



Smart Contract Audit

FOR

Holy Shib Pad

DATED : 25 JAN 23'



AUDIT SUMMARY

Project name – Holy Shib Pad

Date: 25 January , 2023

Scope of Audit- Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

Audit Status: **Passed** (Contract is developed by Pinksale safu dev)

Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0

USED TOOLS

Tools:

1- Manual Review:

a line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

2- BSCTest network:

all tests were done on BSCTest network, each test has its transaction has attached to it.

3- Slither : Static Analysis

Testnet Link: all tests were done using this contract, tests are done on bsctest net

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/token/0xe2a907f1de977fc6e4ae05952f0c25a2b8c9099e>



Token Information

Token Name : Holy Shib Pad

Token Symbol: HOLYSHIB

Decimals: 18

Token Address:

0xD5Be0E4316194c5B87AC9420c00Bc31C00CC7E35

Checksum:

1c9d9c2ae2eb80cc40ac8ac840750d07ed4e622392
6b2ae87e4404371ab3fd33

Deployer:

0x65EcDB67225Cee7d96c7E311f70593065bC322Bb

Owner:

0x65EcDB67225Cee7d96c7E311f70593065bC322Bb



TOKEN OVERVIEW

Fees:

Buy Fees: 1%

Sell Fees: 1%

Transfer Fees: 0%

Fees Privilege: Owner

Ownership : Owned

Minting: No mint function

Max Tx Amount/ Max Wallet Amount: No

Blacklist: No

Other Privileges: No



AUDIT METHODOLOGY

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
 - Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
 - Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run the test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
 - Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.
-

VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ✓ Return values of low-level calls | ✓ Gasless Send |
| ✓ Private modifier | ✓ Using block.timestamp |
| ✓ Multiple Sends | ✓ Re-entrancy |
| ✓ Using Suicide | ✓ Tautology or contradiction |
| ✓ Gas Limitand Loops | ✓ Timestamp Dependence |
| ✓ Address hardcoded | ✓ Revert/require functions |
| ✓ Exception Disorder | ✓ Use of tx.origin |
| ✓ Using inline assembly | ✓ Integer overflow/underflow |
| ✓ Divide before multiply | ✓ Dangerous strict equalities |
| ✓ Missing Zero Address Validation | ✓ Using SHA3 |
| ✓ Compiler version not fixed | ✓ Using throw |
-



CLASSIFICATION OF RISK

Severity

Description

◆ Critical	These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
◆ High-Risk	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.
◆ Medium-Risk	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.
◆ Low-Risk	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestion	A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.


Findings

Severity

Found

◆ Critical	0
◆ High-Risk	0
◆ Medium-Risk	0
◆ Low-Risk	0
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestions	0

INHERITANCE TREE





POINTS TO NOTE




















- **Owner is not able to change or set taxes (0% always)**
 - **Owner is not able to blacklist an arbitrary wallet**
 - **Owner is not able to set max buy/sell/transfer amounts**
 - **Owner is not able to disable trades**
 - **Owner is not able to mint new tokens**
-

CONTRACT ASSESMENT

Contract	Type	Bases			
┌	**Function Name**	**Visibility**	**Mutability**	**Modifiers**	
Context	Implementation				
┌	_msgSender	Internal	🔒		
┌	_msgData	Internal	🔒		
IBEP20	Interface				
┌	totalSupply	External	!	NO!	
┌	balanceOf	External	!	NO!	
┌	transfer	External	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	allowance	External	!	NO!	
┌	approve	External	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	transferFrom	External	! 🔴	NO!	
IBEP20Metadata	Interface	IBEP20			
┌	name	External	!	NO!	
┌	symbol	External	!	NO!	
┌	decimals	External	!	NO!	
BEP20	Implementation	Context, IBEP20, IBEP20Metadata			
┌	<Constructor>	Public	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	name	Public	!	NO!	
┌	symbol	Public	!	NO!	
┌	decimals	Public	!	NO!	
┌	totalSupply	Public	!	NO!	
┌	balanceOf	Public	!	NO!	
┌	transfer	Public	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	allowance	Public	!	NO!	
┌	approve	Public	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	transferFrom	Public	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	increaseAllowance	Public	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	decreaseAllowance	Public	! 🔴	NO!	
┌	_transfer	Internal	🔒 🔴		
┌	_tokengeneration	Internal	🔒 🔴		
┌	_approve	Internal	🔒 🔴		
Address	Library				
┌	sendValue	Internal	🔒 🔴		

CONTRACT ASSESMENT


```

| **Ownable** | Implementation | Context | | |
|  | <Constructor> | Public ! |  | NO ! |
|  | owner | Public ! | | NO ! |
|  | renounceOwnership | Public ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | transferOwnership | Public ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | _setOwner | Private  |  | |
| | | | |
| **IFactory** | Interface | | | |
|  | createPair | External ! |  | NO ! |
| | | | |
| **IRouter** | Interface | | | |
|  | factory | External ! | | NO ! |
|  | WETH | External ! | | NO ! |
| | | | |
| **HOLYSHIB** | Implementation | BEP20, Ownable | | |
|  | <Constructor> | Public ! |  | BEP20 |
|  | approve | Public ! |  | NO ! |
|  | transferFrom | Public ! |  | NO ! |
|  | increaseAllowance | Public ! |  | NO ! |
|  | decreaseAllowance | Public ! |  | NO ! |
|  | transfer | Public ! |  | NO ! |
|  | _transfer | Internal  |  | |
|  | EnableTrading | External ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | updateWhitelist | External ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | bulkWhitelist | External ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | rescueBNB | External ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | rescueBSC20 | External ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | burnBSC20 | External ! |  | onlyOwner |
|  | <Receive Ether> | External ! |  | NO ! |

```

| Symbol | Meaning |

| :-----: | ----- |

|  | Function can modify state |

|  | Function is payable |



STATIC ANALYSIS

```
Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#338-349) is never used and should be removed
Context._msgData() (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#14-17) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

Pragma version^0.8.17 (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#7) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6/0.8.16
solc-0.8.17 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#338-349):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#344)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls

Variable BEP20._balances (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#70) is not in mixedCase
Variable BEP20._allowances (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#72) is not in mixedCase
Function IRouter.WETH() (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#402) is not in mixedCase
Function HOLYSHIB.EnableTrading() (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#511-514) is not in mixedCase
Parameter HOLYSHIB.updateWhitelist(address,bool)._address (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#516) is not in mixedCase
Constant HOLYSHIB.deadWallet (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#413-414) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions

Redundant expression "this (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#15)" inContext (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#9-18)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements

HOLYSHIB.pair (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#409) should be immutable
HOLYSHIB.router (contracts/Ace_Testing_BSC.sol#408) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
```

Result => A static analysis of contract's source code has been performed using slither, no issues found in the output (except some minor impact suggestions)



FUNCTIONAL TESTING

Functionality tests for ERC20 tokens includes:

- adding liquidity
- buying / selling /transferring (for non-whitelisted wallets)

1- Adding Liquidity:

liquidity added on on Pancakeswap v2:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x51a20187dc8136dd66afea74041af7436885f130bf6d5b8727e1f26579f0c950>

no issue were found on adding liquidity.

2- Buying from a non-excluded wallet:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x9b56502d24466059ead7ec585801d5e1defcdac4254c15741842bd75ad2fc9ba>

0% tax for non-whitelisted wallets

3- Selling from a non-excluded wallet

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xe08c9292478e2606001fb41125d389a73b0f7faf5583508998de689362416b5b>

0% tax for non-whitelisted wallets



MANUAL TESTING

NO RISKS WERE FOUND IN THE CONTRACT





DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



ABOUT AUDITACE

We specialize in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



<https://auditace.tech/>



https://t.me/Audit_Ace



https://twitter.com/auditace_



<https://github.com/Audit-Ace>
