

Homework Sheet 1

VU Numerical Algorithms, SoSe 2019

due date: 23.4.2019, 18:00

Programming Exercise

The task is to implement an LU factorization-based linear solver in OCTAVE and to evaluate its accuracy for various test matrices. The solver consists of computing the LU decomposition of a square $n \times n$ double precision matrix A such that $A = LU$ with lower triangular L and upper triangular U and subsequent forward and back substitution. In particular:

Part I - LU Decomposition (3 points)

First implement the standard "scalar" (unblocked) algorithm (i.e. three nested loops) **with partial pivoting**.

- U is contained in the upper triangle (plus diagonal) of A , and the diagonal entries of L are all 1. The subdiagonal entries of L are given by the scalars m_{ik} (i.e. $L(i, k) = m_{ik}$). For storage efficiency, we can store L in the lower triangle of A , and thus $A(i, k)$ has to be overwritten with m_{ik} (see Algorithm 1).

Detailed remarks:

1. *Accuracy:* Verify the correctness of your LU factorization by evaluating the relative residual

$$R = \frac{\|P^T LU - A\|_1}{\|A\|_1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the maximum absolute column sum of a matrix:

$$\|M\|_1 = \max_{j=1, \dots, n} \sum_{i=1}^n |M_{ij}|$$

Plot these residuals R for all problem sizes you experimented with. *When plotting residuals, always use a **logarithmic scale** along the **y-axis**!*

Algorithm 1 Pseudo-Code LU Decomposition with partial pivoting

```
for  $k = 1$  to  $n - 1$  do
    Find index  $p$  such that
     $|a_{pk}| \geq |a_{ik}|$  for  $k \leq i \leq n$ 
    if  $p \neq k$  then
        interchange rows  $k$  and  $p$ 
    end if
    if  $a_{kk} = 0$  then
        continue with next  $k$ 
    end if
    for  $i = k + 1$  to  $n$  do
         $m_{ik} = a_{ik}/a_{kk}$ 
    end for
    for  $j = k + 1$  to  $n$  do
        for  $i = k + 1$  to  $n$  do
             $a_{ij} = a_{ij} - m_{ik}a_{kj}$ 
        end for
    end for
end for
```

2. Use randomly generated matrices A as input, but please specify clearly in your report how you generated your test matrices!

Part II - Solving a Triangular Linear Systems (1 point)

1. **Forward substitution:** Write a routine which solves a given $n \times n$ lower triangular linear system $Lx = b$ for x .
2. **Back substitution:** Write another routine which solves a given $n \times n$ upper triangular linear system $Ux = b$ for x .
3. Evaluate the accuracy of your codes for increasing n in terms of the relative residual and the relative forward error. (*For the definition of relative residual and relative forward error please see Part III!*)

For these experimental evaluations, use randomly generated (non-singular) L and U and determine b such that the exact solution x is a vector of all ones: $x = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)^T$.

Part III - Numerical Accuracy of LU-Based Linear Solver (4 points)

The main purpose of this part is to experimentally evaluate the numerical accuracy of the linear systems solver you implemented in Parts I and II for different test matrices and to compare it with the built-in solver from OCTAVE. You can solve a linear system $Ax = b$ for x using the `\` operator (e.g. $x = A \setminus b$).

1. Take your LU factorization from Part I and combine it with your triangular linear systems solvers from Part II in order to get a complete LU-based linear solver.
2. Input data for your experiments:
 - a) Generate random test matrices S with entries uniformly distributed in the interval $[-1,1]$.
 - b) Generate test matrices H which are defined by

$$H_{ij} := \frac{1}{i+j-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

- c) In all your test cases, determine the corresponding right hand side b of length n such that the exact solution x of the linear system is a vector of all ones: $x = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)^T$.
3. Solve the linear systems $Sx = b$ and $Hx = b$ with your LU-based linear solver and the built-in OCTAVE solver and evaluate the numerical accuracy of the computed solution.
 - a) *Problem sizes*: Start with $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 10$ then increase in increments of 5. For $n > 50$ you can further increase the increment. The largest value of n should be as large as possible (so that your code terminates within a reasonable time).
 - b) *Accuracy*: For the computed solution \hat{x} , evaluate the relative residual r :

$$r := \frac{\|M\hat{x} - b\|_1}{\|b\|_1}$$

(M is S or H) as well as the relative forward error f :

$$f := \frac{\|\hat{x} - x\|_1}{\|x\|_1}.$$

4. For both your and the OCTAVE solver generate the following plots for the different test matrices:
 - a) Relative residual and relative forward error in \hat{x} vs. n : One figure for both accuracy metrics for matrix type S , another figure for both accuracy metrics for matrix type H .
5. Interpret and explain your experimental results in your report. Do you think that there is a fundamental difference in the numerical accuracy which your LU-based linear solver achieves for the two types of test matrices? If yes, explain the reasons for this difference. How does your solver compare to the OCTAVE version?