# Supervised Learning LIN Juntong Oct 24, 2016

## Agenda

- Linear Models for Regression
  - Linear Regression
  - Probabilistic Interpretation
  - Generalized Linear Regression
- Discriminative Classification
  - Logistic Regression
- Generative Classification
  - Gaussian Discriminative Analysis
  - Naive Bayes

## **Linear Regression**

### **Linear Regression**

- big picture of machine learning
- training set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(N)}\}, \; \mathcal{Y} = \{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(N)}\}$$

hypothesis

$$f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \ldots + w_D x_D = \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

- traing method
  - cost function

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

gradient descent

$$w_j := w_j - lpha rac{\partial}{\partial w_j} J(\mathbf{w})$$

#### **BGD** vs **SGD**

• Batch gradient descent

Repeat until convergence {

$$w_j := w_j + lpha \sum_{i=1}^N (y^{(i)} - f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)}$$

• Stochastic gradient descent

```
Loop {  \text{For } i=1 \text{ to } N \ \{ \\ w_j := w_j + \alpha(y^{(i)} - f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)}  }  \}
```

## **Probabilistic Interpretation**

### why choose Euclidean distance as cost function

1. inevitable error s.t. Gaussian Distribution

$$p(\epsilon^{(i)}) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} ext{exp}(-rac{(\epsilon^{(i)})^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

2.  $y^i$  become a random variable

$$y^{(i)} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \epsilon^{(i)}$$

$$p(y^{(i)}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)};\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \mathrm{exp}(-rac{(y^{(i)}-\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

3. MLE(Max Likelihood Estimation)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = N \log rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} - rac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (y^{(i)} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$$

• Maximizing  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  gives the same answer as minimizing

$$rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$$

## Generalized Linear Regression

## Locally Weighted Linear Regression (LWR)

- LWR algorithm
  - Fit w to minimize  $\sum_i \theta^{(i)} (y^{(i)} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$
  - Output  $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$
  - where

$$heta^{(i)} = \exp(-rac{\|\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{x}\|^2}{2 au^2})$$

- local vs global
- non-parametric

## Linear Regression with Nonlinear Basis

model nonlinear functions

$$f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x})$$

- where  $\phi(x)=(1,x,x^2,\ldots,x^{M-1})$
- still use least squares method to estimate

2016/10/24 Supervised Learning

## Geometry of Least Squares

• least-square vs orthogonal projection

# Logistic Regression

### Logistic Regression

hypothesis

$$P(y=1|\mathbf{x}) = f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = rac{1}{1+\exp(-\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})}$$

- training method
  - MLE

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \log L(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^N y^{(i)} \log f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + (1-y^{(i)}) \log (1-f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

gradient ascent

$$w_j := w_j + lpha(y^{(i)} - f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))x_j^{(i)}$$

## name of logistic regression

• odds of t

$$rac{t}{1-t}$$

• log odds / logit function of t

$$\log \frac{t}{1-t}$$

## Discriminative vs Generative Classification

#### Discriminative vs Generative Classification

- Discriminative
  - $lacktriangleq \operatorname{model} P(y \mid x)$
  - e.g. Logistic Regression, perception, SVM
- Generative
  - model  $P(x \mid y)$  and P(y)
  - Bayesian Formula to get  $P(y \mid x)$
  - e.g. GDA, NB
- Summary
  - only in the case of classification
  - diffent in process of modeling

2016/10/24 Supervised Learning

# Gaussian Discriminative Analysis

## Assumption of p(y)

Bernoulli

$$Bern(x|eta) = eta^x (1-eta)^{1-x}$$

Binomial

$$Bin(m|N,eta) = \left(rac{N}{m}
ight)eta^m(1-eta)^{N-m}$$

Multinomial

$$Mult(m_1,\ldots,m_K|N,eta) = \left(rac{N}{m_1m_2\ldots m_K}
ight) \prod_{k=1}^K eta_k^{m_k}.$$

- $m_k$  and  $\beta_k$   $C_n^m = \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}$

### Gaussian Discriminant Analysis(GDA)

- Assumption
  - $y \sim Bernoulli(\beta)$
  - $lacksquare \mathbf{x} \mid y = 0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma)$
  - $lacksquare \mathbf{x} \mid y = 1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma)$
- Parameters
  - $\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mu_0, \mu_1, \Sigma$
- Log likelihood
- MLE

$$eta = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\} \ \mu_k = rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\{y^{(i)} = k\} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\{y^{(i)} = k\}}, \ k = \{0, 1\} \ \Sigma = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}}) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})^T$$

### **GDA** and Logistic Regression

• GDA can be expressed in the form:

$$P(y=1 \mid \mathbf{x}; eta, \mu_0, \mu 1, \Sigma) = rac{1}{1 + exp(-\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})}$$

- GDA: stronger assumption, more data effcient if assumption is correct
- Logistic Regression: weaker assumption, more robust

# Naive Bayes

## **Email Spam Filter**

• INPUT:

$$\mathbf{x} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathrm{a} & \\ 0 & \mathrm{aardwolf} & \\ 1 & \mathrm{buy} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & \mathrm{zygmurgy} & \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^D$ , D is the size of vocabulary
- ullet a more general form is  $x_j \sim multinomial$ , which mean counts of word
- OUTPUT: classify emails to spam(y=1) or non-spam(y=0)

### Naive Bayes

- Assumption:
  - $p(y) \sim Bernoulli(\phi)$
  - $lacksquare p(\mathbf{x}\mid y) = p(x_1,\ldots,x_D\mid y) = \prod_{j=1}^D p(x_j\mid y)$
- Parameters
  - $p(x_i = 1 \mid y = 0)$
  - $p(x_j = 1 \mid y = 1)$
  - **■** φ
- Log likelihood

#### • MLE

$$egin{aligned} p(x_j = 1|y = 1) &= rac{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{x_j^{(i)} = 1 igwedge y^{(i)} = 1\}}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}} \ p(x_j = 1|y = 0) &= rac{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{x_j^{(i)} = 1 igwedge y^{(i)} = 0\}}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y^{(i)} = 0\}} \ p(y = 1) &= rac{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}}{N} \end{aligned}$$

#### Predict

$$egin{aligned} p(y=1|\mathbf{x}) &= rac{p(\mathbf{x}|y=1)p(y=1)}{p(\mathbf{x})} \ &= rac{(\prod_{j=1}^D p(x_j|y=1))p(y=1)}{(\prod_{j=1}^D p(x_j|y=1))p(y=1) + (\prod_{j=1}^D p(x_j|y=0))p(y=0)} \end{aligned}$$

## Laplace Smoothing

- Problem
  - no sample doesn't mean o probability
- Laplace smoothing

$$p(x=j) = rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\{x^{(i)}=j\} + 1}{N+k}, \ j=1,\dots,k$$

• NB with Laplace smoothing

$$p(x_j=1|y=1) = rac{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{x_j^{(i)}=1igwedge y^{(i)}=1\}+1}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y^{(i)}=1\}+2} \ p(x_j=1|y=0) = rac{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{x_j^{(i)}=1igwedge y^{(i)}=1\}+2}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y_j^{(i)}=1igwedge y^{(i)}=0\}+1} \ rac{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y_j^{(i)}=0\}+2}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1\{y_j^{(i)}=0\}+2}$$

#### **Event Models for Text Classification**

- A different way to represent emails:  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M), x_j$  denotes the  $j^{th}$  word in the email, taking values in  $\{1, \dots, |V|\}$ ; V is the vocabulary; M is the length of the email.
- lenth of x is not fixed

## Naive Bayes vs. Logistic Regerssion

- # training set  $\rightarrow$  infinite
  - model assumption correct
    - identical
  - model assumption incorrect
    - LR outperforms NB
- finite training set
  - convergence rate of parameter estimation
    - $\circ$  NB order logD (D = # of attributes in X)
    - LR order D