

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report


FOR: Chi Keung Wong | GMAT ID: 100001552492 | Hong Kong, Hong Kong | May 26, 2014 | Appt ID: 268926865

This is NOT an official score report

Overall GMAT Exam Performance

YOUR GMAT TOTAL
SCORE:

600



YOUR IR SCORE:


6

YOUR VERBAL SCORE:

23

YOUR QUANTITATIVE
SCORE:

49



PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 782458

MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

547.3
(42nd percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Total score is 547.3. This score is better than 42% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

TIME MANAGEMENT BY SECTION

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

INTEGRATED
REASONING


6

VERBAL

23

QUANTITATIVE

49



MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

1.87 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Integrated Reasoning, Verbal, and Quantitative sections is 1.87 minutes. Use this number to evaluate how well you managed your time.

SUMMARY - OVERALL

- Your GMAT Total score of 600 is higher than 61% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
 - Your Integrated Reasoning score of 6 is higher than 67% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
 - Your Verbal score of 23 is higher than 32% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
 - Your Quantitative score of 49 is higher than 79% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
- Your Quantitative score of 49 and your Verbal score of 23 were used to calculate your GMAT Total score. Especially strong or weak scores on either section significantly impacts the GMAT Total score.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Chi Keung Wong | GMAT ID: 100001552492 | Hong Kong, Hong Kong | May 26, 2014 | Appt ID: 268926865

This is NOT an official score report

Integrated Reasoning (IR) Performance

YOUR OVERALL IR SCORE:

6 67th

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100

PERCENT OF QUESTIONS
YOU ANSWERED
CORRECTLY

56%

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100

PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS

TIME MANAGEMENT - INTEGRATED REASONING

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

OVERALL SECTION

2.50

CORRECT

2.15

INCORRECT

3.20

minute 1 minute 2 minute 3 minute

MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

4.33
(37th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Integrated Reasoning score is 4.3. This score is better than 37% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

2.46 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Integrated Reasoning section is 2.46 minutes. Use this number to evaluate how well you managed your time.

SUMMARY - INTEGRATED REASONING

- Your Integrated Reasoning score of 6 is higher than 67% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 4.33.
- Lack of familiarity with the format of the four item types may negatively impact performance in this section.
- You completed 12 of the 12 questions in the Integrated Reasoning section.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Chi Keung Wong | GMAT ID: 100001552492 | Hong Kong, Hong Kong | May 26, 2014 | Appt ID: 268926865


This is NOT an official score report

Verbal Section Performance

YOUR OVERALL VERBAL
SCORE:

23

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100



SUB-SECTION RANKINGS

CRITICAL REASONING

18th


READING
COMPREHENSION

58th

SENTENCE CORRECTION

37th

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100
PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 782458



TIME MANAGEMENT - VERBAL

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

OVERALL VERBAL

1.82

CRITICAL REASONING


1.75

READING
COMPREHENSION

2.35

SENTENCE CORRECTION

1.48



MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

27.04

(46th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Verbal score is 27.0. This score is better than 46% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

1.71 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Verbal section is 1.71 minutes. Use this number to evaluate how well you managed your time.

SUMMARY - VERBAL

- Your Verbal score of 23 is higher than 32% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 27.04.
- Your performance on Critical Reasoning questions was equivalent to a score of 17, which is better than 18% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.61.
- Your performance on Reading Comprehension questions was equivalent to a score of 30, which is better than 58% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.32.
- Your performance on Sentence Correction questions was equivalent to a score of 24, which is better than 37% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.21.
- You completed 41 of the 41 questions in the Verbal section.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Chi Keung Wong | GMAT ID: 100001552492 | Hong Kong, Hong Kong | May 26, 2014 | Appt ID: 268926865

This is NOT an official score report

Quantitative Section Performance

YOUR OVERALL
QUANTITATIVE SCORE:

49

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100

79th

SUB-SECTION RANKINGS

PROBLEM SOLVING

78th

DATA SUFFICIENCY

76th

ARITHMETIC

77th

ALGEBRA/GEOMETRY

77th

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100

PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 782458

TIME MANAGEMENT - QUANTITATIVE

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

OVERALL QUANTITATIVE

1.96

PROBLEM SOLVING

1.93

DATA SUFFICIENCY

1.86

ARITHMETIC

1.68

ALGEBRA/GEOMETRY

2.19

minute 1 minute 2 minute

MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

38.03

(41st percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Quantitative score is 38.0. This score is better than 41% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

1.94 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Quantitative sections is 1.94 minutes. Use this number to evaluate how well you managed your time.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Chi Keung Wong | GMAT ID: 100001552492 | Hong Kong, Hong Kong | May 26, 2014 | Appt ID: 268926865

This is NOT an official score report

SUMMARY - QUANTITATIVE

- Your Quantitative score of 49 is higher than 79% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 38.03.
- Your performance on Problem Solving questions was equivalent to a score of 49, which is better than 78% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 38.18.
- Your performance on Data Sufficiency questions was equivalent to a score of 49, which is better than 76% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 38.07.
- Your performance on Arithmetic questions was equivalent to a score of 49, which is better than 77% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 38.33.
- Your performance on Algebra and Geometry questions was equivalent to a score of 49, which is better than 77% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 37.97.
- You completed 37 of the 37 questions in the Quantitative section.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Chi Keung Wong | GMAT ID: 100001552492 | Hong Kong, Hong Kong | May 26, 2014 | Appt ID: 268926865

This is NOT an official score report

Analytical Writing Assessment

This report is created before your Analytical Writing Essay is scored. Please refer to your Official GMAT Score Report to find your AWA score.

The following information will help you interpret your AWA score:

- 6** An AWA score of 6 reflects an outstanding essay. A cogent, well-articulated critique of the argument, demonstrating mastery of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Clearly identifies and insightfully analyzes important features of the argument - Develops ideas cogently, organizes them logically, and connects them smoothly with clear transitions - Effectively supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates superior control of language, including diction and syntactic variety and the conventions of standard written English
- 5** An AWA score of 5 reflects a strong essay. A well-developed critique of the argument, demonstrating good control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Clearly identifies important features of the argument and analyzes them in a generally thoughtful way - Develops ideas clearly, organizes them logically, and connects them with appropriate transitions - Sensibly supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates clear control of language, including diction and syntactic variety - Demonstrates facility with the conventions of standard written English, but may have minor flaws
- 4** An AWA score of 4 reflects an adequate essay. A competent critique of the argument, demonstrating adequate control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Identifies and capably analyzes important features of the argument - Develops and organizes ideas satisfactorily, but may not always connect them with transitions - Supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates adequate control of language, including diction and syntactic variety, but may lack syntactic variety - Displays control of the conventions of standard written English, but may have some flaws
- 3** An AWA score of 3 reflects a competent but clearly flawed critique of the argument, demonstrating some control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Does not identify or analyze most of the important features of the argument, although some analysis is present - Is limited in the logical development and organization of ideas - Offers support of little relevance and value for points of the critique - Uses language imprecisely and/or lacks sentence variety - Contains occasional major errors or frequent minor errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
- 2** An AWA score of 2 reflects a seriously flawed essay, demonstrating significant weakness in analytical writing skills, and displaying the following characteristics: - Fails to show an understanding of and does not identify or analyze the main features of the argument - Does not develop ideas or is disorganized - Provides few, if any, relevant or reasonable supports - Has serious, frequent problems in the use of language and sentence structure - Contains numerous errors in grammar, usage, or mechanics that interfere with meaning
- 1** An AWA score of 1 reflects a fundamentally deficient essay, demonstrating fundamental deficiencies in analytical writing skills, and displaying the following characteristics: - Provides little evidence of the ability to understand and analyze the argument or to develop an organized response to it - Has severe and persistent errors in language and sentence structure - Contains a pervasive pattern of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics thus resulting in incoherence
- 0** An AWA score of 0 reflects an essay that was not scored. The essay is either totally illegible or obviously not written on the assigned topic.