

Algebraizing Propositional Logic

Yudai Kubono

March 25, 2023

Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Basic Notions

- ▶ (Algebraic) Similarity type is a pair $\mathcal{F} = \langle F, \rho \rangle$, where F is a non-empty set of function symbols, and ρ is a function $F \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ assigning a finite rank (arity) to each function symbol.
- ▶ Algebras of type \mathcal{F} is a tuple $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, I \rangle$, where A is a non-empty carrier, and I is an interpretation, that is a function assigning n -ary operations on A to each function symbol f of rank n . Notationally, we write $\langle A, f_{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle_{f \in F}$ for such an algebra.
- ▶ Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be algebras of the same similarity type. A function $\eta : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism if for all $f_{\mathfrak{A}}, a_1 \cdots a_n$, $\eta f_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f_{\mathfrak{B}}(\eta(a_1), \dots, \eta(a_n))$, where n is the rank of $f_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Besides, we call \mathfrak{B} the homomorphic image of \mathfrak{A} if η is a surjective homomorphism.

- ▶ Let $\langle A, f_{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle_{f \in F}$ be an algebra. If $B \subseteq A$ is closed under every operations $f_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathfrak{B} = \langle A, f_{\mathfrak{A}} \upharpoonright_B \rangle_{f \in F}$ is a subalgebra of the algebra.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f_{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle$ is a (direct) product of $\{\mathfrak{A}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ where $A = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$, and $f_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is defined componentwisely: for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $f_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)(i) = f_{\mathfrak{A}_i}(a_1(i), \dots, a_n(i))$, where $a_n(i) := \pi_i(a)$ where $\pi_i : A \rightarrow A_i$ is a projection.
- ▶ A class is called a variety if the class is closed under homomorphic images, subalgebras and direct products. $\mathbb{V}(C)$ denotes the smallest variety containing C .

- ▶ Let X be a set of variables, and \mathcal{F} be a similarity type. $\text{Term}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ is the smallest set of \mathcal{F} -terms over X , which are recursively composed of X and function symbols in F .
- ▶ An function $\theta : X \rightarrow A$ is called an assignment. the extention $\tilde{\theta} : \text{Term}_F(X) \rightarrow A$ called a meaning is defined as follow: $\tilde{\theta}(p) = \theta(p)$; $\tilde{\theta}(c) = c_{\mathfrak{A}}$; $\tilde{\theta}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = f(\tilde{\theta}(t_1), \dots, \tilde{\theta}(t_n))$, where $p \in X$.
- ▶ An equation is a pair of $\text{Term}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$. An equation $t \approx t'$ is true in an algebra if $\tilde{\theta}(t) = \tilde{\theta}(t')$ for all θ on \mathfrak{A} . It is denoted by $\mathfrak{A} \models t \approx t'$. The algebra is called a model for $t \approx t'$.

- ▶ A class of algebras is equationally definable if there is a set of equations E such that the class precisely contains models for E .
- ▶ Birkhoff's theorem: a class of algebras is equationally definable if and only if it is a variety.

- ▶ Let E be a set of equations. A derivation of E is a list of equations such that every element is axioms (elements in E), has the form of $t \approx t$, or is obtained from earlier elements using symmetry, transitivity, replacement (congruence), or substitution rules.
- ▶ An equation (formula) is derivable from E , which is denoted by $E \vdash t \approx u$ if the equation appears at the end of a derivation of E .
- ▶ Completeness theorem: for every equations $t \approx u$, $E \models t \approx u \Leftrightarrow E \vdash t \approx u$, where $E \models t \approx u$ is defined by $\mathfrak{A} \models E \Rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models t \approx u$.

Algebraizing Propositional Logic

- ▶ A term algebra of \mathcal{F} over X is a tuple $\mathfrak{Term}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \langle \text{Term}_{\mathcal{F}}(X), I \rangle$, where $I(f)(t_1, \dots, t_n) := f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.
- ▶ The propositional formula algebra over X is the term algebra of type Bool \mathcal{B} over X , that is $\mathfrak{Form}_{\mathcal{B}}(X) = \langle \text{Form}_{\mathcal{B}}(X), -, +, \perp \rangle$, where $-\varphi := \neg\varphi$, and $\varphi + \psi := \varphi \vee \psi$ (type Bool : $\langle \{\neg, \vee, \perp\}, \rho \rangle$ such that $\rho(\neg) = 1, \rho(\vee) = 2$, and $\rho(\perp) = 0$).
- ▶ The algebra of truth value 2 is a tuple $\langle \{1, 0\}, -, +, 0 \rangle$, where $-a := 1 - a$, and $a + b := \max(a, b)$.
- ▶ Given an assignment θ , we obtain a meaning $\tilde{\theta} : \text{Form}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$, that is a homomorphism.

Theorem 1

$$\models_{\text{CL}} \varphi \Leftrightarrow 2 \models \varphi \approx \top.$$

- ▶ A set algebra \mathfrak{S} of A is a subalgebra of a power set algebra \mathfrak{P} of A , which is a tuple $\mathfrak{P} = \langle \mathcal{P}(A), -, \cup, \emptyset \rangle$.
- ▶ A power of 2 is the (direct) product of $(2_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Lemma 1

Every power set algebra is isomorphic to a power of 2.

Lemma 2

The validity of equations preserves under taking a direct product and a subalgebra.

Theorem 2

$\models_{\text{CL}} \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S} \models \varphi \approx \top$.

- ▶ A relation \equiv of provable equivalence is congruence on the propositional formula algebra.
- ▶ Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra is a tuple $\mathfrak{L}_{\equiv}(X) = \langle \text{Form}_{\mathcal{B}}(X) / \equiv, -, +, 0 \rangle$, where $-[\varphi] := [\neg\varphi]$, $[\varphi] + [\psi] := [\varphi \vee \psi]$, and $0 := [\perp]$.

Theorem 3

$$\vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{\equiv}(X) \models \varphi \approx \top$$

- ▶ An algebra of type Bool is called a boolean algebra iff it satisfies commutativity, associativity, distributivity, identity, and complementation. BA denotes the class of boolean algebras.
- ▶ All of $\mathbb{2}$, \mathfrak{S} , and $\mathfrak{L}_{\equiv}(X)$ are the examples of boolean algebras.

Theorem 4

$$\vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \text{BA} \models \varphi \approx \top$$

Theorem 5 (Stone's Representation Theorem)

Any boolean algebra is isomorphic to a set algebra.

Theorem 6 (Completeness Theorem)

$$\vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \models \varphi$$

- [1] Blackburn, P., Rijke, M., and Venema, Y. (2001). *Modal Logic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050884