

# COMP-170: Homework #6

## Ben Tanen - March 12, 2017

### Problem 4

Consider the following languages

$L_1 = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is an oracle machine with access to } A_{TM} \text{ and } M \text{ does not accept } w\}$

$L_2 = \{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ are oracle machines with access to } A_{TM} \text{ and } L(M_1) = L(M_2)\}$

Prove that if you can recognize  $L_2$  you can recognize  $L_1$ , further argue that if you can recognize  $L_1$  you can recognize  $\overline{A_{TM}}$ .

\* \* \*

To prove  $L_1$  is recognizable if  $L_2$  is recognizable, we can prove  $L_1 \leq_m L_2$ . In order to do this, we will construct a function  $f : \Sigma_{L_1}^* \rightarrow \Sigma_{L_2}^*$  such that  $x \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L_2$ . Once we show that  $L_1 \leq_m L_2$ , because we assumed  $L_2$  to be recognizable, we will see that  $L_1$  is also recognizable (if  $L_2$  is recognizable).

To prove  $\overline{A_{TM}}$  is recognizable if  $L_1$  is recognizable, we can use similar methods to show  $\overline{A_{TM}} \leq_m L_1$ . This will show that if  $L_1$  is recognizable, then  $A_{TM}$  is recognizable.

To start, we will claim  $L_1 \leq_m L_2$ . To prove this claim, we will first show how  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  relate to each other (using the “Tony Square”).

	$L_1$	$L_2$
IN	$M$ has oracle access and $M$ doesn't accept $w$	$M_1$ and $M_2$ both have oracle access and $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$
OUT	$M$ doesn't have oracle access or $M$ accepts $w$	$M_1$ or $M_2$ do not have oracle access or $L(M_1) \neq L(M_2)$

Now that we've built our “Tony Square,” we can define our function. Let  $f : \Sigma_{L_1}^* \rightarrow \Sigma_{L_2}^*$  be defined as follows:

$f$  on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  outputs  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are defined by:

**$M_1$  on input  $x$ :**

Run  $M$  on  $w$

If  $M$  accepts  $w$ , *ACCEPT*

If  $M$  rejects  $w$ , *LOOP*

**$M_2$  on input  $x$ :**

*LOOP*

Given our definition of  $f$ , we claim that  $f$  is computable. Because  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are valid Turing machines and  $f$  is a finite function (made up of finite steps), we can see that  $f$  is indeed computable.

Now, we can go through two cases to show that  $f$  correctly satisfies  $x \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L_2$ . Consider the following two cases:

1. Suppose  $\langle M, w \rangle \in L_1$  such that  $M$  doesn't accept  $w$ . Because  $M$  doesn't accept  $w$ , we can see that  $M_1$  will loop on everything. We can also see that  $M_2$  loops on everything always, so we can see  $L(M_1) = \emptyset = L(M_2)$ . Thus  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \in L_2$  if  $\langle M, w \rangle \in L_1$ .
2. Suppose  $\langle M, w \rangle \notin L_1$  such that  $M$  accepts  $w$ . Because  $M$  accepts  $w$ , we can see that  $M_1$  will accept everything. We can also see that  $M_2$  again loops on everything. Thus, we can see that  $L(M_1) \neq L(M_2)$ . Thus  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \notin L_2$  if  $\langle M, w \rangle \notin L_1$ .

Given these two cases, we can thus see that  $f$  does indeed satisfy the claim  $x \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L_2$ . Therefore, we can see that  $L_1 \leq_m L_2$ . Thus, if  $L_2$  is recognizable, we can see that  $L_1$  is also recognizable.

We will now claim  $\overline{A_{TM}} \leq_m L_1$ . To prove this claim, we will first show how  $\overline{A_{TM}}$  and  $L_1$  relate to each other (using the “Tony Square”).

	$\overline{A_{TM}}$	$L_1$
IN	$M$ doesn't accept $w$	$M$ has oracle access and $M$ doesn't accept $w$
OUT	$M$ accepts $w$	$M$ doesn't have oracle access or $M$ accepts $w$

Now that we've built our “Tony Square,” we can define our function. Let  $f : \Sigma_{L_1}^* \rightarrow \Sigma_{L_2}^*$  be defined as follows:

$f$  on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  outputs  $\langle M', w' \rangle$ , where  $M' = M$  and  $w' = w$

Given our definition of  $f$ , we claim that  $f$  is computable. Because  $M'$  is a valid Turing machines (since  $M$  is) and  $f$  is a finite function (made up of finite steps), we can see that  $f$  is indeed computable.

Now, we can go through two cases to show that  $f$  correctly satisfies  $x \in \overline{A_{TM}} \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L_1$ . Consider the following two cases:

1. Suppose  $\langle M, w \rangle \in \overline{A_{TM}}$  such that  $M$  doesn't accept  $w$ . We therefore set  $M' = M$  and  $w' = w$ , so we can see that  $\langle M', w' \rangle \in L_1$ .
2. Suppose  $\langle M, w \rangle \notin L_1$  such that  $M$  accepts  $w$ . We therefore set  $M' = M$  and  $w' = w$ , so we can see that  $\langle M', w' \rangle \notin L_1$ .

Given these two cases, we can thus see that  $f$  does indeed satisfy the claim  $x \in \overline{A_{TM}} \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L_1$ . Therefore, we can see that  $\overline{A_{TM}} \leq_m L_1$ . Thus, if  $L_1$  is recognizable, we can see that  $\overline{A_{TM}}$  is also recognizable.