Sufficient Conditions for the Equality of f_{xy} and f_{yx}

 $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$ at a point, i.e., sufficient conditions for the equality of f_{xy} and f_{yx} . theorems the object of which is to set out precisely under what conditions it is safe to assume that As was said earlier there is no a priori reason why f_{xy} and f_{yx} should always be equal. We now give two

definition of a function f, then Theorem 3. Young's theorem. If f_x and f_y are both differentiable at a point (a, b) of the domain of

$$f_{xy}(a, b) = f_{yx}(a, b)$$

and that all the second order partial derivatives f_{xx} , f_{xy} , f_{yx} , f_{yy} , exist at (a, b). The differentiability of f_x and f_y at (a, b) implies that they exist in a certain neighbourhood of (a, b) We prove the theorem by taking equal increment h both for x and y and calculating $\phi(h, h)$ in t_{W0} different ways.

Let (a + h, b + h) be a point of this neighbourhood. Consider

$$\phi(h, h) = f(a+h, b+h) - f(a+h, b) - f(a, b+h) + f(a, b)$$

$$G(x) = f(x, b+h) - f(x, b)$$

so that

$$\phi(h, h) = G(a+h) - G(a)$$

Since f_x exists in a neighbourhood of (a, b), the function G(x) is derivable in]a, a + h[and therefore by Lagrange's mean value theorem, we get from (1),

$$\phi(h, h) = hG'(a + \theta h), \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$= h\{f_x(a + \theta h, b + h) - f_x(a + \theta h, b)\}$$
 ...(2)

Again, since f_r is differentiable at (a, b), we have

$$f_x(a + \theta h, b + h) - f_x(a, b) = \theta h f_{xx}(a, b) + h f_{yx}(a, b) + \theta h \phi_1(h, h) + h \psi_1(h, h) \qquad ...(3)$$

and

$$f_x(a + \theta h, b) - f_x(a, b) = \theta h f_{xx}(a, b) + \theta h \phi_2(h, h)$$
 ...(4)

where ϕ_1, ψ_1, ϕ_2 all tend to zero as $h \to 0$.

From equations (2), (3), and (4), we get

$$\phi(h, h)/h^2 = f_{yx}(a, b) + \theta\phi_1(h, h) + \psi_1(h, h) - \theta\phi_2(h, h) \qquad ...(5)$$

By a similar argument, on considering

$$H(y) = f(a+h, y) - f(a, y)$$

we can show that

$$\phi(h, h)/h^2 = f_{xy}(a, b) + \phi_3(h, h) + \theta'\psi_2(h, h) - \theta'\psi_3(h, h) \qquad ...(6)$$

where ϕ_3 , ψ_2 , ψ_3 all tend to zero as $h \to 0$.

On taking the limit as $h \to 0$, we obtain from equations (5) and (6)

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\phi(h, h)}{h^2} = f_{xy}(a, b) = f_{yx}(a, b).$$

Theorem 4. Schwarz's theorem. If f_y exists in a certain neighbourhood of a point (a, b) of the domain of definition of a function f, and f_{yx} is continuous at (a, b), then $f_{xy}(a, b)$ exists and is equal to f(a, b). $tof_{yx}(a,b).$

Under the given conditions, f_x , f_y , and f_{yx} all exist in a certain neighbourhood of (a, b). Let (a + h, b) be a point of this neighbourhood.

Consider

$$\phi(h, k) = f(a + h, b + k) - f(a + h, b) - f(a, b + k) + f(a, b)$$

$$G(x) = f(x, b + k) - f(x, b)$$

so that

$$\phi(h, k) = G(a+h) - G(a)$$
ighbourhood of (-1) is a constant of the constant o

Since f_x exists in a neighbourhood of (a, b), the function G(x) is derivable in]a, a+h[, and therefore by Lagrange's mean value theorem, we get from (1)

$$\phi(h, k) = hG'(a + \theta h), \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$= h\{f_x(a + \theta h, b + k) - f_x(a + \theta h, b)\}$$
...(2)

Again, since f_{yx} exists in a neighbourhood of (a, b), the function f_x is derivable with respect to y in]b, b+k[, and therefore by Lagrange's mean value theorem, we get from (2)

$$\phi(h, k) = hkf_{yx}(a + \theta h, b + \theta' k), \quad 0 < \theta' < 1$$

or

$$\frac{1}{h} \left\{ \frac{f(a+h,b+k) - f(a+h,b)}{k} - \frac{f(a,b+k) - f(a,b)}{k} \right\} = f_{yx}(a+\theta h, b+\theta' k)$$

Proceeding to limits when $k \to 0$, since f_y and f_{yx} exist in a neighbourhood of (a, b), we get

$$\frac{f_{y}(a+h,b)-f_{y}(a,b)}{h} = \lim_{k\to 0} f_{yx}(a+\theta h,b+\theta' k)$$

Again, taking limits as $h \to 0$, since f_{yx} is continuous at (a, b), we get

$$f_{xy}(a, b) = \lim_{h \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} f_{yx}(a + \theta h, b + \theta' k) = f_{yx}(a, b)$$

(SCC

Show that for the function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y^2}{x^2 + y^2}, & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0, & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

 $f_{yy}(0,0) = f_{yx}(0,0)$, even though the conditions of Schwarz's theorem and also of Young's theorem are not satisfied.

Now

$$f_x(0, 0) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x, 0) - f(0, 0)}{x} = 0$$

Similarly, $f_y(0, 0) = 0$.

Also, for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$,

$$f_x(x, y) = \frac{(x^2 + y^2) \cdot 2xy^2 - x^2y^2 \cdot 2x}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{2xy^4}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$f_y(x, y) = \frac{2x^4y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

Again

$$f_{yx}(0, 0) = \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{f_x(0, y) - f_x(0, 0)}{y} = 0$$

and

$$f_{xy}(0, 0) = 0$$
, so that $f_{xy}(0, 0) = f_{yx}(0, 0)$

For $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, we have

$$f_{yx}(x, y) = \frac{8xy^3(x^2 + y^2)^2 - 2xy^4 \cdot 4y(x^2 + y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^4} = \frac{8x^3y^3}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}$$

and it may be easily shown (by putting y = mx) that

$$\lim_{(x, y)\to(0, 0)} f_{yx}(x, y) \neq 0 = f_{yx}(0, 0)$$

so that f_{yx} is not continuous at (0, 0), i.e., the conditions of Schwarz's theorem are not satisfied. Let us now show that the conditions of Young's theorem are also not satisfied. Now

$$f_{xx}(0,0) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f_x(x,0) - f_x(0,0)}{x} = 0$$

Also f_x is differentiable at (0, 0) if

$$f_x(h, k) - f_x(0, 0) = f_{xx}(0, 0) \cdot h + f_{yx}(0, 0) \cdot k + h\phi + k\psi$$

or

$$\frac{2hk^4}{(h^2 + k^2)^2} = h\phi + k\psi$$

where ϕ, ψ tend to zero as $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$.

Putting $h = \rho \cos \theta$ and $k = \rho \sin \theta$, and dividing by ρ , we get

$$2\cos\theta\sin^4\theta$$

 $2\cos\theta\sin^4\theta = \cos\theta\cdot\phi + \sin\theta\psi$

and $(h, k) \to (0, 0)$ is same thing as $\rho \to 0$ and θ is arbitrary. Thus proceeding to limits, we get

which is impossible for arbitrary θ .

 f_x is not differentiable at (0, 0)

Similarly, it may be shown that f_y is not differentiable at (0, 0).

Similarly, Thus the conditions of Young's theorem are also not satisfied but, as shown above,

$$f_{xy}(0, 0) = f_{yx}(0, 0)$$
.

Show that the function Example 18.

$$f(x, y) = \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 + y^2}, (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$$

$$f(0,0)=0$$

does not satisfy the conditions of Schwarz's theorem and

$$f_{xy}(0,0) \neq f_{yx}(0,0)$$

It may be shown, as in example 15, that

$$f_{xy}(0, 0) = 1, f_{yx}(0, 0) = -1$$

so that

$$f_{xy}(0, 0) \neq f_{yx}(0, 0)$$

Now, for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ we have

$$f_x(x, y) = \frac{(x^2 + y^2)y(3x^2 - y^2) - 2x^2y(x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{y\{x^4 + 4x^2y^2 - y^4\}}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$f_{yx}(x, y) = \frac{(x^2 + y^2)^2 \{x^4 + 12x^2y^2 - 5y^4\} - 4y^2(x^2 + y^2) \{x^4 + 4x^2y^2 - y^4\}}{(x^2 + y^2)^4}$$

$$=\frac{x^6+9x^4y^2-9x^2y^4-y^6}{(x^2+y^2)^3}.$$

By putting y = mx or $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$, it may be shown that

$$\lim_{(x, y)\to(0, 0)} f_{yx}(x, y) \neq -1 = f_{yx}(0, 0).$$

Thus f_{yx} is not continuous at (0, 0). It may similarly be shown that f_{xy} is also not continuous at (0, 0).

Thus, the

Thus, the conditions of Schwarz's theorem are not satisfied.