



Smart Contract Audit

FOR

MegaMemeChain

DATED : 19 Jan, 2024



AUDIT SUMMARY

Project name – MegaMemeChain

Date: 19 Jan, 2024

Scope of Audit- Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

Audit Status: **Passed With High Risk**

Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	1	1	1	2
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0

USED TOOLS

Tools:

1- Manual Review:

A line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

2- BSC Test Network: All tests were conducted on the BSC Test network, and each test has a corresponding transaction attached to it. These tests can be found in the "Functional Tests" section of the report.

3- Slither :

The code has undergone static analysis using Slither.

Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the BSC Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x7ae7d4f3761b11155a44cc8d1eb80a458285992d#code>



Token Information

Token Name : MegaMemeChain

Token Symbol: MegaMemeChain

Decimals: 18

Token Supply: 21000000

Network: BscScan

Token Type: BEP-20

Token Address:

0x28919aECa96F12036a6897fAd15ac47b6237f667

Checksum:

A57acbefe2a12642d388659df fd211h5

Owner:

0x24F5D88c25026d2FFe17405458238B2a17e3142D
(at time of writing the audit)

Deployer:

0x24F5D88c25026d2FFe17405458238B2a17e3142D



TOKEN OVERVIEW

Fees:

Marketing Fee: 5%

Sell Fee: 3%

Transfer Fee: 0-0%

Fees Privilege: Owner

Ownership: Owned

Minting: No mint function

Max Tx Amount/ Max Wallet Amount: No

Blacklist: No



AUDIT METHODOLOGY

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
 - Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
 - Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run the test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
 - Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.
-



VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST

- | | |
|--|---|
|  Return values of low-level calls |  Gasless Send |
|  Private modifier |  Using block.timestamp |
|  Multiple Sends |  Re-entrancy |
|  Using Suicide |  Tautology or contradiction |
|  Gas Limitand Loops |  Timestamp Dependence |
|  Address hardcoded |  Revert/require functions |
|  Exception Disorder |  Use of tx.origin |
|  Using inline assembly |  Integer overflow/underflow |
|  Divide before multiply |  Dangerous strict equalities |
|  Missing Zero Address Validation |  Using SHA3 |
|  Compiler version not fixed |  Using throw |
-



STATIC ANALYSIS

A static analysis of the code was performed using Slither.

No issues were found.

```
INFO:Detectors:
MegaMemeChain._transfer(address,address,uint256).fee (MegaMemeChain.sol#582) is written in both
    fee = 0 (MegaMemeChain.sol#591)
    fee = (amount * feesum) / 100 (MegaMemeChain.sol#607)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#write-after-write
INFO:Detectors:
MegaMemeChain.updateLiquidityTreshold(uint256) (MegaMemeChain.sol#704-709) should emit an event for:
    - tokenLiquidityThreshold = new_amount * 10 ** decimals() (MegaMemeChain.sol#708)
MegaMemeChain.updatedeadline(uint256) (MegaMemeChain.sol#718-722) should emit an event for:
    - deadline = _deadline (MegaMemeChain.sol#721)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-events-arithmetic
INFO:Detectors:
Modifier MegaMemeChain.lockTheSwap() (MegaMemeChain.sol#478-484) does not always execute _; or revertReference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-modifier
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in MegaMemeChain.Liquify(uint256,MegaMemeChain.Taxes) (MegaMemeChain.sol#625-664):
    External calls:
        - swapTokensForETH(toSwap) (MegaMemeChain.sol#647)
            - router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(tokenAmount,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (MegaMemeChain.sol#675-681)
        - addLiquidity(tokensToAddLiquidityWith,ethToAddLiquidityWith) (MegaMemeChain.sol#656)
            - router.addLiquidityETH(value: ethAmount)(address(this),tokenAmount,0,0,deadWallet,block.timestamp) (MegaMemeChain.sol#689-696)
    External calls sending eth:
        - addLiquidity(tokensToAddLiquidityWith,ethToAddLiquidityWith) (MegaMemeChain.sol#656)
            - router.addLiquidityETH(value: ethAmount)(address(this),tokenAmount,0,0,deadWallet,block.timestamp) (MegaMemeChain.sol#689-696)
    State variables written after the call(s):
        - addLiquidity(tokensToAddLiquidityWith,ethToAddLiquidityWith) (MegaMemeChain.sol#656)
            - _allowances[owner][spender] = amount (MegaMemeChain.sol#353)
```

```
INFO:Detectors:
Context._msgData() (MegaMemeChain.sol#23-26) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version">0.8.17" (MegaMemeChain.sol#16) allows old versions
solc-0.8.17 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (MegaMemeChain.sol#359-370):
    - (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (MegaMemeChain.sol#365)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Function IRouter.WETH() (MegaMemeChain.sol#422) is not in mixedCase
Function MegaMemeChain.Liquify(uint256,MegaMemeChain.Taxes) (MegaMemeChain.sol#625-664) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MegaMemeChain.updateLiquidityTreshold(uint256).new_amount (MegaMemeChain.sol#704) is not in mixedCase
Function MegaMemeChain.EnableTrading() (MegaMemeChain.sol#711-716) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MegaMemeChain.updatedeadline(uint256)._deadline (MegaMemeChain.sol#718) is not in mixedCase
Function MegaMemeChain.AddExemptFee(address) (MegaMemeChain.sol#729-731) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MegaMemeChain.AddExemptFee(address)._address (MegaMemeChain.sol#729) is not in mixedCase
Function MegaMemeChain.RemoveExemptFee(address) (MegaMemeChain.sol#733-735) is not in mixedCase
Parameter MegaMemeChain.RemoveExemptFee(address)._address (MegaMemeChain.sol#733) is not in mixedCase
Function MegaMemeChain.AddExemptFee(address[]) (MegaMemeChain.sol#737-744) is not in mixedCase
Function MegaMemeChain.RemoveExemptFee(address[]) (MegaMemeChain.sol#746-753) is not in mixedCase
Variable MegaMemeChain.genesis_block (MegaMemeChain.sol#461) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Redundant expression "this (MegaMemeChain.sol#24)" inContext (MegaMemeChain.sol#18-27)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
INFO:Detectors:
MegaMemeChain.launchtax (MegaMemeChain.sol#463) should be constant
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-constant
INFO:Detectors:
MegaMemeChain.pair (MegaMemeChain.sol#453) should be immutable
MegaMemeChain.router (MegaMemeChain.sol#452) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
INFO:Slither:MegaMemeChain.sol analyzed (9 contracts with 93 detectors), 37 result(s) found
```




Functional Tests

1- Approve (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xea4e80070aebf59afe526b45848ebc8aa4ebce386ea7a659e59f9d25e00cb696>

2- Increase Allowance (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x75ef2461e3bcab68f9326464baf1c9d23d18cef06e6427de6d164949c50d2c17>

3- Decrease Allowance (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x8c445b93d2adf406ca19e86d57b2c7c3a28dd537941fb4c4e6d6e402f06871bf>

4- Add Exempt Fee (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x49aeaf3244a9195f8f4a1f699c7cf85231318313e1007b410853dcb8f24d2390>

5- Enable Trading (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xa0338965af41a50490a06ce871d4af4c9233c0e2fc35d2d8a092f081bb563e74>

6- Update Marketing Wallet (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x3812058865d6fee114f2ebdc53682d72abad95b138c70f776b1f4a8f7aaed386>

POINTS TO NOTE

- The owner can transfer ownership.
 - The owner can renounce ownership.
 - The owner can update the liquidity treshhold amount.
 - The owner can update the deadline.
 - The owner can add/remove the address from the exempt fee.
 - The owner can rescue BNB.
 - The owner can Enable Trading
 - The owner can update the marketing wallet address.
-



CLASSIFICATION OF RISK

Severity

Description

◆ Critical	These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
◆ High-Risk	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.
◆ Medium-Risk	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.
◆ Low-Risk	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.
◆ Gas Optimization /Suggestion	A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.

Findings

Severity

Found

◆ Critical	0
◆ High-Risk	1
◆ Medium-Risk	1
◆ Low-Risk	1
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestions	2

MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Enabling Trades

Severity: High

Function: EnableTrading

Status: Open

Overview:

The EnableTrading function permits only the contract owner to activate trading capabilities. Until this function is executed, no investors can buy, sell, or transfer their tokens. This places a high degree of control and centralization in the hands of the contract owner.

```
function EnableTrading() external onlyOwner {
    require(!tradingEnabled, "Cannot re-enable trading");
    tradingEnabled = true;
    providingLiquidity = true;
    genesis_block = block.number;
}
```

Suggestion:

To reduce centralization and potential manipulation, consider one of the following approaches:

1. Automatically enable trading after a specified condition, such as the completion of a presale, is met.

2. If manual activation is still desired, consider transferring the ownership of the contract to a trustworthy, third-party entity like a certified "PinkSale Safu" developer. This can give investors more confidence in the eventual activation of trading capabilities, mitigating concerns of potential bad-faith actions by the original owner.

MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Missing Require Check

Severity: Medium

Function: updateMarketingWallet

Status: Open

Overview:

The owner can set any arbitrary address excluding zero address as this is not recommended because if the owner will set the address to the contract address, then the Eth will not be sent to that address and the transaction will fail and this will lead to a potential honeypot in the contract.

```
function updateMarketingWallet(address newWallet) external onlyOwner {
    require(newWallet != address(0), "Fee Address cannot be zero address");
    marketingWallet = newWallet;
}
```

Suggestion:

It is recommended that the address should not be able to be set as a contract address.

MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Missing Events

Severity: Low

Function: Missing Events

Status: Open

Overview:

They serve as a mechanism for emitting and recording data onto the blockchain, making it transparent and easily accessible.

```
function updateLiquidityTreshhold(uint256 new_amount) external onlyOwner {
    //update the treshhold
    require(new_amount >= 21e2, "Swap threshold amount should be upper or equal
to 0.01% of tokens");
    require(new_amount <= 21e4, "Swap threshold amount should be lower or equal
to 1% of tokens");
    tokenLiquidityThreshold = new_amount * 10**decimals();
}
function EnableTrading() external onlyOwner {
    require(!tradingEnabled, "Cannot re-enable trading");
    tradingEnabled = true;
    providingLiquidity = true;
    genesis_block = block.number;
}
function updatedecline(uint256 _deadline) external onlyOwner {
    require(!tradingEnabled, "Can't change when trading has started");
    require(_deadline < 3, "Deadline should be less than 3 Blocks");
    decline = _deadline;
}
function updateMarketingWallet(address newWallet) external onlyOwner {
    require(newWallet != address(0), "Fee Address cannot be zero address");
    marketingWallet = newWallet;
}
```



MANUAL TESTING

Optimization

Severity: Informational

Subject: Floating Pragma

Status: Open

Overview:

It is considered best practice to pick one compiler version and stick with it. With a floating pragma, contracts may accidentally be deployed using an outdated.

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.17;
```

Suggestion:

Adding the latest constant version of solidity is recommended, as this prevents the unintentional deployment of a contract with an outdated compiler that contains unresolved bugs.



MANUAL TESTING

Optimization

Severity: Optimization

Subject: Remove unused code

Status: Open

Overview:

Unused variables are allowed in Solidity, and they do not pose a direct security issue. It is the best practice though to avoid them.

```
function _msgData() internal view virtual returns (bytes calldata) {
    this; // silence state mutability warning without generating bytecode - see
https://github.com/ethereum/solidity/issues/2691
    return msg.data;
}
```




DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



ABOUT AUDITACE

We specialize in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



<https://auditace.tech/>



https://t.me/Audit_Ace



https://twitter.com/auditace_



<https://github.com/Audit-Ace>
