

Cryptography Systems Series #1

The RSA Cryptosystem Part I: From Theory to Practical Attacks

(Version 0.9.9.5)

By Macarthur Inbody

CC-BY-SA-NC-ND

Time Spent Editing:19:05:32 (hh:mm:ss)

Last Updated:02/22/20

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Cryptography Systems Series #1..... | 1 |
| Introduction..... | 4 |
| Background/The Math behind it..... | 5 |
| Creating the Public and Private Keys..... | 6 |
| Selecting the numbers for p and q..... | 6 |
| Encrypting and Decrypting a Message..... | 9 |
| ASCII TABLE..... | 9 |
| Encrypting the Message..... | 10 |
| Decrypting the Message..... | 10 |
| Attacks Against RSA..... | 12 |
| eth Root Attack..... | 12 |
| eth Root Attack 2 Electric Boogaloo..... | 13 |
| Low Exponent Attack via CRT..... | 14 |
| Common Modulus Attack..... | 17 |
| Starting the Attack..... | 17 |
| Factoring the Modulus..... | 19 |
| Naive Division Method..... | 19 |
| Fermat's Method..... | 21 |
| Blind Signing Attack/Signature Forgery..... | 22 |
| Starting the Attack..... | 23 |
| Appendix 0: Glossary..... | 25 |
| Appendix A: Proofs..... | 26 |
| Totient proof..... | 26 |
| Euler Theorem for Modular Inverse..... | 26 |
| eth root attack..... | 28 |
| Root attack 2 Electric Boogaloo..... | 28 |
| Common Modulus Proof..... | 29 |
| Factorization:..... | 30 |
| Naive Method..... | 30 |
| Fermat's Method..... | 31 |
| Hastad Broadcast Attack via Chinese Remainder Theorem..... | 32 |
| Appendix B: Algorithms..... | 34 |

| | |
|---|----|
| RSA Series 1 - Macarthur Inbody CC-BY-SA-NC-ND | 3 |
| Extended Euclidean Algorithm in Python..... | 34 |
| LCM utilizing the extended Euclidean algorithm..... | 35 |
| Modular Multiplicative Inverse..... | 36 |
| RSA Bytes to Number Encoder/Decoder..... | 38 |
| Common Modulus Attack..... | 39 |
| Small Exponent Root Attack..... | 41 |
| Fermat's Factors..... | 41 |
| Appendix C : Real World Examples..... | 44 |
| Radford Factoring/Decryption Challenge..... | 44 |
| Radford Common Modulus Attack..... | 46 |
| Fermat's Near Prime Attack..... | 50 |
| Public Key..... | 50 |
| Decoded Vectors..... | 50 |
| Cubed Root Attack..... | 55 |
| Hastad Broadcast Attack via CRT..... | 56 |

Introduction

This lab will teach you the RSA Cryptosystem and also common attacks against RSA. This may be part of a series. The lab's overall series of events will go as follows. First we will go through the math behind RSA. Then we'll perform some of the common attacks against RSA. If you're interested in the background mathematics that allow the attacks work then see Appendix A. If you want to see the code was used to carry out the attacks then you will have to look at Appendix B. Each algorithm is included in this section for you. The lab will go over the naïve assignment for RSA using ASCII-code assignments. We won't be utilizing the standard encodings for the attacks of this lab so that they can be done by hand.

The code to convert between a number a string of bytes is included in the RSA encoder/decoder section. The techniques in this lab can be applied to any attack no matter how big the numbers are in the end. I hope you enjoy the lab as it will help you carry out attacks when doing CTF Challenges for MECC and also BSidesSWVA and also Radford or any other place where you see challenges that involve RSA.

One last thing I should state until version 1.0 is done this document is not considered complete. I have to still clean up typos and make the flow better. Then lab two will come out which will include Wiener's Attack, and will move the following attacks to that document; Hastad Broadcast, Fermat's Factorization. Further if I can manage to get Coppersmith's various attacks in his paper done they will also be included in Lab two.

Background/The Math behind it.

RSA is based on pure math. The series of mathematical operations that we'll get to later in this lab. For the purpose of this in-class lab we're going to gloss over the proof of how it works that's in the appendix if you'd like to see it.

First you chose two prime numbers p and q that are bit-length x such that when multiplied they make a number that is of bit-length y and that also only has two factors besides 1 and itself that are p and q . Then after multiplying p and q you'll have the modulus n . You will also have to select the public key exponent e and the private key exponent d .

$$\lambda(n) = \text{lcm}(\lambda(p), \lambda(q)) \quad \text{and since } p \text{ and } q \text{ are prime. } \lambda(n) = \text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$$

To select e , it must satisfy the following constraints.

1. $\gcd(e, \lambda(n)) = 1$

A. That is that $\lambda(n)$ and e share no prime factors.

2. $1 < e < \lambda(n)$

A. That is that e is greater than one and is also less than $\lambda(n)$

B. e must also not be 2 as it will always be divisible by $p-1$, and $q-1$. As p and q must be odd numbers and when you subtract one from them you will get an even number and when multiplied you will also have an even number. Thus, in reality.

3. $3 \leq e < \lambda(n)$

After selecting e , we have to create the private key exponent d that is calculated as follows.

4. $d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\lambda(n)}$

A. That is, we are calculating the modular multiplicative inverse of e and $\lambda(n)$

- B. You can calculate this using the extended Euclidean algorithm and the python code to calculate this is given in the Appendix under the section `modular_inverse`

For this lab we are going to not pad the plain text. Also, we going to do a naive assignment of the message so that you do not have to do the full math. The correct algorithm is talked about once again in the Appendix.

Creating the Public and Private Keys

For this lab you are going to be given the values for the entire encryption and decryption process plus the plain text that we're going to encrypt and decrypt. We will go over the selection process for the private and public key exponents and how to do most of it by hand. Remember that the $\lambda(p, q)$ is equivalent to $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$.

To start off with we are going to just encrypt/decrypt a single byte of data to keep the numbers small.

Selecting the numbers for p and q.

1. We're going to select two numbers for p and q that are both prime and are going to when multiplied give us a value that is at least 3 digits. You need a value of n such that the bit-size of n is large enough to make the chances of a collision impossible. But you also make sure that n does not open you up to the cubed root attack, or coppersmith's attack in the real world. But for this lab we're going to be unconcerned with such issues.
2. We're going to assume that we chose by random chance the values for p and q as given below.

A. $p = 17, q = 7$
3. Now we calculate the modulus n which is done by multiplying p and q.

A. $17 * 7 = 119$

4. Now we have to calculate $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1) \Rightarrow \text{lcm}(16, 6)$
 - A. We can do this through prime factorization of both values to do it quickly.
 - B. First factor 16 into its prime factors which is. $2*2*2*2$
 - C. Next factor 6 into its prime factors which are $2*3$.
 - D. Next remove the common primes from each value which means that p's primes are now just $2*2*2$, and q is now just 3.
 - E. Then cross multiply the prime factors of p and q. So, $16*3 \Rightarrow 48$, and $6*(2^3) \Rightarrow 6*8 \Rightarrow 48$.
 - F. Now we know that the lcm between both values is 48.
5. Next we have to calculate the public key exponent e. It has to satisfy the following constraints.
 - A. $1 < e < \lambda(n)$. Thus, we can write e as. $1 < e < 48$. Therefore, e must be larger than 1 and also less than 48.
 - B. $\text{gcd}(e, 48) = 1$. Therefore, we have to find a value for e such that it shares no prime factors with the number 48.
 - C. Calculating the factors for 48 we get the following values. $2*2*2*2*3$. We know that e cannot be any of the following factors.
 1. 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24.
 - D. If we chose a prime number then we all have to do is make sure that it is not a prime factor of $\lambda(n)$. Thus, we know we cannot use 2 or 3. We will go up the prime list until we find one that is larger than 3 but will not go into $\lambda(n) \Rightarrow 48$.
 - E. Further we cannot use 2 as all numbers that are even by definition divisible by 2 and thus the gcd of primes p-1, q-1 will result in an integer n' that is an even as both p and q must be odd numbers to satisfy the rest of the constraints.
 - F. We chose 5 as $\text{gcd}(48, 5) = 1$. As they share no primes with each other.
 1. Most of the time you'd be choosing a value e that is much larger than this for real messages but we are making the math simpler.
 - G. This value is given to everyone as our public key in the PKI standard.

6. Now we must calculate the private key exponent d. It is calculated via the formula

$$d \equiv [e]^{-1} \pmod{\lambda(n)}.$$

- A. We are going to use the extended Euclidean algorithm (code shown in the appendix) to calculate the modular multiplicative inverse of e and $\lambda(n)$.
- B. We get the value of 29 by calculating it.
- C. Thus, the private key exponent is 29.
7. The modular multiplicative inverse in this case since e is prime and $\lambda(n)$ is relatively prime can be calculated it with the following formula. This only works if $\gcd(e, \lambda(n)) = 1$. Otherwise you have to do the more complex formula.
- A. $d = e^{(\lambda(n)-1)} \pmod{\lambda(n)}$
- B. $d = 5^{(48-1)} \pmod{48} \Rightarrow 5^{47} \pmod{48}$
- C. $d = 710542735760100185871124267578125 \pmod{48}$
- D. $d = 29$
8. Another way to calculate d is with the following formula. **But** your value for d will be ungodly huge. $d = (1 + n * m) / e$ $n = (p - 1) * (q - 1)$
- A. $d = (1 + 96 * 119) / 5$
- B. $(1 + 11424) = 11425$ $11425 / 5 = 2285$
- C. Thus $d = 2285$.
9. This method is not recommended for real world use because the private key exponent is way larger than it actually has to be (2285 vs 29) but it will work when doing it by hand.

Encrypting and Decrypting a Message

We have the following values for this part of the lab. $n=119$, $e=5$, $d=29$. We are going to encode some ASCII text according to the ASCII table code point for the value.

When reading the table below keep in mind that NUM is the ASCII code point for the character. And CHAR is the printable character.

ASCII TABLE

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CHAR | space | ! | " | # | \$ | % | & | ' | (|) | * | + | , | - | . | / |
| NUM | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| CHAR | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | : | ; | < | = | > | ? |
| NUM | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| CHAR | @ | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O |
| NUM | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 |
| CHAR | P | Q | R | S | T | U | V | W | X | Y | Z | [| \ |] | ^ | _ |
| NUM | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 |
| CHAR | ` | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k | l | m | n | o |
| NUM | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 110 | 111 |
| CHAR | p | q | r | s | t | u | v | w | x | y | z | { | | } | ~ | |
| NUM | 112 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 118 | 119 | 120 | 121 | 122 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 126 | |

Encrypting the Message

1. Using the table above we're going to encode the following character. A
 1. Getting its numerical value that makes it 65.
 2. So, $m=65$.
2. Next we're going to encrypt it using the following formula. Where e is the public key exponent, m is our message and n is the modulus.
 1. $c = m^e \pmod{n}$
3. Plugging in the values we get.
 1. $c = 65^5 \pmod{119} \Rightarrow c = 46$
 1. Intermediate values proving it.
 2. $65^5 = 1160290625$
 3. Then $1160290625 \pmod{119} = 46$.
4. Now we have the cipher text 46. To get the plain text we have to decrypt the value.

Decrypting the Message

1. Now we need to decrypt it using the private key exponent d . With the following formula.
 1. $m = c^d \pmod{n}$.
2. Plugging in the values we get.
 1. $m = 46^{29} \pmod{119} \Rightarrow 65$.
 2. Intermediate values.
 1. $46^{29} = 1659499472763109991171612967522797815962278035456$

2. $1659499472763109991171612967522797815962278035456 \bmod 119 = 65$.
3. Thus, we get out plain text number 65.
4. After converting it back to text we get the letter A.

Attacks Against RSA

e^{th} Root Attack

If the message is small enough then you can calculate the e^{th} root of the cipher-text to get the message back. In the example below we are saying that e is 3. The reasoning behind this is some major math. The primary thing to remember is that if the original M is less than the cubed root of n then we can calculate C as simply being the M^e . Thus all we have to do is take the e^{th} root of C to get back the plain text. This only works with smaller public key exponents though as the operations to find the e^{th} root quickly get out of hand.

1. If we know that $e=3$ and that $M < n^{1/3}$ then we know that $C=M^e$. With this knowledge we can reverse the encryption through the following formula.
 - A. $M = \sqrt[3]{C}$ Since it is the inverse of M^3 . We know that the inverse of raising a value to the power e is simply the e^{th} root of the resulting value.
2. We have the following information.
 - A. $C=729000, e=3, n=1055449$
3. If we plug in the values to the formula above we get the following formula.
 - A. $\sqrt[3]{729000} = M$
 - B. Calculating the cubed root of it we get the value of 90.
 - C. Converting back to ASCII we get the letter Z.
4. Confirming that the values are correct we can encrypt Z again and make sure we get the same cipher text.
 - A. $C = M^e \pmod n$
5. Plugging in our values we get.
 - A. $C = 90^3 \pmod{105449}$
 - B. Solving it we get 729000.

6. Thus, it is proven. We have found out that so long as the message size is less than $n^{1/e}$. We take the e^{th} root of the cipher text so long as the original message block size is less than that value we can use a simple cubed root.
7. The factored values for p and q are given below so that you can calculate d if you really wanted to to see the attack in action. The key thing to remember is that in the real(ish) world that the modulus n would be so large that you cannot easily factor it.
 - A. If we factored n into p and q we would get p=863, and q=1223.

This will also work with other values of so long as they are small enough to deal with and is explained in the Appendix A.

e^{th} Root Attack 2 Electric Boogaloo

We can also generalize the e^{th} root attack to any values of n and C even if the plain-text is P(padded plain text) or any lengths. We can recover P from C using the root attack described above but with some slight modifications. In the next few lines we'll go over how to carry out such an attack. To find the math behind it look into the Appendix as I don't want to repeat myself. You're going to find some number j such that it times the modulus n added to the cipher-text and then taking the e^{th} root of that cipher-text we get back the plain text. One thing to remember is that e has got to be small we're talking less than 11. Also the larger the message the longer it take. In reality it only works for e less than or equal 5 and the message length is less than 3 bytes. It's mostly a toy attack and will be utilized for a CTF chal. Also, the values for p and q should be less than 24bits to keep it(fast enough on my laptop CPU in python). So, we're utilizing 12bit values for p and q. Don't expect this to work for any real RSA keys it's a toy method and only a toy method as it can take a long time. This will only be seen in CTF challenges and you'll know that it is possible if you try all other attacks and nothing else works. That or you hint is to do e^{th} root but sets.

The following values were given to you for this attack.

1. C=21861
2. e=3
3. n=63191

You don't have to worry about n being any certain size as this works for all sizes of n , P , and C . It doesn't matter at all. The example below is utilizing the above values which is the cubed root but it can work for the 5th root also.

1. First you have to setup a formula like so.

$$A. P = \sqrt[3]{C + (k * n)}$$

2. Plug in the values that we know from before.

$$A. P = \sqrt[3]{21861 + (k * 63191)}$$

3. Now we have to find the value of j . Then after taking that 3rd root of C we'll see if it's an approximate value (as in no decimal portion). If it is then we'll stop because we've found the plain text. If not we'll continue incrementing j until such a time that the value we get for P is a whole number. The first value you get back will be the plain text number.

4. We get $j=4$. Then we have the following values.

$$A. \sqrt[3]{21861 + (63191 * 4)} \Rightarrow \sqrt[3]{274625} \Rightarrow 65.$$

$$B. \text{ Thus } P = 65.$$

Low Exponent Attack via CRT

Aka Hastad Broadcast Attack

If you have messages that all have the same exponent but different moduli then we can carry out an attack to get the plain text back. You have to have e cipher-texts captured with the same exponent. You have to know the modulus n , their exponent e , and also the cipher-texts. For the attack below we're carrying out the Hastad Broadcast Attack utilizing the Chinese Remainder Theorem to calculate the relationship between all of the cipher-texts. Then we'll be able to calculate the e th root of the common cipher-text value we get to get back the plain text. This is an evolution of the e th root attack if you want to look at it that way. The example below is going to use the value for e as 3 but it works for all values of e but in reality only smaller values of e . If you want to see how the math works go to Appendix B section Hastad Broadcast Attack. This is done in only ~45 steps (by hand), with some code it goes much much faster.

The following values have been captured; $e=3$.

1. $c_1=0x2abd8bd6da91c1$ $n_1=0x67a5819556583d$
2. $c_2=0x7b55b4c8321fd5$ $n_2=0xaea4fc03dc1537$
3. $c_3=0x22bd95bcc1d23f$ $n_3=0xc9d0e3e3413f33$

Now we need to use the formulas from Appendix B to setup the attack.

1. The N s

$$A. \quad N = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot n_3 \quad N_1 = n_2 \cdot n_3 \quad N_2 = n_1 \cdot n_3 \quad N_3 = n_1 \cdot n_2$$

2. The d s

$$B. \quad d_1 = [N_1]^{-1} \pmod{n_1}$$

Finally we have to calculate X . We will do this in steps as follows. Both formulas will be shown below.

$$a = c_i \cdot N_i \pmod{N}; \quad b = a \cdot d_i \pmod{N}$$

Then we'll calculate the next cipher-text's values. And use a third variable for it. Then we'll add the value of c (which is the next cipher text's value) to x . Then we'll do it again followed by adding this value again to x . And then we'll calculate $X = x \pmod{N}$ and we finally have the value for X .

Then we'll take the e^{th} root of X and we'll have our plain-text value to be decoded. Now it's time for the step-by-step.

1. $N = (29173898576025661 \cdot 49158048251122999 \cdot 56806147507699507)$
 A. $N = 81467509045005285049628743756532685785442810571873$
2. $N_1 = 49158048251122999 \cdot 56806147507699507$
 A. $N_1 = 2792479340143902858452211788661493$
3. $N_2 = 29173898576025661 \cdot 56806147507699507$
 A. $N_2 = 1657256785884378298854397109049127$
4. $N_3 = 29173898576025661 \cdot 49158048251122999$
 A. $N_3 = 1434131913873637995603121491277339$

For the calculations of d_i I am using the following formula. $d_i \equiv [N_i]^{-1} \pmod{n_i}$ We are also assuming that you've done the calculations. I'll only be showing the first one as you should be able to follow along after that only the values will be shown.

$$5. \quad d_1 \equiv [N_1]^{-1} \pmod{n_1}$$

$$A. \quad d_1 = 12036145335478373$$

$$6. \quad d_2 = 30682595357216074$$

$$7. \quad d_3 = 54719786082622140$$

Now we are going to calculate X . Once again I'm only going to show the formula in it's generalized form and what we have to do at the end. $x_i = c_i * N_i * d_i$

Then we have to calculate X since $e=3$ we're going to have to have 3 x values. Also for the one that's shown we're showing the numbers hex encoded to keep it all on one line.

$$X = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \pmod{N}$$

$$1. \quad x_1 = (0x2abd8bd6da91c1 * 0x89ae0b631f355e60849e1607c2f5 * 0x2ac2cf772fa865)$$

$$A. \quad x_1 =$$

$$0x3d6eabcb0b1ea18baf5ee4ece6d0be70ebd3eb10b62716baddd6a69$$

$$2. \quad x_2 =$$

$$0x10c31879483f80bd199158a3ce1d42f08b59381a3ea23fb972bb103e$$

$$3. \quad x_3 =$$

$$0x7496569ed8304461252da56af14398f61d6270caaa03fc37b0da32c$$

$$4. \quad X =$$

$$(0x3d6eabcb0b1ea18baf5ee4ece6d0be70ebd3eb10b62716baddd6a69 + 0x10c31879483f80bd199158a3ce1d42f08b59381a3ea23fb972bb103e + 0x7496569ed8304461252da56af14398f61d6270caaa03fc37b0da32c) \pmod{0x37be09733ceb163f6774ec3ec0c5a802c7022bac61}$$

$$A. \quad X = 2826123136387388200326536000$$

Next we have to use the formula. $M = \sqrt[e]{X}$

$$5. \quad M = \sqrt[3]{2826123136387388200326536000}$$

$$A. \quad M = 1413829460$$

That is the RSA Encoded number that we have to then decode utilizing the `rsa_ASCII_decode` algorithm in appendix C.

We get the plain-text to be “TEST”.

I have already gotten the p and q from the third modulus. Confirming this since I already have p and q which are 232465199 and 244364093 respectively. With $\lambda(n) = 4057581930776444$ and $e=3$ thus $d = 1352527310258815$. Finally we can verify that this attack was correct by encrypting our number with $e=3$ modulus n_3 then confirming that we get c_3 .

$$6. \quad c_3 = 1413829460^3 \bmod 56806147507699507$$

$$A. \quad c_3 = 9778600022757951$$

B. Converting to hex that becomes. `0x22bd95bcc1d23f`. Thus we know that we have found the plain-text. The decryption key is there in case you want to do it the hard way but I chose to the fast way.

Common Modulus Attack

If instead different public key exponents are utilized but the same modulus for n is used we can calculate the original plain-text through the common modulus attack. You have to have the same plain-text that's encrypted with different public key exponents but the same modulus values for this attack to work. Once again the math that proves this is in the appendix. We're going to gloss over the math that makes this work like usual for proofs look into the proofs section.

Starting the Attack

You have intercepted two cipher-texts and the public key exponents, and also the modulus for the cipher-texts also.

$$c_1=26788046, e_1=29, c_2=53830820, e_2=41, n=110171401$$

Seeing as both cipher-texts have the same modulus but different exponents we can carry out a common modulus attack against the cipher-texts to get the plain text.

1. We know that both cipher-texts were created through the following formulae.

- A. $c_1 = m^{e_1} \pmod{n}$

- B.

$$c_2 = m^{e_2} \pmod{n}$$

2. Utilizing the math from below (we're not going to go over the math here as it's far too time consuming.). So, for now we have to solve for the following variables so that we can get the plain-text back.

3. First we have to calculate a $a \equiv [e_1]^{-1} \pmod{e_2}$

- A. $a = \text{mod_inv}(29, 41) \Rightarrow a = 17$

4. Then we have to calculate b as such.

- A. $b = (\text{gcd}(29, 41) - 29 * 17) / 41$

1. $\text{gcd}(29, 41) = 1$

2. Remember PEMDAS

3. $4(1 - 29 * 17) / 41 \Rightarrow (1 - (29 * 17)) / 41 \Rightarrow (1 - 493) / 41 \Rightarrow -492 / 41 \Rightarrow -12$

- B. $b = -12$

5. Next you have to calculate i such that. $i \equiv [c_2]^{-1} \pmod{n}$

- A. $i = \text{mod_inv}(c_2, n)$

- B. $i = \text{mod_inv}(53830820, 110171401)$

- C. $i = 32332591$

6. Finally, we have to calculate both m_x and m_y .

7. First m_x .

- A. $m_x = [c_2]^a \pmod{n}$

- B. $m_x = 53830820^{17} \pmod{110171401}$

- C. $m_x = 37473290$

8. Then m_y .
 - A. $m_y = i^{-b} \pmod n$
 - B. $m_y = 32332591^{-(-12)} \pmod{110171401}$
 - C. $m_y = 74045807$
9. Then finally m .
 - A. $m = m_x * m_y \pmod n$
 - B. $m = (37473290 * 74045807) \pmod{110171401}$
 - C. $m = 828365$
10. Now we use the naive ASCII encoding to decode the code-points.
11. Message is RSA.

If you'd like to see the math behind it look at the appendix.

Factoring the Modulus

YES REALLY.

Two “simple” methods.

Naive Division Method

The first method is the naive blind division method utilizing elementary number theory. For the rest of this lab document when I say factors I am excluding 1 and the number N. Let's say we want to factor a number N that has only 2 distinct prime factors that we'll call a and b. Using basic number theory we know that $a \vee b < \sqrt{N}$. Therefore, all we have to do is take the square root of the number N and then start counting down from that value and trying every prime that is possible until we find one that

divides evenly without a remainder. Once we've found this number then we know that the product of the division is b. We can prove this through the following simplified example.

Assume that $N=91$. We need to factor N and get the two prime products a and b .

1. First we have to calculate $i=\sqrt{N}$. Plugging into it the value we have for N we can calculate i .

A) $i=\sqrt{91}$ therefore $i=9.5$ rounding it down we get. As it has to be less than that value.

$$i \approx 9$$

2. Next we can use the following knowledge to try values in the following range.

A) $3 \leq a < i$

B) We know that a has to be greater than or equal 3 as 3 is the smallest possible prime. Also, a has to be less than or equal to i since $i < \sqrt{N}$.

C) With this knowledge we'll have to start at i and start counting down and trying each prime or we can count up from i . If we count up from i then it has to satisfy the following constraints.

I. $i < a < N$

D) For this lab we're going to be counting down from i .

E) It can be done with the following constraints.

I. Try N/i while $i \geq 3$

1. reduce i if N/i returns a remainder.

II. Then when no remainder is found after division then the product is b .

III. This can be expressed as follows.

1. While $N \bmod i \neq 0$:

1. $i=i-1$

2. $b= N \bmod i$

3. $a=i$

F) Using our math above the next prime below 9 is 7. $91/7=13$

G) Thus, we have found the two products and they are 7 and 13 as $13*7 = 91$.

Fermat's Method

This is slower than trivial division unless the primes are close to each other. And for this exact lab you're in luck they are close. We can factor the primes using Fermat's method with the following method. You'll be finding the prime factors p and q again using some elementary number theory. You set x to the floored value of the square root of n. Then you'll set b as $a^2 - n$. While the square root of b is not a whole number then add one to a and then repeat the process until the square root of b is a whole number. The floor value is when you round any number down to the next whole integer.

1. Set $a = \text{floor}(\sqrt{n})$
2. Set $b = (a^2) - n$
3. while $\sqrt{b} \neq \text{floor}(\sqrt{b})$:
 1. $a = a + 1$
 2. $b = (a^2) - n$
4. Then when the loop is broken, you calculate the prime factors p and q as follows.
5. $p = a + \sqrt{b}$
6. $q = a - \sqrt{b}$
7. Then you have the primes p and q.

Now we're going to do a similar factorization but with a new value of n so that it's faster. $N=29177$.

1. $a = \text{floor}(\sqrt{29177}) \Rightarrow a = 170$
2. $b = (170^2) - 29177 \Rightarrow b = -277$
3. $\sqrt{-277} \Rightarrow 16.6$. $\text{floor}(16.6) = 16$. $16 < 16.6$ thus, we increment a and try again.
4. $a = 170 + 1 \Rightarrow a = 171$
5. $b = (171^2) - 29177 \Rightarrow b = 8$
6. Since $\text{floor}(8) = 8$. We now have the value for a and b.
7. $p = 171 + 8 \Rightarrow q = 179$

8. $q = 171 - 8 \Rightarrow q = 163$
9. Confirming that $p \cdot q = n$.
 1. $179 \cdot 163 = 29177$

We have factored n using Fermat's method. This is only useful when the primes are near each other, otherwise the time to factor the primes would take way too long. And by near each other I mean the rules below.

1. p and q are in some set of primes Z . $p, q \in \text{primes } Z$
2. The index i represents the position in the set Z of all primes. And k is small.

$$p = Z_i \quad q = Z_i + k$$
3. Generally this attack will work if p and q both share at least half of their upper bits. That means that if the numbers are 16 bits in length (really small but good for this example).
 - A. $p = 11111111111110001 \quad q = 11111111100000111$
 1. $q = 65521 \quad q = 65287$
 - B. Since as we can see p and q share the top 8 bits then this attack will work.
 - C. With the above numbers. Fermat's factorization takes just 1 iteration whereas a naive division takes 11 iterations.

This method works for primes of any size even 2048 prime numbers which means you have well over 600 digits in the value of n . You would utilize this attack whenever you see the following clues "Close Primes", "Fermat", "Near Primes", "Reduced Set" or something similar. An optimized version of Fermat's factorization method using a sieve is actually faster than trivial division but that is left as an exercise to the reader.

Blind Signing Attack/Signature Forgery

For this attack we are going to forge a signature on a message. Now for good reasons Alice may not want to sign Bob's message so he adds some random integer r and combines that with his message he wants signed. Then Alice signs this message and Bob can remove the integer r to get a signature on his original message.

First assume the following information. Also assume that you do not know the private key exponent d but I will be showing it here to show the attack in action.

The following condition must be met for this attack to work.

1. r must be co-prime with n
 - A. This means that $\gcd(r,n) = 1$
2. m should be small enough such that n will be large enough to be reversible.
 - A. This won't matter in the real world though.

$N = 0xac8d218afd60059893df97$

$e = 0xd0ff$

$m = \text{"Don't sign."}$

$r = 163$

d is secret but I'm showing it here so that you know that it works.

$d = 0x10c7f26effb06d61d9614f$

Starting the Attack

1. First encode the message m into M
 - A. $M = \text{rsa_ascii_encode}(m, \text{len}(m))$
 - B. $M = 0x446f6e2774207369676e2e$
2. Use the following formula to calculate M' and plug in our values $M' = M \cdot r^e \pmod n$
 - A.

$$M' = 0x446f6e2774207369676e2e \cdot r^{0xd0ff} \pmod{0xac8d218afd60059893df97}$$
 - B. $M' = 0xa6570c30f9f01ea0ccca1b$
3. Next we have to get Alice to sign our Message M'
 - A. Recall that signing a message is calculated by the following formula. $S = M'^d \pmod n$
Or basically the same formula as encryption except we're applying it to the plain text M .

B.

$$S = 0xa6570c30f9f01ea0ccca1b^{0x10c7f26effb06d61d9614f} \bmod 0xac8d218afd60059893df97$$

I. Since we were encrypting M' we're going to call S S' this time.

$$\text{II. } S' = 0x9507042faaa13f1e403515$$

4. Now we need to convert this signature into the signature that we want. This is done through the following formula. $S = S' \cdot r' \pmod{n}$ where $r' = [r]^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

$$\text{I. } r' = 0xa96020ecf26df5c999012b$$

A. Now insert it into the formula.

$$\text{B. } S = "0x9507042faaa13f1e403515" * "0xa96020ecf26df5c999012b" \bmod 0xac8d218afd60059893df97$$

$$\text{I. } S = 0x3e1b3b361c5d21073ca47a$$

5. Thus we now have the signature $0x3e1b3b361c5d21073ca47a$ on our original message M .
 6. Now to confirm that this is the same signature as if we had had Alice sign our message M .

A.

$$S = 0x446f6e2774207369676e2e^{0x10c7f26effb06d61d9614f} \bmod 0xac8d218afd60059893df97$$

$$\text{B. } S = 0x3e1b3b361c5d21073ca47a$$

7. We have confirmed that our fake signature is the same as the real signature thus the attack is complete.

Now the attack is complete and we have managed to forge the signature. Now in the real world this won't work as most Signing systems will sign a checksum of the message thus you cannot as easily remove the blinding factor.

Appendix 0: Glossary

Here is where I'll list all terms throughout the text that you will need to know so that it is not repeated dozens of times.

$\text{mod_inv}(a,b)$ =modular multiplicative inverse of a and b.

λ = Lambda - Carmichael's Totient function.

Φ = Capital Phi - in this paper it means any Totient function but in the real world it's Euler's Totient function.

\equiv = Equivalent to. Used when mapping congruences.

ϕ = Lowercase Phi - Euler's Totient Function

lcm =Least Common Multiple

gcd =Greatest Common Divisor

floor =rounding down to next whole integer. Also this form is seen. $\lfloor 7.3 \rfloor \Rightarrow 7$

ceil =rounding up to next whole integer. e.g. $\lceil 7.3 \rceil \Rightarrow 8$

All modular multiplicative inverses are written with the following formula. $\text{inv} \equiv [a]^{-1}(\text{mod } b)$ in the proofs. Basically the value we are looking for is on the left-hand side. And it shows $\text{mod_inv}(a,b)$

$\in \mathbb{Z}$ = means in the set of Z. Where Z is all integers.

$\sqrt[e]{I}$ = the eth root of I.

\sqrt{I} = the square root of I.

$a \vee b$ = either a or b.

$a < b$ = a is less than b.

$a > b$ = a greater than b

$a \leq b$ = a less than or equal to b

$a \geq b$ = a greater than or equal to b

$a ** b = a^b$ means a raised to the power b. This is done with the value b is too large to fit into a formula.

Appendix A: Proofs

AKA: There's too many darn letters in my formulae.

Totient proof.

Since the original standard used $\varphi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$. Since $n = p \cdot q$, and $\lambda(n) = \text{lcm}(\lambda(p), \lambda(q))$, and since p and q are prime. $\lambda(p) = \varphi(p) = p-1$ and likewise $\lambda(q) = \varphi(q) = q-1$. Therefore $\lambda(n) = \text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$. To calculate $\lambda(n)$ one must simply use the following formula. Since we know that the following formula can be utilized to calculate the lcm of two values.

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = \frac{a * b}{\text{gcd}(a, b)}$$

Thus, we can calculate $\lambda(n)$ with the following formula.

$$\lambda(n) = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{\text{gcd}(p-1, q-1)}$$

Euler Theorem for Modular Inverse

If $\text{gcd}(a, m) = 1$ then we can use Euler's theorem. The ϕ character represents a Totient function below.

$a^{\phi(m)-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ Therefore $a^{\phi(m)-1} \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{m}$ Finally we can solve the modular multiplicative inverse through the following formula.

$$x = a^{\phi(m)-1} \pmod{m}$$

And since we know due to the proof above that if m is prime then $\phi(m) = \lambda(m) = m - 1$ holds true. Then we can simply input into the formula thusly $x = a^{m-2} \pmod{m}$. But if instead m is not prime then we'd have to first factor m and then use the formula above to calculate $\text{lcm}(x_i, x_j \dots x_l)$ where each x is an index of all of the prime factors of m . We would find the least common multiplier between all factors (first) then we'd subtract one from each factor and find the lcm between them all.

E.g. $a=16, m=273$

1. For this example we're going to use Carmichael's Totient on the number. That is why there is factoring here. It is simply to make the math easier.
2. Factor 273 into its primes.
3. $2+7+3=12$. Divisible by 3. So 3 is one prime. Now remainder is 91.
4. After finding the next prime it can be divided by
 - A. We get 7. as $9+1=10$ which isn't divisible by 3, and it doesn't end in a zero or a five thus 5 is out of the question and the next prime is 7.
5. $91/7=13$. 13 is remainder.
6. 13 is the final prime factor.
7. Calculate $\text{lcm}(13-1, 3-1, 7-1)$ Or $\text{lcm}(12, 2, 6)$ which is 12 as 12 is even and the rest of the numbers are factors of 12.
8. Thus $\lambda(m) = 12$.

Plug it into the formula.

1. $x = 16^{(12-1)} \pmod{273}$
2. $x = 17592186044416 \pmod{273}$
3. $x = 256$

Note though that by default since you're already going to be calculating the greatest common divisor between a and m in your code it's simpler to just use the extended euclidean algorithm and bezout's coefficients if you're doing it in code. But for a by-hand method this is preferred as it's much simpler to do.

eth root attack

The eth root attack works so long as e is small enough such that the following constraints are met.

1. $M = \sqrt[e]{C}$ if $M^e < n$

Then we'd know that the following formula works for calculating M from C .

$$M = \sqrt[e]{C}$$

If $M < n^{1/3}$ then $M = \sqrt[3]{C}$ which is another way of evaluating the formula we can also write the formula as follows. $M = \sqrt[e]{C}$ $M < n^{1/e}$

Example $n=11095304447$, $M=90$, $e=5$.

1. $90^5 = 5904900000$
2. $\sqrt[5]{11095304447} \approx 102$
3. Since $90^5 < 11095304447$ and $90 < \sqrt[5]{11095304447}$
4. We know that we can take the 5th root of 5904900000 and get the proper value.

Root attack 2 Electric Boogaloo

There is another type of attack that can be performed so long as e is also small. If $M^e \geq n$ then we can setup a formula where we find the original plain-text through the following formula.

$$P = \sqrt[e]{C + k n} \quad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Then we can add multiples of n and try each of them until we find the original plain text without having to factor the relatively large n .

$$P = \sqrt[5]{128963428 + (k \cdot 206283449)}$$

After using the algorithm in Appendix B, we get the final value back for the plain-text.

$$P = \sqrt[5]{128963428 + (28 * 206283449)} \Rightarrow P = \sqrt[5]{5698616551} \Rightarrow P = 90$$

Proof

$$90^5 \bmod 206283449 = 128963428$$

Common Modulus Proof

RSA works through the following formula. $C = M^e \pmod n$. Assuming that we can intercept two messages that have the same modulus but just different public key exponents defined as follows.

$$c_1 = m_1^e \pmod n$$

$$c_2 = m_2^e \pmod n$$

Then utilizing bezout's theorem that states if there are integers a and b that are both not zero then there exists integers x and y that $xa + yb = \gcd(a, b)$. Then to solve for x we can utilize the following formula. $x \equiv a^{-1} \pmod n$ which is the modular multiplicative inverse of a and n. Finally, we have to make sure that the $\gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$ so that there is a modular multiplicative inverse to solve for a and b.

$$\text{Therefore } C_1^x * (C_2^{-1})^{-y} = (M_1^e)^x * (M_2^e)^{y1}.$$

The plain text can be represented as simply

$$(c_1^a) + (c_2^b) = m$$

If we insert our values of a and b into the original equations then we will get the following values.

$$m^{(e_1 \cdot a + e_2 \cdot b)} \Rightarrow m^1 \Rightarrow m$$

Finally, with the previous knowledge we can calculate the plain-text with the following formulae. One issue we have to deal with is if b is negative with most of the time it is. Thus, we have to calculate an intermediate value for i , then we have to plug it into a formula also. We have to find the value i such that. $i^{-b} = c_2^b$.

$$1. \quad a \equiv [e_1]^{-1} \pmod{e_2}$$

$$A. \quad a = \text{mod_inv}(e_1, e_2)$$

$$2. \quad b = (\gcd(e_1, e_2) - e_1 \cdot a) / e_2$$

$$3. \quad i \equiv [c_2]^{-1} \pmod{n}$$

$$A. \quad i = \text{mod_inv}(c_2, n)$$

$$4. \quad m_x = [c_2]^a \pmod{n}$$

$$5. \quad m_y = i^{-b} \pmod{n}$$

$$6. \quad m = m_x \cdot m_y \pmod{n}$$

Thus, we have recovered the plain-text m . Once again the code that implements this is included in the appendix B under “Common Modulus Attack”.

Factorization:

Elementary Number Theory

Naive Method

If we are trying to factor a number n that we know is a composite number then we know that at least one of its factors will be $a \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$. We can extend this knowledge to the composite numbers that exist within RSA as it only has 2 factors besides 1 and itself, the primes p and q . Thus and with this knowledge can start factoring n by trying every number that is less than the $\text{sqrt}(n)$. If we have a prime sieve then we can try each prime less than the square root of n .

We can do this by either

1. Counting up every prime in the set $3 < p < \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$
2. Counting up from for every prime $N > p > \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$

We know this will work because for any value $a^2 \leq n$ for any composite number. For our factoring methods we know that $p^2 < n$ because there is a second prime that has to be larger than 3 and p^2 cannot be n or otherwise there would only be one factor and you could easily factor the number by calculating the square root of n . This method is the naive method of factoring n .

Big O complexity is $O(\sqrt{n})$ for this algorithm.

Fermat's Method

If we utilize Fermat's Division method we can factor the values even faster. You can calculate a as $a^2 - n = b^2$. First you find the square root of the number n then round to the next nearest integer. We try all possible values until we find a value of a that is square. More generally.

The algorithm goes as follows.

1. Try $a = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ set $b = a^2 - n$
2. Take \sqrt{b} ,
3. if $\lfloor \sqrt{b} \rfloor^2 \neq b$ then increment a and try again.
4. Once $\lfloor \sqrt{b} \rfloor^2 = b$.

A. Set $p=a-b$

B. Set $q=a+b$

5. You now have the prime factors of n.

This method is slow though taking $O(\sqrt{n})$ iterations at worst to complete, but if the primes are

close to each other then it will take $O\left(\frac{\Delta^2}{4n^{(1/2)}}\right)$ where $\Delta=|p-q|$ (formula from B. D. Weger

2002). The variables p and q are the two primes that make up n. This method can be improved through the use of a sieve to factor larger numbers but still won't be the best possible case for factorization. The source code for this method is in the Appendix B under `fermats_factors`.

There is a sieve improvement to the algorithm but I'm not including that for now.

Hastad Broadcast Attack via Chinese Remainder Theorem

The Chinese remainder theorem states that the map maps all congruences modulo N to a set of n_i .

There are linear congruences $x \equiv c_i \pmod{n_i}$, $x \equiv c_j \pmod{n_j}$... $x \equiv c_z \pmod{n_z}$ has a solution that is unique for n_i, n_j, \dots, n_z . If n_i, n_j, \dots, n_z are co-prime. Or more simply

$\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for all values where $i \neq j$. If we are going to solve for the first two moduli then the formula would be. $x \equiv a_{1,2} \pmod{n_1 n_2}$.

If we utilize the extended euclidean algorithm to calculate bezout's coefficients then we can utilize it to solve for these inverses as such. $X = a_1 m_2 n_2 + a_2 m_1 n_1$ where m_1 and m_2 are Bezout's coefficients of the values n_1 and n_2 which can be calculated from the `gcd_fast` function in the Appendix B. Now we're going to write the formula's using the simplified formula.

The set of $N = n_1, n_2, n_x$ $x \equiv c_1 N_1 d_1 + c_2 N_2 d_2 \dots c_z N_z d_z \pmod{N}$ where the

$$N_i = N/n_i \quad d \equiv N_i^{-1} \pmod{n_i}$$

If your public key exponent is 3 then we'd setup the formulas(each one calculated separately) for them with 3 cipher-texts, and 3 moduli. Assuming that we're making each cipher-text is numbered. We'll create some cipher text first. And will setup each section of the formula separately.

Here's the overall formula $X \equiv c_1 N_1 d_1 + c_2 N_2 d_2 + c_3 N_3 d_3 \pmod{N}$ but for sake of simplicity we'll setup each of the parts separately. Below you'll see the formulas for the 3 values. For the attack see the write up. All of the formulas below are denoting the modular inverse with the standard notation not how they were calculated which means they're shown as $x \equiv [a^{-1}] \pmod{b}$

After calculating X use the following formula get back the original plain-text M. $M = \sqrt[3]{X}$ If you were utilizing a different value for the public key exponent then it'd be similar to the e^{th} root attack where you'd take the e^{th} root of the final value for X to recover the plain text. You'd have to also capture e cipher-texts, and moduli.

1. $x_1 = c_1 \cdot N_1 \cdot d_1$ where $d_1 = [N_1]^{-1} \pmod{n_1}$ and $N_1 = N/n_1$
2. $x_2 = c_2 \cdot N_2 \cdot d_2$ where $d_2 = [N_2]^{-1} \pmod{n_2}$ and $N_2 = N/n_2$
3. $x_3 = c_3 \cdot N_3 \cdot d_3$ where $d_3 = [N_3]^{-1} \pmod{n_3}$ and $N_3 = N/n_3$
4. Finally add each section together modulus N
 - A. $X = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \pmod{N}$
5. And we get back x, then we take the cubed root of X.
6. $M = \sqrt[3]{X}$

If you want to see it in action refer back to the section where the attack was actually carried out, or the Appendix C "Real World Attacks" Subsection "Low Exponent - Hastad Broadcast Attack".

Appendix B: Algorithms

Note all python code is tab/space sensitive. All code is using a monospaced font to make it easier for you to see the code. I am utilizing 4 spaces for the indentation level so keep that in mind when you utilize this code yourself. All code is licensed under the AGPLv3 or Later. This code is simply here if you want to see how the sausage is made. They are all good functions to include in your CTF arsenal and will give you a major leg up on the competition.

Extended Euclidean Algorithm in Python

Non recursive version. Returns a tuple of gcd, bezout coefficients x and y.

#python supports || assignments thus we don't need a temporary variable to hold intermediate values.

```
def xgcd(a, b):
    x0, x1, y0, y1 = 0, 1, 1, 0
    while a != 0:
        q, b, a = b // a, a, b % a
        y0, y1 = y1, y0 - q * y1
        x0, x1 = x1, x0 - q * x1
    return b, x0, y0
```

Recursive version. Does the same as above but with recursion.

```
'''
```

gcd calculator using the Generalized Extended Euclidean Algorithm.

Python implementation of the extended euclidean algorithm for calculating the gcd.

This code is the recursive variant as it is simpler.

```
'''
def gcd_fast(a,b):
    gcd=0;
    x=0;
    y=0;
    x=0
    #if a or b is zero return the other value and the coeffecient's
    accordingly.
    if a==0:
        return (b,0,1)
    elif b==0:
        return (a,0,1)
    #otherwise actually perform the calculation.
    else:
        #set the gcd x and y according to the outputs of the function.
        # a is b (mod) a. b is just a.
        gcd, x, y = gcd_fast(b % a, a)
        #we're returning the gcd, x equals y - floor(b/a) * x
        # y is thus x.
        return (gcd, y - ( b // a ) * x, x)
```

LCM utilizing the extended Euclidean algorithm

```
# A fast LCM calculator utilizing the extended Euclidean algorithm.
def fast_lcm(a,b):
```

```

    lcm=0;
    gcd=0;
# if a or b are 0 there are now lcm for either of them thus it is zero.
    if a==0 or b==0:
        return 0
#otherwise if one is 1 then it's the other value.
    elif a==1:
        return b
    elif b==1:
        return a

    gcd=gcd_fast(a,b)[0]
#simplified version of the formula (a*b)/(gcd(a,b).
    lcm=(a/gcd)*b

    return lcm

```

Modular Multiplicative Inverse

Modular inverse algorithm we utilize the generalized extended Euclidean algorithm to calculate the gcd and the bezout coefficients to calculate the modular multiplicative inverse. This one also works with negative values of a. It has been modified by me to be generalized to work with all values a and mod whereas the original only worked with positive values of a and mod. I don't know where negative moduli would be seen but this works with them.

```

# Calculates the moduler multiplicative inverse of a and the modulus value
# such that  $a * x = 1 \% \text{mod}$ 
# Also mod is the modulus.
# % is the modulus operator in python.

```

```
#
def mod_inv(a,mod):
    gcd=0;
    x=0;
    y=0;
    x=0;
    # if a is less than 0 do this.
    if a < 0:
        # if the modulus is less than zero
        # convert it to a positive value.
        #otherwise set the temporary variable x to the modulus.
        if mod < 0:
            x=-mod;
        else:
            x=mod;
        # while a is less than zero keep adding the abs value of the
modulus to it.
        while a < 0:
            a+=x
    #use the extended euclidean algorithm to calculate the gcd and also
bezout's coeffecients x and y.
    gcd, x, y = gcd_fast(a,mod)
    #I'm just viewing them to make sure that it is indeed working.
    print(gcd,x,y)
    #if the gcd is not 1 or -1 tell them that it's impossible to invert.
    if gcd not in (-1,1):
        raise ValueError('Inputs are invalid. No modular multiplicative
inverse exists between {} and {} gcd:{}'.format(a,mod,gcd))
    #otherwise do the inversion.
    else:
        #if m is negative do the following.
```

```

    if gcd == -1:
        #if x is less than zero convert x to positive and add it to the
modulus.

        if x < 0:
            return mod - x
        #otherwise just add x to the modulus.
        else:
            return x + mod
#otherwise is a and m are both positive return x (mod m)
else:
    return x % mod

```

RSA Bytes to Number Encoder/Decoder

Once again here are the python functions to do this. This is the decoding function. It takes the integer and the output string length(that it should be).

```

# this decodes a string of bytes(ASCII text only really otherwise you need
to convert it
# to a byte stream. Via the following formula. X=str[i]+pow(256,i)
# Thus X=str[0]+pow(256,0)+str[1]+pow(256,1)...str[n]+pow(256,n)
def rsa_ascii_encode(string_to_encode,string_length):
    tmp_str='';
    output_str='';
    x=0;
#byte order is reversed so have to reverse the array.
    string_to_encode=string_to_encode[::-1]
    tmp=0;
    os=[]

```

```
i=0
while i<string_length:
    tmp=ord(string_to_encode[i:i+1])
    x+=(tmp*pow(256,i))
    i+=1

return x
```

#This converts the number to a string out of it.

```
def rsa_ascii_decode(x,x_len):
    X = []
    i=0;
    string=''
    if x>=pow(256,x_len):
        raise ValueError('Number is too large to fit in output string.')

    while x>0:
        X.append(int(x % 256))
        x //=256
    for i in range(x_len-len(X)):
        X.append(0)
    X=X[::-1]
    for i in range(len(X)):
        string+=chr(X[i])

    return string
```

Common Modulus Attack

```
#This does the hard work of actually getting you the plain-text back via
the
# common modulus attack. All you need to supply is both exponents, both
cipher-
# texts. Then the common Modulus. It also utilizes other previously defined
functions.
```

```
def common_modulus_attack(c1,c2,e1,e2,N):
```

```
    a=0;
```

```
    b=0;
```

```
    mx=0;
```

```
    my=0;
```

```
    i=0;
```

```
    if gcd_fast(e1,e2)[0] != 1:
```

```
        raise ValueError('e1 and e2 are invalid.')
```

```
    a=mod_inv(e1,e2)
```

```
    b=(gcd_fast(e1,e2)[0] - e1 * a ) / e2
```

```
    i=mod_inv(c2,N)
```

```
#In python if you add a 3 argument for pow, then it will return the value
modulus that third argument. So, in reality it's powmod(a,b,c) instead of
pow(a,b). You're calculating pow(a,b) mod c.
```

```
    mx=pow(c1,a,N)
```

```
    my=pow(i,-b,N)
```

```
    return (mx*my) % N
```


Small Exponent Root Attack

```
#This works for any value N, C and e.
#It'll return the plain-text P.
from sympy import *
def root_attack(C,e,N):
    P=0;exact_value=false;
    i=1

    while exact_value:
        P,exact_value = integer_nthroot(C+(i*N),e)
        i+=1

    return P
```

Fermat's Factors

```
#this is the naive method that can take  $O(N)$  time to factor the value n.
#It's actually worse than trivial division method of trying all possible
values as described in the attack section above but for close primes it's
super-fast.
#I import everything that I could possibly use.
from sympy import *
from sympy import power
from sympy.ntheory.primetest import is_square
def fermats_factors(n):
    tmp = integer_nthroot(n,2)
    a=tmp[0]
```

```
b = power.Pow(a,2) - n
k=0;
bool=tmp[1]

while not is_square(b):
    a+=1
    b = power.Pow(a,2) - n

k = integer_nthroot(b,2)[0]
p = a + k
q = a - k

return (p,q)
```

```
#requires integer_nthroot from sympy to work.
#this will solve the hastad broadcast attack for the
#public key exponent value of 3. You just supply it the date.
#it of course relies on other functions given previously.
```

```
def crt_3_solver(c1,c2,c3,n1,n2,n3,e):
```

```
    N=(n1*n2*n3)
    N1=n2*n3
    N2=n1*n3
    N3=n1*n2
    d1=mod_inv(N1,n1)
    d2=mod_inv(N2,n2)
    d3=mod_inv(N3,n3)
    x1=(c1*N1*d1)
    x2=(c2*N2*d2)
    x3=(c3*N3*d3)
```

```
x=(x1+x2+x3) % N
m=integer_nthroot(x,3)[0]

return m
```

Appendix C : Real World Examples

Radford Factoring/Decryption Challenge

RUSecure 2019 Preliminary Round: RSA #1

Case Intro: Suppose you intercept the ciphertext integer

[1665116749092532783614517176972314475197848]

that was encrypted with an ASCII alphabet assignment using the RSA cryptosystem with public encryption exponent of $e = 739479573983$ and modulus $m = 1967790697008364140098628521915198722929959$

We first have to get our variables in place so that we can decrypt the cipher-text message C.

First we have to factor n (here they say m no idea why) to get p and q .

7. $p = 0x2d67ffe1b6cc0b5fc1$
8. $q = 0x7f5b77ef8d04520ee7$
9. $n = 0x1696d12ec3f80ffc53651c5a208b6d51f527$
10. $\lambda(n) = \text{lcm}(0x2d67ffe1b6cc0b5fc0, 0x7f5b77ef8d04520ee6)$
A. $\lambda(n) = 0xb4b689761fc07fe295c2c7127a3ce7a4340$
11. $e = 0xac2c6ad5df$
12. $d \equiv [0xac2c6ad5df]^{-1} \bmod 0xb4b689761fc07fe295c2c7127a3ce7a4340$
A. $d = 0xa275976b67ca8e241108604e737dd2402df$
13. $M = (0x131d569ccc3cdc5cb86c45e44c5973137598^{**} 0xa275976b67ca8e241108604e737dd2402df) \bmod 0x1696d12ec3f80ffc53651c5a208b6d51f527$
14. $M = 65698332751011215832698367658069$

Radford is using Naive ASCII assignment as given below. So you simply take the string of digits separate it into 2 if the first digit of the set is not 1 or take a group of 3 if it is. Decode the numbers into ASCII text and you have the flag.

Bytes = 65 69 83 32 75 101 121 58 32 69 83 67 65 80 69

Message = "AES Key: ESCAPE"

The flag is now solved.

Radford Common Modulus Attack

This time it's common modulus as the modulus is the same whereas the public key exponent e is different. Plus the size of m makes it pretty much impossible to factor it by hand. Also once again someone at Radford doesn't know crypto as the modulus is **always** n and not m . For the math behind this attack look into the proof I'm not repeating myself here. I'll just show steps. Also the modulus is ~760bits in length using the simple relationship formula. \log_2/\log_{10} . We know that each decimal digit contains ~3.21981 bits of information. Hex of course contains 4 bits per digit and binary is 1 bit per digit. So by taking the number of digits of the modulus then multiplying it by 3.21981 you can get a rough estimate for how many bits it contains. Also always make sure to floor the value to get a better approximation. It's going to over-estimate the value some but that's fine for our purposes. For example $2^{32}-1$ shows 33 bits even though it's 32. For this lab the `**` operator will represent raised to the power due to how large the numbers are.

RUSecure 2019 Preliminary Round: RSA #2

Suppose you intercept the ciphertext integer

[3348898614019888901908403254933640035246212844961838831090198209986980794381721549119817432681384755697410365192319104804164099565189541033745677177908430898159000425144231080271489963068735078029094600990872466478190741629812176] that encrypted a plaintext message with an ASCII alphabet assignment using the RSA cryptosystem with public encryption exponent of

$e = 927497329847987298271115$ and modulus $m =$

4035789025935566763434217693291904203514985559759202218772232737779637242777118595044390460183072421339720558176591333566629680159420540355202801063004396853930869779589477542063791290354739283500845851153515283182096350655220153

the ciphertext integer

[239640052909589767377717332389707447467040807634556900631678847178249840124011908871933438491107613018104449557989400002181849114477870950132116542696807901617211160049032412890334084433427701567750018959947232564370889351855337] that represented an encryption of the same plaintext using the RSA cryptosystem with public encryption exponent of

$e = 123132131231124141411111$ and modulus $m =$

403578902593556676343421769329190420351498555975920221877223273777963724277711859504439046018307242133972055817659133356662968015942054035520280106300

4396853930869779589477542063791290354739283500845851153515283182096350655220153

Decipher the message.

Beginning The Attack

Once again I am using hex encodings to save pages of paper. And like before if you want to see how it works go to the proofs appendix.

$$e_1 = 0xc467bb22cd484f4e7f8b$$

$$e_2 = 0x1a130196ecb7605c8b27$$

$$n = 0xaa5c91f5ba54c6100de462097d18a81cced30e697bcbdafdaa7d084472ad6de5ccc642ab20b4b134473bee8651a1644b5a27a73ed99e8a6954796ff8cd00ed3063ec04c8a2011a2f0c30155f19ca74a37d6c399cfbe4230b0e71bd201f09b9$$

$$C_1 = 0x8d5db860df5564f27e9600b4b21eaae6afa3b8f240b70c75d4c54fed1a4d423dff6ef7f1bbdbe6607990bc49d5e09df393273250a8411adc2c07533b50054fe0a6c764933c60fa7df2f7dd79a0c42c2092a0deff93064313c657310cc1bdd0$$

$$C_2 = 0xa1da8c8775296a4cf19d60c7b0731b60099ef44e72ad964b764233eb5205ab870ea1f3a04fd221f9e35366900e2c505be26a725996ca34628a519dd635bf9ee185542897405c13488dd06e8eeabad1e0d5328761c12e2d913c64f98e37ce9$$

1. $a \equiv [0xc467bb22cd484f4e7f8b]^{-1} \bmod 0x1a130196ecb7605c8b27$
 A. $a = 0xba6ae669209243df6fb$
2. $b = (\gcd(0xc467bb22cd484f4e7f8b, 0x1a130196ecb7605c8b27) - e_1 \cdot a) \div e_2$
 A. $b = -0x57c3282306d561db9378$
3. $i \equiv$
 $(0xa1da8c8775296a4cf19d60c7b0731b60099ef44e72ad964b764233eb5205ab870ea1f3a04fd221f9e35366900e2c505be26a725996ca34628a519dd635bf9ee185542897405c13488dd06e8eeabad1e0d5328761c12e2d913c64f98e37ce9^{*-1}) \bmod$
 $0xaa5c91f5ba54c6100de462097d18a81cced30e697bcbdafdaa7d084472ad6de5ccc642ab20b4b134473bee8651a1644b5a27a73ed99e8a6954796ff8cd00ed3063ec04c8a2011a2f0c30155f19ca74a37d6c399cfbe4230b0e71bd201f09b9$

- A. $i=0x1ed00ccabf5acda10b873005d1ca8edf212c624af9524a962c64efa6ab7630e910e7a6447005ab170b3033d0d7333b5bcacc1ee82a27d0e799585adf5e9b19ae82b254dc9fff6e1917bf58d60317aa212eb1e90c9c116630cd1d84e91443fd$
4. $m_x=(0x8d5db860df5564f27e9600b4b21eaae6afa3b8f240b70c75d4c54fed1a4d423dff6ef7f1bbdbe6607990bc49d5e09df393273250a8411adc2c07533b50054fe0a6c764933c60fa7df2f7dd79a0c42c2092a0deff93064313c657310cc1bdd0^{**}0xba6ae669209243df6fb) \bmod 0xaa5c91f5ba54c6100de462097d18a81cced30e697bcbdafdaa7d084472ad6de5ccc642ab20b4b134473bee8651a1644b5a27a73ed99e8a6954796ff8cd00ed3063ec04c8a2011a2f0c30155f19ca74a37d6c399cfbe4230b0e71bd201f09b9$
- A. $m_x=0x6eb36a2b83899817a1c91dbb22f50349e0e1d0e11b348378a93285ca0d122e4a91a7fb2b8b566bc98ebdd19cbbd4e33ed74b8311590af2fad0be8257d2de33e4f886f0578ba06258bec39ed495d860375aa69b3526f56e62d060dd7cea4afe$
5. $m_y=(0x1ed00ccabf5acda10b873005d1ca8edf212c624af9524a962c64efa6ab7630e910e7a6447005ab170b3033d0d7333b5bcacc1ee82a27d0e799585adf5e9b19ae82b254dc9fff6e1917bf58d60317aa212eb1e90c9c116630cd1d84e91443fd^{**}-(0x57c3282306d561db9378) \bmod 0xaa5c91f5ba54c6100de462097d18a81cced30e697bcbdafdaa7d084472ad6de5ccc642ab20b4b134473bee8651a1644b5a27a73ed99e8a6954796ff8cd00ed3063ec04c8a2011a2f0c30155f19ca74a37d6c399cfbe4230b0e71bd201f09b9$
- A. $m_y=0x627e01193b81cb8b2a67b5d050180794b6d858faff437fae19f524315cdfd661ae003892832654654cafcdd01d030b296fae0c4d389fc185f9b22c15bca3bc58dcacaf446e9d3a2530fe10ae2e5a91dbe7843dbea0bd8b80cd27483d18ace$
6. $M = (0x6eb36a2b83899817a1c91dbb22f50349e0e1d0e11b348378a93285ca0d122e4a91a7fb2b8b566bc98ebdd19cbbd4e33ed74b8311590af2fad0be8257d2de33e4f886f0578ba06258bec39ed495d860375aa69b3526f56e62d060dd7cea4afe^{*}0x627e01193b81cb8b2a67b5d050180794b6d858faff437fae19f524315cdfd661ae003892832654654cafcdd01d030b296fae0c4d389fc185f9b22c15bca3bc58dcacaf446e9d3a2530fe10ae2e5a91dbe7843dbea0bd8b80cd27483d18ace) \bmod 0xaa5c91f5ba54c6100de462097d18a81cced30e697bcbdafdaa7d084472ad6de5ccc642ab20b4b134473bee8651a1644b5a27a73ed99e8a6954796ff8cd00ed3063ec04c8a2011a2f0c30155f19ca74a37d6c399cfbe4230b0e71bd201f09b9$
- A. $M=69118101110321051023212111117114321121141051091011153297114101321089711410310132116104101328283653299971103298101329811411110710111032119104101110321091051151169710710111532971141013210997100101$
7. Now with M you do as you did before divide it into chunks based upon the first digit of the chunk then convert it to ascii.
8. M as bytes: 69 118 101 110 32 105 102 32 121 111 117 114 32 112 114 105 109 101 115 32 97 114 101 32 108 97 114 103 101 32 116 104 101 32 82 83 65 32 99 97 110 32 98 101 32 98 114 111 107 101 110 32 119 104 101 110 32 109 105 115 116 97 107 101 115 32 97 114 101 32 109 97 100 101

- A. M decoded = Even if your primes are large the RSA can be broken when mistakes are made
- 9. Thus the answer is “Even if your primes are large the RSA can be broken when mistakes are made”

You have now captured the flag.

Fermat's Near Prime Attack

You were given the following key it is your job to get the decryption key out of it. Then decrypt the message. The cipher-text message C was given to you already.

```
C=0x9a15ca9b78e1f0bde8bda1e98a1ece19a95ace7354f8df44532ba4b4693694dc56d6517
4e5f7c4e53c061ccc0e716170199463fd898474120c1873413c0700b79f2e935413ee6e5678
029fb385834dc601ecf864c9c79f48f462dd4af7c473f6a24f505aa80a8926d62330bd41089
e471def17662591ac5aabddf9ce9d51228fb9223b6e8dfe2d7b16b5c44c9722c9b7d2e84d44
2e9af7c966e1d08934ee7d815906f5085d39443af4d81537cfc0d4173ccaeba89c554b421ae
602e1de9d1ebab6fe0bbca268198e5db65c4b14acca72fbdeb0a0ec13351912e87611604d7
1a5ba85ab77bd62135232b70eafe78041bf32bbb05bd9b2b64b3132b51d75a333
```

Public Key

-----BEGIN PUBLIC KEY-----

```
MIIBIjANBgkqhkiG9w0BAQEFAAOCAQ8AMIIBCgKCAQEAx9EL9EgTc9vE81nYE8+O
8YERRhBZgkfkYAdsRloylStvD+cwZXAhljd/XWZtch00mVkzvzVaCAk87ZrtnM1
AlTFQ9ycjT5QeQ/pblT8WeT5ygi1q1V7sD7Ad7rSS+3W0Ja9qYkC7qvsEbFh0npR
nDouPpb6JBZk9HE/X7Lpn2CsG73sLU7ssViS2sXtwpR/kyne5ccYeHYtmtdTdLo+
H4gJfJHaRKETphkqS6tn3rJC2N5czz9AsCP1CrDcGQQEcI4mbthmFzTY81bFybLK
HXpY0VojCqigp67tipQ7RR9KcWvuboXAHPhs56oVqM9wjFkUo65oiY1RWdh5h8Qd
dwIDAQAB
```

-----END PUBLIC KEY-----

After decoding we get the following vectors.

Decoded Vectors

```
n=0xc7d10bf4481373dbc4f359d813cf8ef181114610598247e460076c465a32952b6f0fe73
0657021c658ddfd7599b5c84e3a6564cefc5682024f3b66bb673350254c543dc9c8d3e5079
0fe96e54fc59e4f9ca08b5ab557bb03ec077bad24bedd6d096bda98902eeabec11b161d27a5
19c3a2e3e96fa241664f4713f5fb2e99f60ac1bbdec2d4eeeb15892dac5edc2947f9329dee5
c71878762d9ad75374ba3e1f88097c91da44a113a6192a4bab67deb242d8de5ccf3f40b023f
50ab0dc190404708e266ed8661734d8f356c5c9b2ca1d7a58395a230aa8a0a7aeed8a943b45
1f4a716bee6e85c01cf1ece7aa15a8cf708c5914a3ae68898d5159d87987c41d77
```

e=0x1001

For this attack to work we need to factor n into the two prime factors that make it up. To do this we are going to use Fermat's method. We will need to calculate a , and b . So that we then have the prime factors.

$$1. \quad a = \text{floor}(\sqrt{n})$$

A. $a =$

```
0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb0b
da47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f041235a
87726a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7ba
c8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f40603
```

$$2. \quad b = (a^2) - n$$

A. $b = -$

```
0x1c4573dc24a9386d7ca1157035444304d92f37de8bf0ce8299498816bdd65f61
7b48facd0863c033dc35fc95dace9a3f2e34389ce1bc1304544f3be9b89e08246b
50ee4d53fb30a31e4b775c95db022470db437956f61c719f6c0e5c3edaf82fbef7
5906a5d8767c3483238a5a4470d87730ae58ef3d00535c1ec3db185e7f96e
```

$$3. \quad \sqrt{b} = \text{floor}(\sqrt{b})$$

A. since the values are so large. I simply ran `is_square(b)` and checked if it said false or true.

I. `is_square(-`

```
0x1c4573dc24a9386d7ca1157035444304d92f37de8bf0ce8299498816bdd65
f617b48facd0863c033dc35fc95dace9a3f2e34389ce1bc1304544f3be9b89e
08246b50ee4d53fb30a31e4b775c95db022470db437956f61c719f6c0e5c3ed
af82fbef75906a5d8767c3483238a5a4470d87730ae58ef3d00535c1ec3db18
5e7f96e)
```

II. False

B. Thus it is false.

$$4. \quad a = a + 1$$

A. $a =$

```
0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb
0bda47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f04123
5a87726a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7
bac8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f40604
```

$$5. \quad b = (a^2) - n$$

A. $b =$

6. Checking b again. We get a value of true.

$$7. \quad a$$

```
=0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb0bda
47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f041235a87726
```

a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7bac8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f40604 and $b = 0x1299$

8. $p = a + b$

A. $p =$

0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb0bda47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f041235a87726a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7bac8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f40604 + 0x1299

B. $p =$

0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb0bda47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f041235a87726a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7bac8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f4189d

9. $q = a - b$

A. $q =$

0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb0bda47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f041235a87726a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7bac8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f40604 - 0x1299

B. $q =$

0xe22b9ee12549c36be508ab81aa221826c979bef45f867414ca4c40b5eeb2fb0bda47d668431e019ee1afe4aed674d1f971a1c4e70de09822a279df4dc4f041235a87726a9fd98518f25bbae4aed8112386da1bcab7b0e38cfb6072e1f6d7c17df7bac8352ec3b3e1a4191c52d22386c3b98572c779e8029ae0f61ed8c2f3f36b

10. with p and q solved. We can calculate d and decrypt the message.

11. $\lambda(n) =$

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

12. $d = [e]^{-1} \pmod{\lambda(n)}$

A. $d = (0x1299^{*-1}) \pmod{\lambda(n)}$

0x43ba81f8cd2d7ac9f55a1f0bf4bb17d298530892a62458c5fa1ec9fe96b82a83cc6371939fee8024411f0db87cdf7703bd1b7d2e03d93a301b1658b0ede8e300e5

46f017a920df0c5bdc9edcfd05845aeea82287a1899a214543ebd17152b74d66ea
 916313c3e0ae79980dae00afe155b90acfc0510d4661f5d12510050ed72c4e16f6
 f23b9e1589c699f3d5543dd92c7191fd1207561415428fde1a5fdafb875b912070
 8151625e8b3916b7d4d96884519bc64b905f9ca4fcededa2147a7ecf96ec2d8a28
 8e184cf6080ddcc1a7acc82b8ef3e27e9b31c67bd652e06cb75698b1504939dca0
 b9c5ba98429698efefd058f97d4fe367ab041ae3a5e141701ca1

- B. $d=0x132e8a46cd987647b0e130b866dffe8312f5edd3356a33f9e2fc210fb21f93$
 $d69dd7bb64a69972a9e1819c7f6383d305c9024465a4c2f72ade664519c3700dd3$
 $a2f66a1420b7c41f756de88c677c621a3aaaca3eafdec3873fb2621d7d0ba2c59b$
 $253ea05a025e665$

13. Now we can decrypt the message C.

14. $M = C^d \pmod{n}$

A. $M =$

(0x9a15ca9b78e1f0bde8bda1e98a1ece19a95ace7354f8df44532ba4b4693694d
 c56d65174e5f7c4e53c061ccc0e716170199463fd898474120c1873413c0700b79
 f2e935413ee6e5678029fb385834dc601ecf864c9c79f48f462dd4af7c473f6a24
 f505aa80a8926d62330bd41089e471def17662591ac5aabddf9ce9d51228fb9223
 b6e8dfe2d7b16b5c44c9722c9b7d2e84d442e9af7c966e1d08934ee7d815906f50
 85d39443af4d81537cfc0d4173ccaeba89c554b421ae602e1de9d1ebab6fe0bbca
 268198e5db65c4b14accac72fbdeb0a0ec13351912e87611604d71a5ba85ab77bd
 62135232b70eafe78041bfc32bbb05bd9b2b64b3132b51d75a333 **

0x132e8a46cd987647b0e130b866dffe8312f5edd3356a33f9e2fc210fb21f93d6
 9dd7bb64a69972a9e1819c7f6383d305c9024465a4c2f72ade664519c3700dd3a2
 f66a1420b7c41f756de88c677c621a3aaaca3eafdec3873fb2621d7d0ba2c59b25
 3ea05a025e665) mod

0xc7d10bf4481373dbc4f359d813cf8ef181114610598247e460076c465a32952b
 6f0fe730657021c658ddfd7599b5c84e3a6564cefcd5682024f3b66bb673350254
 c543dc9c8d3e50790fe96e54fc59e4f9ca08b5ab557bb03ec077bad24bedd6d096
 bda98902eeabec11b161d27a519c3a2e3e96fa241664f4713f5fb2e99f60ac1bbd
 ec2d4eecb15892dac5edc2947f9329dee5c71878762d9ad75374ba3e1f88097c91
 da44a113a6192a4bab67deb242d8de5ccf3f40b023f50ab0dc190404708e266ed8
 661734d8f356c5c9b2ca1d7a58395a230aa8a0a7aeed8a943b451f4a716bee6e85
 c01cf1ece7aa15a8cf708c5914a3ae68898d5159d87987c41d77

- B. $M=0x41682041682041682c20796f75206469646e2774207361792027746865206d$
 $6167696320776f7264272e$

15. Thus $M =$

0x4920646f6e2774206861766520746865206f726967696e616c2066696c6520746f2
 0776f726b20776974682066726f6d2042536964657353575641203230313920736f20
 7468697320697320746865206d6573736167652049276d20656e6372797074696e672
 e

A. M as integer is

```
319559286308391756826269265603922288393743453837616058720334463980
056039982230382654675958093245867265055768056902438144872184836808
934490587648675518132973302838082889275625659741866415640091437770
88861004541976616436704523481167295903867828332334
```

16. Now after converting it back into plain-text through the function `rsa_ascii_decode`

A. I don't have the original file to work with from BSidesSWVA 2019 so this is the message I'm encrypting.

17. I don't have the original file you had to decrypt so the flag is "complete." In reality you'd have some magic phrase or something that you'd need to work with.

The flag is completed.

Cubed Root Attack

Given the following test vectors calculate the original plain-text.

$n=0x2176899ee2dfc6b5ef46a65d1a130a9a0156008d4db4099cc1e6284f58c2619$

$C=0x8d9ab41d50ccda8bfa0adb670769290b1ec3322a58e196b61a83f900729$

$e=3$.

Solve for the original plain-text message. Seeing how e is 3 that means that you can try an e^{th} root attack.

1.

2. $M = \sqrt[e]{C}$

A. Plugging in the values we get.

$$M = \sqrt[3]{0x8d9ab41d50ccda8bfa0adb670769290b1ec3322a58e196b61a83f900729}$$

B. $M=0x536563726574204b6579$,

3. $M= 393826705131131749754233$

4. Now we have to decode it using the standard RSA method

A. (I'm not using Radfords method as the numbers have to be much larger.

5. $M = \text{Secret Key}$

Thus the attack is done.

Hastad Broadcast Attack via CRT

Throughout this section we're going to assume that you've already done the math and have a script to work with these numbers. Thus you're solely going to get the values as they come out and are shown to you. All numbers are hex-encoded to keep the sizes down. This also assumes that you've read the Glossary Appendix.

The Given Vectors

$e=5$.

$c_1=0xd30c93811204c45b98da8d98cf9829551cfa4464b378f7dc700e2000db6173b50c22895e3e82a6801f6328eceadfceaaf7981c8037b2480641200dc95f89802849bc95a04093f0b5c7ddc95c828eeb66b9c2d614025eb2d9f13d4de039b2a7a7459b2c14c9dbed1324822e3eb294dee8964$

$n_1=0x2ceec070086977dcb0dceb4ab27dc35fba7604b186f3a010e34bf9aeafd0798c4b2bad15261afd19a01d908c4b040c0ca2888a4189380624f08cf7f2ea080e05d8f11a559e1bb04f343619abf15c953db58b86ae65e9a356805ab629b643b06d462fac63013400bd5b6c6810e1083b7e27699$

$c_2=0x1d6906e44743efe3a2c7e86d58cef0f2ca2a6f2d61f75e8d2f295bfd186dca84db3ec61f07b55c24e21826ffd099917f984acab889226f42330257830e2c7ce92aabf9544110c221c2c7cb41e5c0ed9af875f60c7e2658e7b185a3a394813783c6528cdff71f8ced2db14e0d9cb65b5e986f$

$n_2=0x39b300628764fc7af4ab0acc50f1d1115a3bc0642bcc0836670e7597afc154d688864a29f3123212873ab830dfcbf37a8680483a6dde8ad9161886779b0cf6fd551a647001205c08af07afe20604506411ca662f3519ef5e257d4b9d8d7c2f293704456b0ffaedfe8b8bd4f88c0086df09f9$

$c_3=0x16fed13cee720525d22a79aecad543c0bf7c1c2d6c436441d914bcde12f78171f6ffa46981338317c8527d7e6a0c515a17c72507cb2e4fa5bafc2f419af117278de61ef207f087e610d28ad433fb2e70b2dc9c8a1568fca86d796aea8569428602ab89a29b926d39094deab43fb8c1468b4$

$n_3=0x23d8aa6c92d22590f0272e332f237b2fd5c4b104be32a9738ddb65392a366f8fb78385a01d0f7d5eb4536f8cde373e47cd40472eb37fd9281a2d096e469a8f2ebb0d1694108b8e391$

5a37dd4e8686c880211d2f9d4f7d88bfb73ade9be9bdb54334417c518abfe0f7a8fe52af6e9a164f5bf

$c_4=0x19a60b461c4a6618bc6f8f5bdef670a3d6db19b5dd44fc265a8dcc19ab7ffdf2e3bf9fe17ae2e381b71a436599b2325bc8fdcfdaed99d95ee46452250b4e127bff45a5d32a078191fb0c74e2bccd3eca23b3016fb376c8bfd32ad187098025cac4c231292b280a2e334f0aa0aa9348e4154d$

$n_4=0x30e9d69bf662d29e23ea8a5eeb738bc23b5a4bfa4e5b28d826fbfdbaae019e34ffc4986f6e860d9caa2f72db762ae3e84d17b3e891edb783c7f7dc8320b354f41fe57db758c7b0b0df21391f91a812ce21ef1eacd5911229fd6abec5604d9fcbdc2a55125e9aa9ab124cef8500f2974d19$

$c_5=0xcc54c87dca21a18f63ce618b48c4ba020bbb3b9100abf254e0f0e85b4c1e7ecea505bb05d57e8ff610cc4f712c0e0f173eb60f0f5c5949570c05b4fc03bfaf3327127a3b53434ad193b4c294caa422d596483daec7c37ec5e2e4b24e0f7174f52edfe0a5865b7db7191d27e9c4bd79ea5d$

$n_5=0x179a8ca0230cf6ccf082383f337f321d34faaa542ff518d7ebe8f56cdea6046b1ce225e64ef3010330889f4dc2980379eaa fb2d8956030c810dab398230aaf7e8ef20e51c8f1f8498f6ec58b958e954cf3f407078a4d76d5255ad7b2c9d300bbdc410716c759e3247dd7a0a7035c915ab04d$

Calculating Ns

Then you have to calculate N , and N_{1-5} because $e=5$.

$N = n_i \cdot n_{i+1} \dots n_k$ where k is the the value of the public key exponent or 5 in this case.

$N=0x664d3373857d79c95fd4bbdd868f6fdfd736d77260211ed74f1def9907467d88482d9e2dd91099aa32275fd34b88b9df5e91e07b05b78512d8538056340aa801c714a4ed4ed5989906beda9b1c713a610edc19099d60e86ff23f2bcaee398ec80b4dae9d5a21861a6f52823a8d0bdb49c5a4359719c0ad1e9eb63cba6c4b4df00e06c89cd6f3d12a109d7e09aec343bb7c5a3e39187a17f1686360658161fd3abdf fa0cbb826ca4bd04bd9ccb f6f4bb284bfb603ca41321231db9bac0448701f8076f80d1d6c82498a1bdf f03494ed692c12f0ebc819f2a4533f85dd9391094acc910d9b05f1f582dd87102ea085016ed3c0907a89af8f0a98c7644a1b7c1df8f26dba60116490bd1195ae8829aa278c15de941a0d7f18b164c3fa6f63fd2d19ddb f4f1220bb79477c6d04b50ebdba96a7c784842c55397e51e39f627bd586d8c38dcf71a3cc3878714dc8d4e843fba596b7f71b31a562b01a8597867baf6991767d739d0eb0327fcaca51cb89c149f63dda023d0318499119aa467867f6a2aed9d581469d5c7efb2c99ef6f660af6c9bd3f546ce8674e4bfa5c5c928f96652f5466cfccfb b101f6818811da09b0394609347f4b0d3e89900343a5fc8193289d$

871d9c6332095fd7faac544766238cd5cb7fd9c21a5177d843ff2e54757233d673e9d82e44b
 457a173e7b4137d9945eb43bf48ef403bfc247c91e9e665e8b89d1e3851f7e1fb88ca6af66a
 8fd85f90642ed8e2058f627f7b491db0049cfe7e65b1066f78e0cf91bef11a75ccccb07526f
 76abd91acd88552b

$$\text{Further } N_i = \frac{n_j \cdot n_{j+1} \dots n_{j+z}}{n_i}$$

Meaning you're multiplying all of the moduli n except for the N component you want. So for example

$$N_1 = n_2 \cdot n_3 \cdot n_4 \cdot n_5$$

$N_1=0x246fe35475031b158989ac87262e43041eb15a6b9f8436f760e8c033c789dac9967423$
 $a8c94025a08c7221588b31ae2d609a9270b5e92c948140bd6feba7b2047d457ccf520ff6b90$
 $509cf8d4a60cc5e78123088e10fd60a8c5fd6a43688df413bad0c1538f31cd9e11c508e1230$
 $8538b347f6bf2141d620c89f43a3f2fea30e8a72244e8a585ad80b1b2e64f7618e6ba626f02$
 $f6eee4943e27a8448137126bbd852fb3185bd18dfcaa9b96f38b9adfbe3ed67fbe40b82c5ea$
 $60e9baeb0520b15c275418de3442531849258ec6376543028ca97d8ff2c38d64e6052bec194$
 $552ff728b7d43b76ba43e526d8b975a3af24e207f1b6186bb8e929d71901c3b3b5e99bdbd2f$
 $815209ec66909394fa646efb37882f65f0522819694f25f37b0931939db4f0d8c96478333d4$
 $99c302244d21926e3b0c084b0500f2b0a4ea391818524e05dde4c5d74699dc185aa1d8e6cbd$
 $d55fca4aedf0c255ed2cb41caf567d50723f27b0001c6d04e0c1112088c9b4dc376f02a5013$
 $f1e577acbd9dcac33fd0126dcde2a6108d23c97599d204f0edca75947617039be46063df337$
 $b8c8ef8e25b61715e384ca5c848b3a29299b911277e68b893d90b6f89774b5ba3fca7373275$
 $4f52e4c37fb3863$

$N_2=0x1c5e4488740ff3c816548d5c41164a6e6f51b55cdfb14ac8499089d4b04661219a923$
 $dc20fcce6bd6a0bb73c991a811ae58e764be17c383a328b08b9eb6e7592b824e9fa477a0710$
 $f6041184d828e0968e4f39b032f188163a22cd9602eaae665d35fb2984a9c46fd2a7fc01ec8$
 $17f095e4e2610e257f43b446277297fd54c05503d3379871fe5f7e317b90f8af17299d1a0b7$
 $a6efe79d267048932daed81d9e5c6d35ef853690683ece2a56af071a3b268897bb862ddc310$
 $1295b70cd392fd7b2a1712690a2cb107336195f2d9703d0f3176a2431bc89d8d8cca656be8e$
 $1f1e23bded0bcf008b1ab1456e9ad1e764d1ca6cddead151d5453bee5c492a27ed02ae0aa2b$
 $ff0c7043cc1262046e613d635a4d02970020420fa07977cc60731affd12402652b0e9faff63$
 $e9d799cb0d3942f543022db3bba52e18e0ce89d62301cb0c0f7faef76bafbe3d6e45c0f6dda$
 $b37271bbb5710d017884d9968ccc1866b4cbaf90ebae63d0f4fb1ab79f058e710c762200f4e$
 $5c5e57c1493ebb54c40964344cc384915d023055524742fce6adfa111a0ddc2008feb4297af$
 $afe5162fe61b9a7291ad91edef8e9e9a3201ee50ce2b44a53ec6ba543c70e9032274879aa93$
 $8f41ce178c4c143$

$N_3=0x2da9894c42a741abf96b7b9c0399b0266c6ccafb59f34b46e95953c3fecab3dacd609a$
 $9e0fea8ac12b8b6c8a5119ca76fadcb17baaf0a7ed3996f8d1d463cd64b5bd7166457016bcf$
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$N_4=0x2176b5f544ea47b1f4ccea1495d3a47f4f0b2f4cb10cc0fb5858f5e37e02fc26b23662$
184efcedcdfb777d6d16ba34ecff352cac020820e49fba700cfe8178133e7fad934077651b3
fb0d9e54418b27baf8439777d997fb2848f9d4753889c6a90d69729cf95171fceccec17a944
cda758d17979bf46af437f95cccad19de85488d2c87e2d17e09ecbd4343f9f107c52ea5af81
72a7ccf75a6e34f788fc17c7dd239f3a369d3caec1ed67b12f14d6cc87a32cc0900ccc6e440
61c06b69c3a84f632e138da8e485924ec276112115c094ce9f1b59b846cbbb3f3b3cec46af2
204ac4e8c09a4a934548fccf8fec7dcc22de384b45fcfd4d98573d993d630abd317131fb105
e65a7b880644d0c5a6f7feef1b0e63404c82316ce3748703f444f5903fb210484e543ed61f7
45d4b2ffdaf853c54c90b6895c6d0cb7f8e1cd62602f82db9b7cacaba23ba85cfff0d3a90bb
06429328d3fd09dd687d02455677db18cef950e3b000cc3f0c5b7a30f6dfa2578f4886ab05a
99782db1d46afe08929e0050fc1658439e15f75736db2782ab1a3be3435020f3faf48f81045
092489ea97b405d53dc40c1316679a6fdc0f23eaa0e96e14743a822a0bf1381940b81aecbe1
56bf8858b3db8e3

$N_5=0x455899dcb83d636f5a5731203c134c1b3a4bceb8f326ebf768983a7c423d34c6cbae4f$
6b2deaea3148928b3243f91870d123ae9eca296bc788b644c7b09a3f0e6dfbe54e6887584f1
a591b8ca07173c75ee52a72c1b316fbadec2826704a5aff8a962ad995d5310babb48912b8df
3aeb4afed04b43a67ea855a8c166c97508374ff0eb8a7d0fb7b213e204ecf2db18dee30d920
549287d5261471e80c9b50acb2733a43c96cd00cbebc95cbef4fea4d445013868dcba85f7a
a2eaec3d4acefc47cea6af56601052e89e7d6505ac34dc2351cc9a221a32284b27616ea29ee
184fa02b63d6885894e3647c04e9204b69951ba63b1080dbda319e70ebcd421853632f911b8
6308bf4ee38a7d88b894bf81a67e7182400ef951b001621be16c61f63ee8597b8aa03a17c0e
fcad5a8ceb5c53e2c87f959e520a57605a4c7b726d326497f770dbbef5c29c69d1b6ac30ea4
8334358cc7584910bf1b9946cce1cad50fc99f8a0d6de8531fadee7664525257d7a24d6ec87
5ddf4c29fffb2133922220b74a96e150d9fcb7e79a5028b3e1ebc6741db6ba28d0e5a37d9aa
0612bfbcb4b9b00628b196eaa5c926a0a57e79c7835fa645c5e43fdd52e6399020bea83c59b3
438fb2f351d9757

Calculating d_s

$$d_i \equiv [d_i]^{-1} \pmod{N_i}$$

Meaning that $d_1 = \text{mod_inv}(d_1, N_1)$

$d_1 = 0x117d17785feaff5a865da9e2b07092991963e2c7e6be6c4a11762a074f7dcb549dc1e3afcb541c59351171266981c72e790ba2d8cd519bd024ed80ba9a4379cd6652b321a598c322d39c6a36d7a681663d887823d884855d591585f323e678f16ffa38bc41d82a5bcdb5a9df63fd4c0a1d84$

$d_2 = 0x1e8a72edc7954289985e846bedc24404c37261e88807e1bc7394352ad67b78341932409383c5268269913614b0d42e22a855ccf8a91c727873c36265286f22454bc3fd47916f4db0335fa2158e503dc8245a8ba87536525c9ed01c2dda8aa78f8bbf71b85f34468ed45b3bf31fe1ca6f952a$

$d_3 = 0x1adeb547447989faf03a5e0561d0b82b3cb5cbd5e166dd843a8e7b623f23ae460764b1b971cdcf42b9fb4adfcd13899110517cbdac57cf6ffeba44210dae3aeb18f656dc6718d3bc24d65b9b2e36e7169944c8d5fb1d471310c36ad0da365a6421f7e44c761bf756dec7eeddc49aa66176cc$

$d_4 = 0x15e113f92034442d96ec2526ccadfe4df89a50f36f4e2b2003451668ccc3e45bcf7cb8e5fcc3e822703bb7f43744cf548aaffa85b2384668c1135fb08b2f813b71ba0d37afd241e418d85076355537424901c429e633bffa5eeffd7f793c4f525330c6e283a93fe62339d4cd74b789fdd05$

$d_5 = 0x14e08d4dfaadd1ca7e1e4c3e1a417710ee790c470c58312aeb93cfbe231bc88b5973e9314c773b50a9bd93798ee93820ea394f2da03d8c9e11db5f8ef5fed7b2052d07ce31abd642b176082ce5fbb459eb887abbfa97497236a2dc068b178e66804eb7abeb8957285ac7ce6d6ddb1463f5fb$

Calculating the x_s

The components x are calculated as follows. $x_i = c_i \cdot d_i \cdot N_i$

$x_1 = 0x20d57d0a65d5a15d720c223aa086fe54a7f70b05f94efe41c3d472e66737d1dea897178de35cf3c78c3d2a28a91e0a09761b0382a5a7e5f7d198321a0ba58f025b4fa392e331d3991e59206c7af28c1868ee7b16e59aaae137b0022adf48a51ac6ccc73c7131b525be46d9c96864685c37112cdf32c9417863d18b868a618b6fca43c58432401c30c0d25b442dc2ff4d73cd8d8$
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$x_2=0x6388ba13b8bf3f29d36c4b96e981bd80d5d0561c3efe6455bd0192edd6aeef698e1442$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$x_3=0x6e3602cd57c1b5ba8847b1ce80d38d62e0c799a5b6e8e4d0fa32e516bb701926ed284a$
84ede2f60ddf0a0eb8b593f348886b935a7e6dfed4af8a1950f11864430c8bdba092466338d
99088fcea7679cb17211d1639ce113d296e73f4d7158ba17ad64651295416cfa982fd4f8737
6210537a7a27d945b3f34bf6adb49cf62021372242a053b5180e35e3f2fbcd1fa071058942c
2adf6469d25e98263b96a2ad7533c4a8694ab52806ac09d81df3e6164807ee9e39a88767565

2c6fb0ad75e9401d90709bc5f58644acf163629d233192c57c8c0369d7d1f0c20456e67d01c
21f57a7ed31c1ca497a5b368119e0477c4e1be1d4b6a43de1c6cf1a047e539d1862d3351281
55dabf0d5d67ec252e153694b1561838210eca20fe7237ddec4b56db78492c56276cbadbb81
157b1d00e14ab5faa6a4d5a87a31029317aa4a9f3b16d136f3ab26ba63d2ea6b79fbe79668c
244df0e7a50a5fa519ff9d99e1c60f28183d7bb72e26eface7a787198060a48a1edd735a932
0f418fa124d688847f14194340ad314f607e41806ae2b92e621ab68b9db2d01a3953de1ad4b
ba064879f8c7a20c7a4d4f91c8e928c3d7c875b3c17fc4df232b5877da003af589517ef5854
aee066dace05f14ff9a20d51a1624e705ca7a7e331f60019ba0a97f47704f8faea58f0ed2b4
da8439b5635bc21d34e748d6291cc95b6f935ac40c3741098ea02fc137a0df7ef3449ddea69
7facacc059e5a3d7ba71df23eb66a78a8a1625ec8eb6d0768f6a5065e26791dd80876fcdc6a
e49071a67dcb316303a23bf09abd5082f96f1fc4c9870d4bef4a3bfc94ebe6ae27fd5d83b27
9c7f7ccfd64aa43b3cf338dec16aec78f8e59cbaaffc0baa4dd32e49272702130b7b4d940f32
86dcb34ed8c049e366474e39d6b6ee7e46dda880d665fa2d1a024e927a569b3121f3c429fb7
0a9b1ff2d6d53d8430

$x_4=0x495ae090a25aa724b1613d5c4280a9917747cef4a1be3f7261740e4b6dd88b23fe3342$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$x_5=0x4838c54c0d30c0d28a00fa744250370a4880e4b057143a206b1355d194fa23bf3002cb$
35be42839fc4160ce5cc54098a675fea6e3e79845a0ee6e5c069bfe5ebf7745c2469efbc5b4
0fa0f5944dd24361e4df45fe8cd78652544baa6969eb12c95ac077240ff14eab69746758e61
6034e4b8c2d3392f1bd45f45f4b729cc4e10f402929168ee2632c5d0158a755a038c771bed4
3af44b7ff9574ae00166e316db833ead6135ac287ba594c3eb803b6b5daee496df0c2535add
8cb2467c1842f906e1280a63aa3b5395836d8578aee72c5d83e55c91d65705383f3ec24b43d

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

Calculating X

To calculate X you use the following formula. $X = x_i + x_{i+1} \dots x_j \pmod{N}$

I'm not putting those giant numbers in here that'd take up too much ink.

Therefore

X=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

To get back the value M you use the following formula.

$$M = \sqrt[e]{X}$$

Thus

$M=0x546865726520617265206e6f2043544673207574696c697a696e67204861737461642042726f61646361737420736f207468697320616c6c20796f75206765742e198453179751900963952793218786024520485805055475877824165953272477019515416986218030$

After decoding M we get.

m ="There are no CTFs utilizing Hastad Broadcast so this all you get."

Your flag is: There are no CTFs utilizing Hastad Braodcast so this is all you get.

Thus the attack is complete.