# ECE 3574: Unit Testing using Catch

Changwoo Min

## Meeting 7: Unit Testing using Catch

- Unit tests
- Integration tests
- Testing functional requirements
- Error and Recovery testing
- Performance testing
- Usability testing
- How to test
- Unit Testing using Catch
- Test coverage

### **Testing**

- Testing is like exercise and eating healthy. You know its good for you but most people don't like it.
- But testing prevents technical debt, keeping your code healthy, and can actually improve your productivity (like exercise and a good diet).
- The mantra is: "Test Early, Test Often, Test Automatically"

# Testing should be integrated into your workflow.

- Almost everyone does this at some level.
- "code a little, test a little"
- But rather than manually testing each compile cycle, take the time to write tests.

#### What to test: Unit tests

- Unit tests exercise each module, generally a class and associated functions.
- Treat the public interface as a contract. Your test code checks the contract.

#### Simple example: puzzle

Suppose that we wanted to write a C++ class, Puzzle, that models an eight-tile sliding puzzle. You have probably seen these, a square array of tiles with numbers, letters, or part of an image printed on them, and one blank space. The tiles can slide left-right and up-down within the puzzle, exchanging positions with the empty location.

The goal is, from a scrambled state, slide the tiles around until the tiles show a particular image or spell some text.

# Consider a 3 by 3 puzzle with eight tiles

It is addressed by row and column with 0-based indexing, with labels 'A' through 'H' and an empty spot denoted by the label "(space). For example:

ABC

DEF

GH

is empty at position (2,2), has tile 'D' in position (1,0), tile 'B' on position (0,1), etc.

# Lets begin by defining how our class should behave, it's specification.

#### Puzzle should support:

- Construction of a default puzzle instance with the layout in the example above,
- a move method taking two position arguments from and to,
   throwing an exception if either position or the move is invalid
- and a get method taking a position argument and returning the tile label at that position, throwing an exception if the position is invalid.

# This specification is pretty detailed but it still has some missing information.

For example what types should the position, labels, and exceptions be? We can nail down the specification further and define a set of tests that tell us how well we are doing implementing Puzzle by writing a test before we write the Puzzle class.

This is called Test-Driven-Development or **TDD**.

# In it's simplest form unit tests are just a program that tries to use the code being tested.

So we might write a file puzzle\_test.cpp:

```
#include "puzzle.h"
void run_tests();
int main()
{
    run_tests();
}
```

where the function run\_tests has yet to be implemented and the puzzle.h file does not exist yet.

## Lets implement the first version of our test by appending the following to puzzle\_test.cpp

```
void run_tests()
{
    Puzzle p;
}
```

All this function does (at this point) is attempt to create an instance (an object/variable named p) of type Puzzle. If we try to compile this we get the error along the lines of

#### So let us fix the problem.

We clearly need to create a file name puzzle.h defining a type Puzzle,
like so:

```
class Puzzle {};
```

Now if we compile puzzle\_test.cpp it gives no errors, and it even runs.

But clearly the test is not very good, we say it does not *cover* the functionality of the Puzzle specification.

Notice we have started to define the puzzle class, but it is what we call a **stub**, it is just a placeholder to get the tests to at least compile.

#### Improving the tests: what is the contract?

We need test code that calls and checks the constructor, the get method, and the move method.

```
void test_constructor()
{
    Puzzle p;

    assert(p.get(0,0) == Puzzle::A);
    assert(p.get(0,1) == Puzzle::B);
    assert(p.get(0,2) == Puzzle::C);
    assert(p.get(1,0) == Puzzle::D);
    assert(p.get(1,1) == Puzzle::E);
    assert(p.get(1,2) == Puzzle::F);
    assert(p.get(2,0) == Puzzle::G);
    assert(p.get(2,1) == Puzzle::H);
    assert(p.get(2,2) == Puzzle::EMPTY);
}
```

#### Getting our first test to compile

To get this to compile we will need to extend our stub to define the type for the label and the default values. We can use an enum for this:

```
class Puzzle
{
public:
    enum LabelType {A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,EMPTY};

    LabelType get(int row, int col) {
        return A;
    }
};

# Compiling and running this gives us what we expect:
# Assertion failed: (p.get(0,1) == Puzzle::B),
# function run_tests, file puzzle_test.cpp, line 19.10
```

## Moving on: another test

Lets test our move function by making a legal move and checking that it actually occurred.

```
void test_correct_move()
{
    Puzzle p;
    p.move(2,1,2,2); // slide H to the right
    assert(p.get(2,1) == Puzzle::EMPTY);
    assert(p.get(2,2) == Puzzle::H);
}
```

We would want to extend these tests to include invalid moves, etc.

# So now we have some basic unit tests, which fail.

- We have a goal to work toward, namely to implement the methods of Puzzle so that all the tests pass.
- When all the tests pass, we have a reasonable belief the code is correct.
   Remember UNTESTED code is BROKEN code.

# So now we have some basic unit tests, which fail.

- Further, there are automated tools that can check how many of the statements we write in our implementations are covered by the tests.
   This is called the **test code coverage**.
- Particularly in critical applications e.g. avionics, medical devices, 100% code coverage is needed.
- We can spot potential design flaws in the detailed design of the code early on in the process.

#### Designing code that can be tested

- You can think of unit tests similar to IC hardware tests. Testing hardware requires forethought.
- The same goes for code: define a contract and test it.
- An issues that comes up: how do I test internal code (private methods) or methods I invoke?
  - Answer: split it out into its own module. Demeter's law helps here.

#### **Integration tests**

- Once all your unit tests are written and pass you build up to test larger blocks of code. In the above example we might have:
  - puzzle module
  - I/O module
  - search module
  - solver module
- The solver module uses the search and puzzle module, thus defining a contract for it and testing it, implicitly tests the integration of puzzle and search.

#### **Functional Tests**

- These are what you probably think of as tests. Does the entire program
  do what it is supposed to? This is what the customer (however defined)
  cares about. How you go about this depends on the kind of program it is.
  - Is it interactive?
  - Is it text-mode?
  - Is it GUI driven?
- We will talk more about this later.

#### Testing error handling

- It is obvious you should test error handling, invalid input: bad files, etc.
   But you should also test
  - memory allocation failures
  - incorrect permissions
  - out of disk space
  - network connectivity
  - screen resolution
  - and importantly user interruption of a program

## Testing error handling

- When you can't proceed, cleanup and save as much state as you can fail gracefully.
- Don't just die or lock-up, dropping or corrupting users data. This can be trickier that it seems.

#### **Performance testing**

- Performance testing covers update rates. For example:
  - time to completion for tasks
  - frame rate in a game or simulation
  - network transfer speeds
  - requests handled per second
- These can help you diagnose problems and check what features need to be non-blocking and can be interrupted by the user.

### **Security testing**

- Code whose operation has security concerns has special considerations:
  - test authentication and permissions
  - test user input carefully, especially things like scripts that directly affect execution
  - sanitize all input, add security checks to I/O, and test them

#### So now we know the what, how do we test

- Regression testing: define data, input-output pairs, then test using the input, check against the output.
- This works for all the tests we have looked at. The data can be
  - synthetic: often generated by the testing code itself or from other programs
  - real-world: collected and curated databases

#### So now we know the what, how do we test

- An important source of real-world regression data is the bug/issue database.
- For each bug found, write a test case that triggers it. This ensures that the bug never returns from the grave.

### Another strategy is called *Fuzzifying*

- Take real-world or synthetic test data, which is limited, and make random changes to it to generate larger test sets.
- For example you might take a known good input file and corrupt it to see if you code handles it.
- Similarly you can corrupt date and time fields, toggle version numbers,
   flip encoding of binary files (big/little endian).
- Example: <u>AFL (American Fuzzy Lop)</u>

#### How do we know when we are done?

- Ideally we would like to put the code in every possible state, but this is impossible. Testing is never done.
- As a surrogate we can measure code coverage: how many lines of code are executed over all the tests.

#### How do we know when we are done?

- This requires instrumenting the code and is compiler dependent.
   Examples:
  - Visual C++ has a /PROFILE switch
  - GCC and clang have the --coverage flag.
- These generate data that can be used to create reports of coverage, in summary, and line-by-line.

#### How do we test automatically

- A measure of code quality is both the extent of tests and how easy they are to run.
- Test Early, Test Often, implies Test Automatically
- It can be handy to divide tests roughly by the time required to run them:
  - short, quick running tests, which should be most of them, run all the time
  - longer tests, for example some kinds of functionality tests, are run less often
- Slow tests will not get run.

#### Finally, a word about when to test

- Run unit and integration tests very often ( every compilation )
- Run all test before committing changes to a repo
- Long-running tests can be scheduled to run periodically or overnight

# **Unit Testing using Catch**

- Catch is a header-only "multi-paradigm automated test framework for C++ and Objective-C".
  - It is very easy to use
  - It supports a wide range of testing styles
- Lets look at an example.

#### **Exercise 07: Catch**

- See Website
- Catch Tutorial
- Catch Reference
- Catch Command line
- std::unordered\_map:Link 1, Link 2

#### Milestone 1 is released

- Due 2/20, 48 points
- TA recitation
  - Tu 2/6 6:30-7:45pm WLH 330 Grammars and Parsing

#### **Next Actions and Reminders**

- Read CMake Tutorial
- State-machine-based Parsing
- Recursive descent parser
- Recursive Descent Parsing in C/C++

ECE 3574: Unit Testing using Catch

Changwoo Min