BITS Pilani
K K Birla Goa Campus

Transport Layer

- Congestion Control

Lec 18

Principles of congestion control

Principles of Congestion Control

Congestion:

- informally: "too many sources sending too much data too fast for *network* to handle"
- different from flow control!
- manifestations:
  - lost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
  - long delays (queueing in router buffers)
- a top-10 problem!

Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 1

- two senders, two receivers
- one router, infinite buffers
- no retransmission







- large delays when congested
- maximum achievable throughput

Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 2

- one router, *finite* buffers
- sender retransmission of lost packet



Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 2

- always: $\lambda_{in} = \lambda_{out}$ (goodput)
- "perfect" retransmission only when loss: $\lambda'_{in} > \lambda_{out}$
- retransmission of delayed (not lost) packet makes λ'_{in} larger (than perfect case) for same λ_{out}



"costs" of congestion:

- more work (retrans) for given "goodput"
- unneeded retransmissions: link carries multiple copies of pkt

Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 3

- four senders
- multihop paths
- timeout/retransmit

Q: what happens as λ_{in} and λ'_{in} increase ?



Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 3





Another “cost” of congestion:

- when packet dropped, any “upstream transmission capacity used for that packet was wasted!

Approaches towards congestion control

Two broad approaches towards congestion control:

End-end congestion control:

- no explicit feedback from network
- congestion inferred from end-system observed loss, delay
- approach taken by TCP

Network-assisted congestion control:

- routers provide feedback to end systems
  - single bit indicating congestion (SNA, DECbit, TCP/IP ECN, ATM)
  - explicit rate sender should send at