Control- and Data-Dependence

Software Analysis Studio (Week 5)

Yulei Sui

University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Control- and Data-Dependence

What are control- and data-dependence?

- **Control-dependence**
  - Execution order between two program statements/instructions.
  - Can program point B be reached from point A in the control-flow graph of a program?
  - Obtained through traversing the ICFG of a program
- **Data-dependence**
  - Definition-use relation between two program variables.
  - Will the definition of a variable X be used and passed to another variable Y?
  - Obtained through analyzing the PAG of a program
  - Combining PAG with ICFG to yield more precise flow-sensitive and context-sensitive data-dependence.

Control- and Data-Dependence

Why learn control- and data-dependence?

A program dependence relation by its nature is the reachability property on a graph, particularly useful in program understanding, optimizations and bug detection.

Control- and Data-Dependence

Why learn control- and data-dependence?

A program dependence relation by its nature is the reachability property on a graph, particularly useful in program understanding, optimizations and bug detection.

- **Applications of control-dependence**
  - Dead code elimination: If a subgraph of an ICFG is not connected from the entry block of a program, that subgraph is possibly dead code.

Control- and Data-Dependence

Why learn control- and data-dependence?

A program dependence relation by its nature is the reachability property on a graph, particularly useful in program understanding, optimizations and bug detection.

- **Applications of control-dependence**
  - Dead code elimination: If a subgraph of an ICFG is not connected from the entry block of a program, that subgraph is possibly dead code.
  - Identifying infinite loops: If the exit block is unreachable from the entry block, an infinite loop may exist.
  - ...

Control- and Data-Dependence

Why learn control- and data-dependence?

A program dependence relation by its nature is the reachability property on a graph, particularly useful in program understanding, optimizations and bug detection.

- **Applications of control-dependence**
  - Dead code elimination: If a subgraph of an ICFG is not connected from the entry block of a program, that subgraph is possibly dead code.
  - Identifying infinite loops: If the exit block is unreachable from the entry block, an infinite loop may exist.
  - ...
- **Applications of data-dependence**
  - Pointer alias analysis: statically determine possible runtime values of a pointer to detect memory errors, such as null pointer dereferences and use-after-frees.

Control- and Data-Dependence

Why learn control- and data-dependence?

A program dependence relation by its nature is the reachability property on a graph, particularly useful in program understanding, optimizations and bug detection.

- **Applications of control-dependence**
  - Dead code elimination: If a subgraph of an ICFG is not connected from the entry block of a program, that subgraph is possibly dead code.
  - Identifying infinite loops: If the exit block is unreachable from the entry block, an infinite loop may exist.
  - ...
- **Applications of data-dependence**
  - Pointer alias analysis: statically determine possible runtime values of a pointer to detect memory errors, such as null pointer dereferences and use-after-frees.
  - Taint analysis: if two program variables v1 and v2 are aliases (e.g., representing the same memory location), if v1 is tainted by user inputs, then v2 is also tainted.
  - ...

Control-Dependence

We say that a program statement (ICFG node) `snk` is control-flow dependent on `src` if `src` can reach `snk` on the ICFG.

- Context-insensitive control-dependence
  - control-flow traversal without matching calls and returns.
  - fast but imprecise

Control-Dependence

We say that a program statement (ICFG node) `snk` is control-flow dependent on `src` if `src` can reach `snk` on the ICFG.

- Context-insensitive control-dependence
  - control-flow traversal without matching calls and returns.
  - fast but imprecise
- Context-sensitive control-dependence
  - control-flow traversal by matching calls and returns.
  - precise but maintains an extra abstract call stack (storing a sequence of callsite ID information) to mimic the runtime call stack.

Control-Dependence

```
int bar(int s){
    return s;
}
int main(){
    int a = source();
    if (a > 0){
        int p = bar(a);
        sink(p);
    }else{
        int q = bar(a);
        sink(q);
    }
}
```

Control-Dependence

```
define i32 @bar(i32 %s) #0 {
entry:
ret i32 %s
}

define i32 @main() #0 {
entry:
%call = call i32 (...) @source()
%cmp = icmp sgt i32 %call, 0
br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.else

if.then:          ; preds = %entry
%call1 = call i32 @bar(i32 %call)
call void @sink(i32 %call1)
br label %if.end

if.else:          ; preds = %entry
%call2 = call i32 @bar(i32 %call)
call void @sink(i32 %call2)
br label %if.end

if.end:       ; preds = %if.else, %if.then
ret i32 0
}
```

Control-Dependence

```
1  define i32 @bar(i32 %s) #0 {
2  entry:
3  ret i32 %s
   }

   define i32 @main() #0 {
4  entry:
5  %call = call i32 (...) @source()
6  %cmp = icmp sgt i32 %call, 0
7  br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.else
8
   if.then:          ; preds = %entry
9  %call1 = call i32 @bar(i32 %call)
10 call void @sink(i32 %call1)
11 br label %if.end
12
   if.else:          ; preds = %entry
13 %call2 = call i32 @bar(i32 %call)
14 call void @sink(i32 %call2)
15 br label %if.end
16
   if.end:       ; preds = %if.else, %if.then
17 ret i32 0
18 }
```



LLVM-IR ICFG

Control-Dependence

```
   define i32 @bar(i32 %s) #0 {
1  entry:
2  ret i32 %s
3  }

   define i32 @main() #0 {
4  entry:
5  %call = call i32 (...) @source()
6  %cmp = icmp sgt i32 %call, 0
7  br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.else
8
   if.then:          ; preds = %entry
9  %call1 = call i32 @bar(i32 %call)
10 call void @sink(i32 %call1)
11 br label %if.end
12
   if.else:          ; preds = %entry
13 %call2 = call i32 @bar(i32 %call)
14 call void @sink(i32 %call2)
15 br label %if.end
16
   if.end:       ; preds = %if.else, %if.then
17 ret i32 0
18 }
```

LLVM-IR



ICFG

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Obtaining a path from source to sink on ICFG



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Obtaining paths from node 6 to node 11 on the ICFG



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 11

```
Path 1:
    6→7→8→call1→ret1→11
Path 2:
    6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret1→11
Path 3:
    6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret1→11
```

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Feasible paths from node 6 to node 11



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 11

Path 1: **feasible path**
6→7→8→call1→ret1→11

Path 2:
6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret1→11

Path 3:
6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret1→11

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Feasible paths from node 6 to node 11



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 11

Path 1:
6→7→8→call1→ret1→11

Path 2: **feasible path**
6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret1→11

Path 3:
6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret1→11

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Infeasible path from node 6 to node 11



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 11

Path 1:
6→7→8→call1→ret1→11
Path 2:
6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret1→11
Path 3: **spurious path**
6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret1→11

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Obtaining paths from node 6 to node 16 on ICFG



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 16

```
Path 4:
    6→7→8→call2→ret2→16
Path 5:
    6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret2→16
Path 6:
    6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret2→16
```

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Feasible paths using from node 6 to node 16 on the ICFG



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 16

Path 4: **feasible path**
6→7→8→call2→ret2→16

Path 5:
6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret2→16

Path 6:
6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret2→16

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Feasible paths using from node 6 to node 16 on the ICFG



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 16

Path 4:
6→7→8→call2→ret2→16

Path 5: **feasible path**
6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret2→16

Path 6:
6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret2→16

Context-Insensitive Control-Dependence

Infeasible paths using from node 6 to node 16 on the ICFG



Basic DFS on ICFG: source → sink

```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>

DFS(visited, path, src, dst)
   visited.insert(src);
   path.push_back(src);
   if src == dst then
     Print path;
   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
      if (e.dst ∉ visited)
           DFS(visited, path, e.dst, dst);
   visited.erase(src);
   path.pop_back();
```

ICFG paths: node 6 → node 16

Path 4:
6→7→8→call2→ret2→16
Path 5:
6→7→8→call2→1→2→3→ret2→16
Path 6: **spurious path**
6→7→8→call1→1→2→3→ret2→16

Context-Sensitive Control-Dependence

An extension of the context-insensitive algorithm by matching calls and returns.

- Get only feasible interprocedural paths and exclude infeasible ones
- Requires an extra callstack to store and mimic the runtime calling relations.

Context-Sensitive Control-Dependence

Obtaining feasible paths from a source node to sink node on ICFG




```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>
callstack: stack<callsite> //A stack of LLVM call instructions
DFS(visited, path, callstack, src, dst)
1   visited.insert(src)
2   path.push_back(src)
3   if src == dst then
4     Print path
5   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
6     if e.dst ∉ visited then
7         if e.isIntraCFGEdge() then
8             DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
9         else if e.isCallCFGEdge() then
10            callstack.push(e.getCallsite())
11            DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
12        else if e.isRetCFGEdge() then
13            if !callstack.empty() && callstack.top() == e.getCallsite() then
14                callstack.pop()
15                DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
16  visited.erase(src);
17  path.pop_back();
```

Context-Sensitive Control-Dependence

call1 matches with ret1




```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>
callstack: stack<callsite> //A stack of LLVM call instructions
DFS(visited, path, callstack, src, dst)
1   visited.insert(src)
2   path.push_back(src)
3   if src == dst then
4     Print path
5   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
6     if e.dst ∉ visited then
7         if e.isIntraCFGEdge() then
8             DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
9         else if e.isCallCFGEdge() then
10            callstack.push(e.getCallsite())
11            DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
12        else if e.isRetCFGEdge() then
13            if !callstack.empty() && callstack.top() == e.getCallsite() then
14                callstack.pop()
15                DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
16  visited.erase(src);
17  path.pop_back();
```

Context-Sensitive Control-Dependence

call2 does not match with ret1




```
visited: set<NodeID>
path: vector<NodeID>
callstack: stack<callsite> //A stack of LLVM call instructions
DFS(visited, path, callstack, src, dst)
1   visited.insert(src)
2   path.push_back(src)
3   if src == dst then
4     Print path
5   foreach edge e ∈ outEdges(src) do
6     if e.dst ∉ visited then
7         if e.isIntraCFGEdge() then
8             DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
9         else if e.isCallCFGEdge() then
10            callstack.push(e.getCallsite())
11            DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
12        else if e.isRetCFGEdge() then
13            if !callstack.empty() && callstack.top() == e.getCallsite() then
14                callstack.pop()
15                DFS(visited, path, callstack, e.dst, dst)
16  visited.erase(src);
17  path.pop_back();
```

What's next?

- (1) Understand control-dependence in this slides
- (2) Implement a context-insensitive ICFG traversal (Migrate the algorithm you have implemented in Assignment 1)
- (3) Implement a context-sensitive ICFG traversal, i.e., Task in Assignment 2
  - Refer to 'Assignment-2.pdf' on Canvas to know more about Assignment 2.