $x^2 - y^2 = 4a - 4b$. Multiplying both sides by -1, we get $y^2 - x^2 = 4b - 4a$, thus $4|(y^2 - x^2)$. Thus $y^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod{4}$, which implies yRx and so R is symmetric.

Finally we show that R is transitive. Suppose xRy and yRz for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod 4$ and $y^2 \equiv z^2 \pmod 4$,

which implies $4|(x^2-y^2)$ and $4|(y^2-z^2)$ and consequently $x^2-y^2=4s$ and $y^2-z^2=4t$ for some $s,t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Adding the two equations, we get $(x^2-y^2)+(y^2-z^2)=4s+4t$, so $x^2-z^2=4(s+t)$. Thus $4|(x^2-z^2)$, which implies $x^2\equiv z^2\pmod 4$. Since xRz, it follows that R is transitive.

Therefore the relation R on Z such that xRy if and only if $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{4}$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

17. Proposition Let R be a relation on \mathbb{Z} such that xRy if and only if $|x-y| \leq 1$. Then R is reflexive and symmetric, but not transitive.

Proof.

First we show that the relation is reflexive. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $|x-x| = 0 \le 1$, it follows that xRx. Thus R is reflexive.

Next we show that the relation is symmetric. Suppose xRy for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that $|x-y| \le 1$ implies that $-1 \le x - y \le 1$. Multiplying all sides by -1 we get $1 \ge y - x \ge -1$, which implies that $|y-x| \le 1$. It follows that yRx, thus R is symmetric.

Finally, we show that the relation is not transitive with a counterexample. Observe that (-2)R(-1) and (-1)R0, but it is not the case that (-2)R0. Thus there exists some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which aRb and bRc but not aRc, which means R is not transitive.

Therefore the relation R on \mathbb{Z} such that xRy if and only if $|x-y| \leq 1$ is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive.

18.

	$R = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 2 a \wedge 2 b\}$		
Reflexive	F	Т	F
Symmetric	Т	Т	Т
Transitive	Т	F	Т