

Use of Convergence to Types

Michael P. Mersic

April 29, 2007

1 Deriving the Gumbel Distribution

In the last paper [3] there were two missing steps to deriving the Gumbel Distribution. That is, how to prove Max-Stable Distributions are equivalent to Extreme Distributions and how to prove that the Limit Distribution of an Extreme Distribution is an Extreme Distribution.

Here is a quick reminder of what is meant by Extremal and Max-Stable Distributions:

Define: Extremal	Define: Max-Stable
$(F(c_n x + d_n))^n \rightarrow G(x)$	$(G(a_n x + b_n))^n \rightarrow G(x)$

In order to prove the intended statements we need to use the *Convergence to Types Theorem*. Before defining the *Convergence to Types Theorem* it is necessary to define what is meant by *Type*:

Definition 1. Two distribution functions $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ are of the same **type** if there exist constants $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$V(x) = U(Ax + B)$$

The *Convergence to Types Theorem* comes from Aleksandr Khinchin work in probability theory. Khinchin was a student of Andrei Kolmogorov. One of Kolmogorov's other students, Boris Gnedenko gave the first rigorous derivation of the Extremal Distributions [1]. The *Convergence to Types Theorem* states:

Convergence to Types Theorem. Suppose $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ are two non-degenerate distributions. Suppose for $n \geq 0$ that X_n are random variables with distribution function F_n and U, V are random variables with distribution functions $U(x), V(x)$. We have constants $a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}^+, b_n \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

If

$$F_n(\alpha_n x + \beta_n) \xrightarrow{d} U(x), \quad F_n(a_n x + b_n) \xrightarrow{d} V(x) \quad (1)$$

then there exist constants $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n} \rightarrow A, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n - \beta_n}{\alpha_n} \rightarrow B \quad (2)$$

and

$$V(x) = U(Ax + B) \quad (3)$$

In another section below I will introduce the proof to this theorem, however, I saved the full proof to the final paper. Now we are ready to prove that Max-Stable \Leftrightarrow Extremal and that the Limit Distribution of an Extremal Distribution is also an Extremal Distribution.

2 Prove Max-Stable \Leftrightarrow Extremal

It is important to know that Max-Stable distribution \Leftrightarrow Extremal distribution because it tells us more about the Limit Distribution of F , specifically that it is Max-Stable. In the previous paper, we then used the fact that the Limit Distribution of F is Max-Stable to derive the Limit Distribution (the Extremal Distributions).

Theorem. *Extremal distributions and Max-Stable distributions are equivalent.*

Proof. We know Max-Stable distributions are Extremal automatically from the definitions of Max-Stable and Extremal. So we only need to show that Extremal distributions are Max-Stable.

So we have an Extremal distribution,

$$(F(c_n x + d_n))^n \rightarrow G(x) \quad (4)$$

then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have each side raised to the k^{th} power.

In the first equation F and G are raised to the k^{th} power. In the second equation F is raised to the nk^{th} power and new constants c_{nk} and d_{nk} are chosen so that F^{nk} will still converge to G :

$$(F(c_n x + d_n))^{nk} \rightarrow G(x)^k = U(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (F(c_{nk} x + d_{nk}))^{nk} \rightarrow G(x) = V(x)$$

Now, let $U(x) = G(x)^k$ and $V(x) = G(x)$ like the $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ in the **Convergence to Types** theorem. Then, by the **Convergence to Types** theorem, there exist $c_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $d_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that,

$$\frac{c_{nk}}{c_n} = c_k \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d_{nk} - d_n}{c_n} = d_k \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5)$$

and,

$$V(x) = U(Ax + B), \quad \text{or} \quad G(c_k x + d_k)^k = G(x) \quad (6)$$

That is, Extremal distributions are Max-Stable. \square

3 Limit Distributions of Extremal Distributions are also Extremal Distributions

The proof of $G^k(x) = G(Ax + B)$ follows almost precisely the same path as that of Max-Stable \Leftrightarrow Extremal. The difference is that we now choose $U(x)$ to be $G(x)$ and $V(x)$ to be $G^k(x)$.

Lemma. *If G is an extremal distribution, then $G^k(x) = G(Ax + B)$.*

Proof.

$$(F(c_{nk} x + d_{nk}))^{nk} \rightarrow G(x) = U(x) \quad (F(c_n x + d_n))^{nk} \rightarrow G^k(x) = V(x) \quad (7)$$

therefore by Convergence to Types Theorem there exist A and B such that

$$V(x) = U(Ax + B) \quad \text{and} \quad G^k(x) = G(Ax + B) \quad (8)$$

\square

4 Introduction to the proof of the Convergence to Types Theorem

I will essentially be presenting Allan Gut's presentation of the proof of the **Convergence to Types Theorem** in [2].

Intro to the proof: Since the general case follows from the particular one by rescaling, it suffices to prove the latter. By general case, I mean the definition of Convergence to Types given above. By particular case, I mean: If

$$F_n(x) \xrightarrow{d} U(x), \quad F_n(a_n x + b_n) \xrightarrow{d} V(x) \quad (9)$$

then there exist constants $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \rightarrow A, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \rightarrow B \quad (10)$$

and

$$V(x) = U(Ax + B) \quad (11)$$

The proof proceeds via the following steps:

1. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} U, a_n \rightarrow a > 0, b_n \rightarrow b \Rightarrow V_n \xrightarrow{d} \frac{X-b}{a}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
2. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} U, a_n \rightarrow +\infty \Rightarrow \frac{X_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$
3. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} U, \sup_n |b_n| = \infty \Rightarrow X_n - b_n \not\xrightarrow{d}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
4. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} U, V_n \xrightarrow{d} V \Rightarrow 0 < \inf_n a_n \leq \sup_n a_n < \infty, \sup_n |b_n| < \infty$
5. $U \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{U-b}{a} \Rightarrow a = 1, b = 0$.

1, 2, 3 are proved directly. 4 is proved using 1, 2, and 3. 5 is proved using 4. Once these five parts are proved, we can say that sequences of a_n and b_n for A and B exist, and we just need to prove they are unique. Using part 5 we can show there are not other sequences a_j, b_j for A^* and B^* where $A \neq A^*$ and/or $B \neq B^*$.

References

- [1] B. Gnedenko. Sur la distribution limite du terme maximum d'une serie aleatoire. *Ann. Math.*, 44:423–453, 1943, Translated and reprinted in: Breakthroughs in Statistics, Vol. I, 1992, eds. S. Kotz and N.L. Johnson, Springer-Verlag, pp. 195-225.
- [2] Allan Gut. *Probability: A Graduate Course*. Springer, Springer Science+Business Media, inc., 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA, 2005.
- [3] Michael Mersic. Deriving the gumbel distribution. University of Villanova Graduate Seminar, April 2007.