#### TENSOR DECOMPOSITION MODELS

Why down want to fatorize a matrix/tenser?

Ly Discover structure in data (PCA)

Infer missing entries (completion)

### [] MATRIX LOW RAWN FACTORIZATION

Theorem Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ 

namk(A) & R (=>) There exists BEIRMXR and CEIRRXM such that A=BC

5"rank R factorization of A"

mn parameters Vs. R(m+n) parameters

Senetimes, an affroximate factorization is enough:

A & BC

Low rank affroximation Problem Given A EIR MXM, and a target rank R

Def (Singular Value Decembosition, SVD)

Any motrix 
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$$
 ( $m \leq m$ ) can be decemposed into

 $A = UDV^T$ 
 $m \times m$ 
 $m \times m$ 
 $m \times m$ 

where U and V are enthenermal (i.e. UTU = I and VTV = I) and I is a diagenal matrix with non-negative entries

The columns of U are the left singular vectors: u, uz, ..., um EIR The columns of V are the right singular vectors  $N_1, N_2, ..., N_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ The diagonal entries of D are the singular values  $r_1 \ge r_2 \ge r_3 \ge ... \ge r_m \ge 0$ 

Remark. We can rewrite the SVD (1):  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$ . If rank(A)=R, then  $\sigma_{R+1} = \sigma_{R+2} = ... = \sigma_m = 0$  and  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \sigma_i M_i N_i^T$ 

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and let  $A = UDV^T = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$  be its SVD. Then the solution of Theorem (Echart-Young)

min  $||A-X||_F^2$  subject to  $nank(X) \le R$   $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is given by  $X^* = \sum_{i=1}^R \sigma_i u_i v_i^{T}$ . (truncated SVD)

Low rank affroximation Problem Given A EIR Man, and a target rank R min BEIR<sup>mar</sup> CEIR<sup>R×M</sup>

SOLUTION Compute SVD of A = UDVT

Keep the first R columns of U and V and the first R diagonal elements of D:

## I TENSOR NETWORKS (TN)

TN are graphs representing operations between tensors:

- . Nodes represent tensors
- . The arity (# of incoming edges / # of legs) of a mode correspond to the order of the tensor:

. Edges represent contractions (Summations):

$$\left( -A - B - \right)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} B_{kj} = (AB)_{i,j}$$

$$-A-B-=AB$$

$$+ \qquad \frac{1}{d} N = \sum_{i=1}^{d} n_i N_i = \langle N, V \rangle$$

+ 
$$A \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
,  $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $\left(-\frac{A}{n}n\right)_i = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{i,j}n_j = (A_n)_i$ 

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \qquad \qquad \underbrace{A}_{ii} = T_{i}(A)$$

+ Proof of 
$$T_n(ABC) = T_n(BCA) = T_n(CAB)$$
  $(\neq T_n(BAC))$   
 $A - B - C = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B - C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ C - A \end{pmatrix}$ 

+ 
$$M \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$$
,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$   $\left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ M & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = M_{i}N_{j} = \left( \begin{array}{c} M & N \\ N & M \end{array} \right)_{i,j} = M_{i}N_{j} = M_$ 

+ TERdixdzxds, AERmxdz, BERmxd3, CERMXd1

$$|R^{h \times d_2 \times d_3}| \xrightarrow{A_2 \setminus d_3} = T \times_{, C}$$

+ TERdixdzxd3, AERdzxm, SERmixmxxmxxm

$$\frac{d_1}{d_2} \int_{i_2}^{d_3} = \int_{j=1}^{d_2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} T_{i_1 j_1 i_2} A_{j_1 k} S_{i_3 i_3 k}$$

$$\int_{i_3}^{i_4} \int_{i_3}^{i_4} \int_{i_3}^{i_4} \int_{i_4}^{i_5} \int_{i_5 i_5 k}^{i_5 i_5 i_5 k} \int_{i_5 i_5 i_5 k}^{i_5 i_5 i_5 k}$$



$$\frac{1}{d_2|_{d_2}} = \frac{1}{d_3|_{d_2}} = \frac{1}{d_3|_{d_2}} = \frac{1}{d_3|_{d_2}} = \frac{1}{d_3|_{d_3}} = \frac{1}{d_3|_{$$

Def: The <u>CP</u> nank of a tensor T is the smallest R such that a nank R CP decomposition of T exists.

If 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_k \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_k \end{pmatrix}$ 

then 
$$T_{ijk} = \sum_{n=1}^{R} (a_n)_i (b_n)_j (c_n)_k = \sum_{n=1}^{R} (a_n \circ b_n \circ c_n)_{ijk}$$

# 2) TUCKER decomposition

$$T \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$$
,  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3}$ ,  $U_i = \mathbb{R}^{d_i \times R_i}$  for  $i=1,2,3$   
 $L'''$  core tensor!!  $L'''$  factor matrices!"

(
$$\Delta$$
)  $\frac{1}{d_2}$   $\frac{1}{d_3}$  =  $\frac{R_1}{R_2}$   $\frac{G}{R_3}$   $R_3$  Namk  $(R_1,R_2,R_3)$  Tucker decomposition  $\frac{1}{d_1}$   $\frac{1}{d_2}$   $\frac{1}{d_3}$   $\frac{1}{d_3}$   $\frac{1}{d_3}$   $\frac{1}{d_4}$   $\frac{1}{d$ 

def: The multilinear rank (Tucker rank) of  $T \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$  is the smallest Euple (R., R., R.) 8.t. a rank (R., R., R.) Tucker decomposition of T exists.

Ly rank (R, Rz, Rz) TT decomposition of T.

Def: The  $\underline{TT}$  rank of a tensor  $T \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3 \times d_4}$  is the smallest  $(R_1, R_2, R_3)$  such that rank  $(R_1, R_2, R_3)$  TT decomposition of T exists.

### TENSOR LOW RANK APPROXIMATION

	rank	# harametus	EXACT DECORPOSITION	LOW RANK APPROXINATION
CP		R(d1+d2++dN)	NP-hand	NP
TUCKER	(R1,R2,,Rv)	R,R2 RN + Zd; Ri	P (erry)	NP
TT	(R,,,R <sub>W-1</sub> )	d, R, + R, d <sub>2</sub> R <sub>2</sub> + R <sub>2</sub> d <sub>3</sub> R <sub>3</sub> + + R <sub>N-2</sub> d <sub>N-1</sub> R <sub>N-1</sub> + R <sub>N-1</sub> d <sub>N</sub>	ρ	h c

## Low rank approximation problem:

#### 2) TUCKER

#### 3) TENSOR TRAIN

min 
$$G_1 \times R_1$$
 $G_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ 
 $G_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ 
 $G_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ 
 $G_2 \times G_3$ 
 $G_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ 
 $G_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ 

