

COMP-105, μ Scheme Assignment

Benjamin Tanen

October 6, 2015

Ramsey, p.181 #31

Problem: Prove that $(\text{length} (\text{reverse } xs)) = (\text{length } xs)$

For this proof, we will be using **simple-reverse** in the place of the generic **replace** function. The first step is the base case in which **xs** is empty ($xs = \text{nil}$).

```
(length (simple-reverse '()))
={substitute actual parameters in definition of length function}
(if (null? (simple-reverse '()))
    0
    (+ 1 (length (cdr '()))))
={substitute actual parameters in definition of simple-reverse function}
(if (null?
    (if (null? '())
        '()
        (append(simple-reverse cdr '())(list1 (car '())))))
    0
    (+ 1 (length (cdr (simple-reverse '())))))
={null? - empty list law}
(if (null?
    (if #t '() (append (simple-reverse cdr '()) list1 (car '()))))
    0
    (+ 1 (length (cdr (simple-reverse '())))))
={if - #t law}
(if (null? '())
    0
    (+ 1 (length (cdr (simple-reverse '())))))
={null? - empty list law}
(if #t)
0
(+ 1 (length (cdr (simple-reverse '())))))
```

={if - #t law}

0

={since, by definition, the length of the empty list is 0, we can say $0 = (\text{length } '())$ }
(length '())

Thus we have shown that the base case ($\mathbf{xs} = \mathbf{nil}$) is true.

The next step is to show the inductive step, where we assume $\mathbf{xs} \neq \mathbf{nil}$. We can think of this list as being made up of two parts, the front y and back ys . Since we have shown the base case already, we will next try to show, considering $\mathbf{xs} = (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys)$, the inductive hypothesis of:

$$(\text{length } (\text{reverse } \mathbf{xs})) = (\text{length } \mathbf{xs})$$

(length (simple-reverse xs))

={under assumption $\mathbf{xs} \neq \mathbf{nil}$, $\mathbf{xs} = (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys)$ }

(length (simple-reverse (cons y ys)))

={substitute actual parameters into definition of simple-reverse function}

(length
 (if (null? (cons y ys))
 (cons y ys)
 (append
 (simple-reverse (cdr (cons y ys)))
 (list1 (car (cons y ys))))))

={null?-cons law}

(length
 (if #f
 (cons y ys)
 (append
 (simple-reverse (cdr (cons y ys)))
 (list1 (car (cons y ys))))))

={if #f law}

(length
 (append
 (simple-reverse (cdr (cons y ys)))
 (list1 (car (cons y ys))))))

={car-cons law}

(length
 (append
 (simple-reverse (cdr (cons y ys)))
 (list1 (y))))

={cdr-cons law}

(length (append (simple-reverse ys) (list1 (y))))

={substitute in definition of list1 function}

```

(length (append (simple-reverse ys) (cons y '())))
={using (length (append xs ys)) = (+ (length xs) (length ys)) law, from Ramsey p.94}
(+ (length (simple-reverse ys)) (length (cons y '())))
={substitute parameters into definition of length}
(+
  (length (simple-reverse ys))
  (if (null? (cons y '()))
      0
      (+ 1 (length (cdr (cons y '()))))))
={cdr-cons law}
(+
  (length (simple-reverse ys))
  (if (null? (cons y '()))
      0
      (+ 1 (length '()))))
={null-cons law}
(+
  (length (simple-reverse ys))
  (if #f
      0
      (+ 1 (length '()))))
={if #f law}
(+
  (length (simple-reverse ys))
  (+ 1 (length '())))
={induction hypothesis, (length (reverse ys)) = (length ys)}
(+
  (length ys)
  (+ 1 (length '())))
={substitute parameters into definition of length}
(+
  (length ys)
  (+ 1
    (if (null? '())
        0
        (+ 1 (length (cdr '()))))))
={null? - '() law}
(+
  (length ys)
  (+ 1
    (if #t
        0

```

```

(+ 1 (length (cdr '()))))
={if-true law}
(+
  (length ys)
  (+ 1 0))
={addition}
(+ (length ys) 1)
={addition is commutative}
(+ 1 (length ys))
={using (length (cons x xs)) = (+ 1 (length xs)) law, from Ramsey p.93}
(length (cons y ys))
={using previous assumption xs = (cons y ys)}
(length xs)
Thus was have shown (length (reverse xs)) = (length xs) is true.

```